

K. M. Myers copy  
6/19/98

**SCHOOL HEALTH:  
FINDINGS FROM EVALUATED PROGRAMS**

**Second Edition ■ 1998**

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services  
Public Health Service  
Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion  
America; School Health Association**

and the

Programs described in this publication represent a sampling of school health programs that have been evaluated. Findings presented in this document are based on publications or other available reports written by the program designers and/or evaluators. Inclusion of a program description in this publication does not imply endorsement by the Public Health Service, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services or any other agency of the U.S. Government. Similarly, omission of a program does not imply a negative judgment about that program. There are many school health programs around the Nation which may have been evaluated but are not included in this publication.

## Acknowledgements

---

**This** compendium of evaluated school health programs represents the efforts of many individuals from across the nation interested in promoting school health. Preparation of this document involved individuals in private and public schools and universities, community organizations, as well as local, state and federal governments.

*2/1*  
*enters into a cooperative agreement with*  
The Public Health Service, through its Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, contracted with the American School Health Association to compile this report on school health programs. Staff associated with ASHA who participated in the project include: **Marcia Rubin, PhD**, MPH, Project Director; **Susan Wooley, PhD**, Project Editor; Scott **Rainone**, Publication Coordinator; **Alaina Giltz**, MS, Project Assistant; **Kyu Kyu San**, Project Assistant; and **Marjorie Benjamin**, Administrative Assistant. **Kristine McCoy**<sup>MPH</sup> and **Nicole Cumberland** provided oversight for this project on behalf of the Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion.

*1*  
We wish to thank all the individuals who sent information on evaluated school health programs as well as those who reviewed the programs.

# Introduction

In 1990, the U.S. Public Health Service's Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion released *Healthy People 2000: National Health Promotion and Disease Prevention Objectives*<sup>1</sup> that outlined over 350 objectives to improve the health status of Americans during the current decade. A third of the objectives were related to the health needs of children and youth. The most pressing issues for children and youth, including injury and violence, poor nutrition, inadequate physical activity, tobacco use, alcohol or other drug use, and early sexual activity, are complex and interactive. A myriad of factors – socioeconomic, cultural, psychosocial, environmental and genetic – influence these issues and no single program or strategy, by itself, is likely to improve health behaviors.

Schools are ideal places to reach children and youth. Because children's health and learning are linked, children cannot learn when they are not well or when health concerns interrupt their ability to concentrate. For this reason, schools are specifically identified in 13 of *Healthy People 2000*'s objectives (see figure 1). Objective 8.4 calls for increasing to at least 75 percent the proportion of the nation's elementary and secondary schools that provide planned and sequential kindergarten to 12th-grade comprehensive school health education.

Comprehensive school health education is "classroom instruction that <sup>addresses</sup> the physical, mental, emotional, and social dimensions of health; develops health knowledge, attitude and skills, and is tailored to each grade level."<sup>2</sup> Schools with comprehensive school health education meet the following criteria: a documented, sequential program; at least one health education course; instruction in six key behavioral areas; focus on skill development; health education teachers adequately trained; designated coordinator of health education; involvement of parents, health professionals and other concerned community members; and evaluation of health education program during the past two years.

The World Health Organization<sup>3</sup> noted that quality health education:

- views health holistically, addressing the interrelatedness of health problems and the factors that influence health, within the context of the human and material environment and other conditions of life;
- utilizes all educational opportunities for health: formal and informal, standard and innovative curriculum and pedagogy, and opportunities available within and outside of the school;
- strives to harmonize the health messages from the various sources that influence students, including messages from the media, advertising, the community, the health and development systems, family and peers, and the school; and
- empowers children and youth to act for healthy living and to promote conditions supportive of health.

Health education or instruction is one of several related components in a school health program. "A comprehensive school health program is an integrated set of planned, sequential, school-affiliated strategies, activities, and services designed to promote the optimal physical, emotional, social and educational development of students. The program involves and is supportive of families and

figure 1:

## Healthy People 2000 school objectives

1.9 Increase to at least 50 percent the proportion of school physical education class time that students spend being physically active, preferably engaged in lifetime physical activities.

2.77 Increase to at least 90 percent the proportion of school lunch and breakfast services and child care food services with menus that are consistent with the nutrition principles in the *Dietary Guidelines for Americans*.

2.79 Increase to at least 75 percent the proportion of the nation's schools that provide nutrition education from pre-school through 12th grade, preferably as part of quality school health education.

3.76 Establish tobacco-free environments and include tobacco use prevention in the curricula of all elementary, middle, and secondary schools, preferably as part of quality school health education.

4.73 Provide to children in all school districts and private schools primary and secondary educational programs on alcohol and other drugs, preferably as a part of quality school health education.

7.76 Increase to at least 50 percent the proportion of elementary and secondary schools that teach nonviolent conflict resolution skills, preferably as a part of quality school health education.

Attitudes

2.19  
3.10  
7.4.13  
7.16  
P. 8.4  
9.11

---

determined by the local community based on community needs, resources, standards, and requirements. It is coordinated by a multi-disciplinary team and accountable to the community for program quality and **effectiveness**.<sup>24</sup> The complexity of the environmental and bio-psychosocial factors that **influence** the health and learning of children and adolescents requires a well-coordinated, comprehensive approach in which schools deliver multiple health promotion and risk reduction strategies in various, developmentally appropriate formats over several years.

A widely accepted model of a comprehensive school health **program**<sup>5</sup> includes, in addition to health education:

- **clinical health services:** preventive services, education, emergency care, referral and management of acute and chronic health conditions;\*
- **psychosocial support services:** activities that focus on cognitive, emotional, behavioral and social needs of individuals, groups and **families**;<sup>2</sup>
- **health environments:** the physical, emotional and social climate of the **school**;<sup>2</sup>
- **school nutrition services:** integration of nutritious, affordable and appealing meals; nutrition education; and an environment that promotes healthy eating behaviors for all **children**;<sup>2</sup>
- **physical education:** planned, sequential instruction that promotes lifelong physical **activity**;<sup>2</sup>
- **health promotion for faculty and staff:** on-site assessment, education and fitness activities for school faculty and **staff**;<sup>2</sup> and
- **family and community involvement:** **partnerships** among schools, families and community groups and **individuals**.<sup>2</sup>

American schools have implemented a variety of health program components with variable degrees of comprehensiveness, coordination and success. Progress on meeting the *Healthy People 2000* objectives for schools remains sporadic.

However, because school health programs have the potential to meet the immediate **health** needs of children and youth as well as establish the foundation for lifelong habits that ultimately improve health status, program planning and adoption decisions should consider evidence of effectiveness. To help meet these needs, the first edition of *School Health: Findings from Evaluated Programs* offered a sampling of 63 evaluated programs across the eight components of the school health model (see figure 2). Building on that effort, this second edition **includes 51** programs not previously reviewed. This edition seeks to balance <sup>presentation of</sup> program goals and objectives with what programs have accomplished based on **evidence** of effectiveness. The summaries contained herein could help both those interested in implementing a quality school health program and researchers and evaluators looking for evaluation models or unanswered questions.

Why "However"?

## Selection Criteria

The criteria to select programs for inclusion in the second edition were:

- **implementation site:** all programs are either school-based or school-linked;
- **currency:** all programs are currently functioning and on-going;
- **history:** all programs have been in existence at least three years; and
- **evaluation:** all programs had been evaluated and provided some evidence of effectiveness.

## Review Process

All the programs included in the second edition submitted evaluation data in addition to program descriptions. Materials submitted included articles published in peer reviewed journals and reports prepared for funders, program developers or an oversight group. Some of the included programs had received extensive review by an outside panel of reviewers such as the U.S. Department of Education's Program Effectiveness Panel. Program evaluations that had not undergone review by such a committee were sent to three reviewers for critique of strengths and weaknesses. A list of all the reviewers follows the introduction. The review guidelines are found in Appendix A.

## Evaluation

Evaluation is a specialized branch of the social sciences. Many highly-skilled health and education professionals have little or no background in evaluation. As a result, the evaluation reports of many school health programs have very poorly designed evaluations and fail to demonstrate program success or account for limitations in claiming success. In the first edition, the U.S. Public Health Service made no attempt to determine the quality or validity of the methodology used in evaluating the included programs. This second edition has paid greater attention to the strengths and weaknesses of the evaluation studies in order to help individuals determine the appropriateness of a program for their particular circumstance. The brief primer on evaluation below will help readers understand the implications of and distinctions between the various types of evaluations in the program reviews.

## Types of Evaluation

Evaluations take many forms including formative, process, or summative. "Formative" evaluation gathers information for developing or **modifying** a program to improve its success. It often occurs in the early stages of a multi-year initiative and prior to major implementation. "Process" evaluation examines the effectiveness of program logistics, what was done and how it was done. For example, process evaluation might ask how many meetings occurred, how many people came, how many fliers were distributed? Was the meeting time and place convenient? Was an activity delivered as planned and **if not**, why not? "Summative evaluation" can examine "outcomes" or "impact." "Impact" evaluation examines the immediate effects of program activities and strategies on changes in knowledge, attitudes, skills and behaviors. For example, **after** providing a teacher in-service program on role playing strategies, impact evaluation might assess whether teachers expressed increased confidence in using the strategy or **determine** what percent actually applied the techniques in the classroom. "Outcome" evaluation requires **long-term** follow-up. In the previous

### figure 1 (cont.):

**8.2 Increase the high** school graduation rate to at least 90 percent, thereby reducing risks for multiple problem behaviors and poor mental and physical health.

**8.4** Increase to at least 75 percent the proportion of the nation's elementary and secondary schools that provide planned and sequential kindergarten through 12th grade **quality** school health education.

**8.5** Increase to at least 50 percent the proportion of post-secondary institutions with institution-wide health promotion programs for students, faculty, and staff.

9.78 **Provide** academic instruction on injury prevention and control, preferably as part of quality school health education, in at least 50 percent of public school systems, kindergarten to 12th grade.

**9.19, 13.16** Extend requirement of the use of effective head, face, eye and mouth protection to all organizations, agencies, and institutions sponsoring sporting and recreation events that pose risks of injury.

**18.10** Increase to at least 95 percent the proportion of schools that have **age-appropriate** HIV curricula for students in fourth through 12th grade, preferably as part of quality school health education.

79.72 **Include instruction in sexually transmitted disease transmission prevention in the curricula of all middle and secondary schools, preferably as part of quality school health education.**

comprehensive

**figure 2:**

## Past Reviewed Programs

### **School Health: Findings from Evaluated Programs** ( first edition, 1993)

- ADEPT
- Adolescent Health Prevention Trial
- AIDS Education Pilot Study
- Alcohol Misuse Prevention Study
- **CHAMPS HIV/** AIDS Prevention
- Changing The Course
- Dietary Change Program for 10th Graders
- Every Child a **Winner**
- Exercise Programs for Children
- Exeter-Andover Project
- **Feelin' Good**
- Future Fit
- Gillespie Student Health Project
- Go For Health
- Greet Sensations
- Growing Healthy
- Health Enhancement Program
- Health Promotion for Educators
- Health Promotion for School Personnel
- Health Start
- Healthy Lifestyles Program
- Heart Healthy Eating and Exercise
- **Hearty Heart**
- Hem's Looking at You, Two
- I'm Special Program
- Know Your Body
- Life Skills Training
- Middletown Adolescent Health Project
- Midfield Safety Belt Incentive Program
- Minnesota Smoking Prevention Program
- Nutrition for Life
- Nutrition/Changing World

example, an outcome evaluation might follow the students whose teachers participated in the in-service to see if their grades improved or if they abstained **from** tobacco use or sexual activity. Summative evaluation usually includes data **from** a control or comparison group.

People select **different** types of evaluations for different reasons. Sometimes program planners want **information** about how well a program meets the needs of program **staff or** the individuals served. Policy makers use program evaluations when making decisions. They are often more concerned about progress relative to past **performance** than compared to an outside control or comparison group. Such program evaluations can involve a wide range of sophistication and thoroughness but are generally limited to consideration of a particular program in a specific location.

Research evaluation generally compares one program model against another to detect statistically significant differences. The gold standard in research is having similar groups of individuals randomly assigned either to a new program or to a standard, usual practice program. An outside group, without knowledge of which group is which, then collects impact and outcome information to determine if the new program was more effective than the traditional program in bringing about the desired result. When conducting evaluations in schools, this model is seldom possible. Instead, researchers use quasi-experimental models that compare individuals in some classrooms within a school to individuals in other classrooms within the same school or compare one school to another school in the same district or in another community. Wide spread program implementation and evaluation with similar results in a variety of settings (e.g., urban, rural, and suburban schools in the northeast and southwest, with all ethnic groups) increases confidence that the program **will** consistently produce similar results. The closer a study comes to this ideal, the more likely the findings are due to the program rather than some other factor such as teacher skill, family background of the students or time.

### Types of Data

Program evaluation **and** research studies **gather** quantitative **and/or** qualitative data. Quantitative data is information **that** can be counted or measured in numbers (e.g. how many people attended, changes in test scores **from** pretest to posttest, incidents of violence before and after the program). Qualitative data is information gathered by direct questioning or observation. This ethnographic approach often helps explain why something occurred, going beyond just documenting that something happened.

### Caveat Emptor

For this second edition, reviewers critiqued evaluation designs, appropriateness of instruments used to measure key variables and the presence or absence of comparison groups. They determined the degree to which programs addressed critical needs, the extent to which programs were distinctive and the complexity of problems being tackled. They also commented on the probability that others could successfully duplicate the program or certain of its components in other places and with other audiences. Readers should consider the quality of the research design, the reported **findings** and the critique when assessing the effectiveness of programs summarized in this edition. Many apparently well-designed programs had flawed evaluations that failed to convince reviewers that the evidence demonstrated

effectiveness. In addition, many of the programs reviewed were “works in progress” and today many differ significantly **from** when they were evaluated. Despite these caveats, the programs reviewed in this edition offer many lessons and we encourage you to contact the individuals most directly involved for more information.

## Summary

The second edition of *School Health: Findings from Evaluated Programs* is a compendium of programs not already reviewed in the first edition. Each program is designed to improve the short term or long term health status of children and youth in a school-based or school-linked setting. They offer a wide variety of strategies and address multiple issues in **different** audiences. Many of the programs have existed for several years and are well known in certain disciplines but not widely known to other professionals who work in schools.

Every effort was made to **identify** evaluated programs in each of the eight components of a comprehensive school health program and the reviews include 35 in Health Education/Instruction, four in Clinical Health Services, three in Physical Education, six in **Psychosocial** Support Services, three in **Health** Environments, three in School Nutrition Program and 11 Family and Community Involvement. No programs were identified for Faculty and **Staff** Health Promotion programs. Several programs address the National Education Goals, as well as many of the objectives in *Healthy People 2000*. Quick reference tables, which follow the introduction, have been included to **help** readers quickly identify areas of interest. Table 1 graphically representing the match between reviewed programs and the eight components of a **comprehensive** school health model, while Table 2 displays selected *Healthy People 2000* priority areas.

The majority of the programs tended to be self-contained within one component, such as health education. Several of these programs linked the classroom **curriculum** with parent and family involvement. A few programs, such as CATCH, had multiple program components that made significant advances toward a truly coordinated school health program.

Many of the programs relied on theories **from** the fields of psychology, sociology, education, and epidemiology to develop their intervention strategies. Social learning/cognitive theories dominated but control theories and a variety of developmental and social relationship theories were also represented and reflect the rich, multi-disciplinary tradition on which school health programs are based.

## References

1. US Public Health Service. *Healthy People 2000: National Health Promotion and Disease Prevention Objectives*. Washington, DC: US Dept of Health and Human Services publication 91-50212. 1990.
2. Marx EM, Wooley SF. *Health is Academic*. New York, NY: Teachers Press. 1998.
3. World Health Organization. *Comprehensive School Health Education: Suggested Guidelines for Action*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization publication. 1992.
4. Institute of Medicine, Committee on Comprehensive School Health Programs, Division of Health Sciences Policy. Allensworth D, Lawson E, Nicholson L, Wyche J, eds. *Schools and Health*. Washington, DC: National Academic Press. 1997.
5. Allensworth D, Kolbe L. The comprehensive school health program: exploring and expanded concept. *J Schl Health*. 1987; 57(10):409-4 12.

## Figure 2 (cont):

- Pawtucket Heart Health Program
- Peer Power and ADAM
- Positive Youth Development Program
- Postponing Sexual Involvement
- Project ACCEPT
- Project ALERT
- Project Model Health
- Project PRIDE
- Project SMART
- Reducing the Risk
- Reproductive Health Programs of Six School Based Clinics
- San Diego Family Health Project
- San Francisco AIDS Prevention Education
- School-Based Adolescent Health Care
- School Health Demonstration Program
- School/Community Sexual Risk Reduction
- Seattle Children's Bicycle Helmet Campaign
- Self Center Program
- Solid Waste Reduction Program
- Stanford Adolescent Heart Health Program
- Students for Wellness
- Suicide Awareness Curriculum
- SUPER II Program
- Teenage Health Teaching Modules
- Television, School and Family Project
- Testicular Self-Examination Program
- Three Intervention Programs
- Three Rs And HBP
- Westchester County Occupant Restraint Program
- Youth Development and Substance Use Prevention

Heal  
Env  
my?  
nmer

## Program Reviewers

---

**Howard Adelman, MD**

University of California – Los Angeles

**Amita Bhatt, MHS**

Johns Hopkins University

**Kris Bosworth, PhD**

Indiana University

**Claire Brindis, DrPH**

University of California –  
San Francisco

**Kelli McCormack Brown, PhD**

University of South Florida

**Brian Colwell, PhD, CHES**

Texas A & M University

**Linda B. Crider, PhD**

University of Florida

**Kevin Dwyer**

National Association  
of School Psychologists

**Jill English, PhD**

California State University – Fullerton

**Oliver E. (Gene) Ezell, PhD**

University of Tennessee – Chattanooga

**Gail Carter Frank, DrPH**

California State University –  
Long Beach

**Dawna Fuqua-Whitley, MA**

Emory University

**Susan Scavo Gallagher, MPH**

Children's Safety Network/  
Education Development Center

**Nicholas K. Iammarino, PhD**

Rice University

**Delores C. S. James, PhD, RD**

University of Florida

**Arthur L. Kellermann, MD, MPH**

Emory University

**Molly Laflin, PhD**

Bowling Green State University

**Lynette K. Lawrance, PhD**

University of North Carolina –  
Greensboro

**Susan R. Levy, PhD**

University of Illinois

**Leslie Lytle, PhD**

University of Minnesota

**Bonnie Nastasi, PhD**

University of Albany, SUNY

**Kweethai Chin Neill, PhD**

Sam Houston State University

**James J. Neutens, PhD**

University of Tennessee – Knoxville

**David Osher, PhD**

Institutes for Research

**Cheryl L. Perry, PhD**

University of Minnesota

**Margaret Raynal**

University of Florida

**Barbara A. Rienzo, PhD**

University of Florida

**Laurina G. Robinson, PhD**

University of Illinois

**John Santelli, MD**

Centers for Disease  
Control and Prevention – DASH

**Mark Swerdlik, PhD**

Illinois State University

**Susan K. Telljohann, PhD**

University of Toledo

**Nancy O'Hara Tompkins**

West Virginia University

**Robert F. Valois, PhD**

University of South Carolina –  
Columbia

**Chudley E. Werch, PhD**

University of North Florida –  
Jacksonville

**Alice Whiren, PhD**

Michigan State University



TABLE 1

*Fix column heads*

*Environmental*

Program Name	Components								
	HEALTH EDUCATION	HEALTH SERVICES	PSYCHOSOCIAL SERVICES	FOOD/NUTRITION SERVICES	PHYSICAL EDUCATION	ENVIRONMENTAL & ADMINISTRATION	FAMILY & COMMUNITY	FACULTY & STAFF WELLNESS	
ACHIEVE						■			
Athletic Health Care Systems					■				
Becoming a Responsible Teen	■								
Be Proud, Be Responsible	■								
California's 5-A-Day Power Play	■			■					
CATCH -Eat Smart				■					
CATCH • PE					■		■		
Child Development Project	■						■		
Children of Divorce Intervention Program			■				■		
Coca-Cola Valued Youth Program	■								
Community of Caring	■						■		
Decker Family Development Center		■					■		
Developmental Approaches in Science and Health (DASH)	■								
Florida Traffic and Bicycle Safety Education Program	■								
Get Real About AIDS	■								
Gimme5	■								
Going for the Goal	■								
Good Touch, Bad Touch	■								
GRADS (Graduation, Reality, and Dual-Role Skills)	■								
Healthy for Life	■								
High/Scope Perry Preschool Program	■								
I Can Problem Solve	■								
Increasing Bicycle Helmet Use in Michigan	■								
Jeffco Middle School Life Science	■								
Kansas LEAN				■					
Mediation in the Schools	■								

**TABLE 1**

Fix Column heads

[illegible]

TABLE 2

Fix  
column  
head

Healthy People 2000 Selected Priority Areas											
Program Name	Components	PHYSICAL ACTIVITY	NUTRITION	TOBACCO USE	ALCOHOL & OTHER DRUGS	REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH	MENTAL HEALTH	VIOLENT + ABUSIVE BEHAVIOR	UNINTENTIONAL INJURY	STD/HIV	CLINICAL PREVENTIVE SERVICES
ACHIEVE							■				■
Athletic Health Care Systems		■							■		
Becoming a Responsible Teen						■				■	
Be Proud, Be Responsible						■				■	
California's 5-A-Day Power Play		■	■								
CATCH - Eat Smart			■								
CATCH - PE		■									
Child Development Project							■				
Children of Divorce Intervention Program							■				
Coca-Cola Valued Youth Program							■				
Community of Caring						■					
Decker Family Development Center							■				■
Developmental Approaches in Science and Health (DASH)				■		■					
Florida Traffic and Bicycle Safety Education Program									■		
Get Real About AIDS										■	
Gimme 5			■								
Going for the Goal							■				
Good Touch, Bad Touch							■	■			
GRADS (Graduation, Reality, and Dual-Role Skills)						■					
Healthy for Life					■						
High/Scope Perry Preschool Program							■				
I Can Problem Solve							■	■			
Increasing Bicycle Helmet Use in Michigan									■		
Jeffco Middle School Life Science		■	■								
Kansas LEAN			■								
Mediation in the Schools							■	■			

## TABLE 2

Fix  
Column  
heads

[illegible]

## Key to Ready Reference Bars

### Program Components

Audience	P	Pre-Kindergarten
	K <sup>3</sup>	Primary Grades (K-3)
	4 <sup>6</sup>	Upper Elementary (4-6)
	M	Middle School
	H	High School
	K <sup>12</sup>	Kindergarten to 12th
	S	Special Education

Locale	R	Rural
	U	Urban
	S	Suburban
	M	Multiple

Level	Cl	Classroom
	B	Building
	D	District
	Co	Community

Components	C	Curriculum
	St	Staff Development
	Pe	Peer Involvement
	Pa	Parent Involvement
	M	Media
	P	Policy
	Sk	Skills
	Se	Service Learning

Emphasis	K	Knowledge
	A	Attitude
	N	Norms
	B	Behaviors

A *Ready Reference Bar*, which appears on the edge of each page, allows readers to quickly review the program and evaluation highlights.

### Evaluation Information

Experimental	E	Design
Quasi-experimental	Q	

Individual	I	Analysis
Group	G	
Building	B	
District	D	
Community	C	
Multiple	M	

Qualitative	Ql	Data
Quantitative	Qn	
Both	B	

Formative	F	Type
Summative	S	
Outcome	O	
Process	P	

# ACHIEVE

## Program Description

*Project ACHIEVE* is a school-wide reform process developed in 1990 by the University of South Florida's School Psychology Program in conjunction with several school systems to improve the academic and social progress of at-risk and underachieving students in Chapter I schools. The target population is elementary schools students. **ACHIEVE** has now expanded to similarly challenged schools across the country.

*ACHIEVE* places particular emphasis on improving students' social behavior and aggression control and reducing incidents of school-based violence. An assumption of the project is that special education is not an appropriate intervention for all children with school-related problems. Thus, *ACHIEVE* helps teachers and other educators accurately **identify** and confirm why specific problems exist and then determine the best approach to address the problems. Another assumption is that a student's intervention needs and the school's ability to address those needs should dictate the intervention setting; a student's perceived disability or label should not be the basis.

The program has six primary goals. They are to: 1) enhance teachers' problem-solving skills; 2) improve teachers' classroom management skills of teachers and at-risk students' classroom behavior; 3) to improve the school's comprehensive services to students with below-average academic performance; 4) to increase students' academic and social progress; 5) to assess various components of *ACHIEVE*; and 6) to create a climate where school staff believe they are responsible for every student.

The project requires staff and resources found in most Chapter I schools including: a school counselor, school psychologist, school social worker or parent educator, instructional specialists, speech or language therapist and a school nurse. In addition, a full time project manager coordinates the processes and services. Because of the multiple facets involved in whole school reform, *ACHIEVE occurs as* a series of carefully sequenced steps over a period of three years. This gradual change maximizes **staff** acceptance, provides skill training in a sequential order with increasing complexity, insures the existence of classroom-based technical support and consultation and facilitates accurate data collection that measures outcomes and demonstrates accountability.

## Services Available

The project provides training in problem-solving, social skills and anger management, effective teaching, curriculum-based assessment, parent education and training in social and academic behavior, organizational planning, organizational development and evaluation. Start-up costs, based on a 750 student building, are approximately \$ 167 per student. Once the program is operational, the cost drops to \$100 per student.

## Implications for Practice

In 1994 Congress passed *Goals 2000: Educate America Act*. Goal 7 states that by the year 2000, every school in the nation will be drug- and violence-free and offer a disciplined **environment** conducive to learning. *ACHIEVE* provides a means to achieve this goal for children and communities with the greatest need. Although *ACHIEVE* focuses on systems change in elementary schools, **if the** reductions in fighting continue, it shows potential for addressing *Healthy People 2000* objective 7-9 to reduce by 20 percent the incidence of physical fighting among adolescents aged 14-17.

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	✓
	4 <sup>6</sup>	✓
	M	
	H	
	K <sup>12</sup>	
	S	

Locale	R	
	U	✓
	S	✓
	M	

	CI	✓
	B	✓
	D	
	C	

Components	C	
	St	✓
	Pe	✓
	Pa	
	M	
	P	
	Sk	✓
	Se	

Emphasis	K	✓
	A	✓
	N	✓
	B	✓

## Program Contact

Dr. Howard M. Knoff  
 Dr. George M. Batsche  
 Institute for School Reform, Integrated Services and  
 Child Mental Health and Educational Policy  
 School Psychology Program  
 The University of South Florida  
 FAO 100U, Room 268  
 Tampa, FL 33620-7750  
 PH: (813) 974-3246 /FAX: (813) 974-5814

# ACHIEVE

	E	Design
✓	Q	

✓	I	
	G	
	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	

	QI	Data
✓	Qn	
	B	

	F	Type
	S	
✓	O	
✓	P	

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

Two types of quasi-experimental designs have tested the impact of *Project ACHIEVE*. One school has conducted a pretest, on-going measures during three years of program implementation and a **posttest** after treatment. During the second year of the four year study, measures **from** a demographically-matched school served as a comparison for the program school. Both schools are part of a racially diverse school district with many children in prekindergarten through **fifth** grade coming from low-income households. The school district serves approximately 34,000 elementary **students**, **28 percent** of whom are minorities and 48 **percent** <sup>of whom</sup> receive a free or reduced <sup>price</sup> lunch.

Data collection occurred on a monthly basis and included information on disciplinary actions, suspensions, attendance; the number of social skills training sessions held per week; the number of **technical** assistance sessions conducted; and the methods and **frequency** of home-school contacts. Data on grade retention, average student attendance, achievement scores, and satisfaction of teachers, parents and community as measured by a survey were collected annually. Dependent measures compared the program school to itself (baseline/post treatment) as well as to the comparison school. Indicators of effectiveness included student outcomes (e.g. referral to special education, placement in special education, disciplinary referrals to the principal, grade retention, achievement scores), teacher outcomes (e.g. teacher discipline referrals to the office), school outcomes (e.g. discipline, suspension/expulsion) and other outcomes (e.g. academic improvements of children whose parents were in the Parent Drop-In Program)

From baseline, the program school saw a 75 percent decrease in student referrals for special education assessment and the number of students placed in special education has declined **from** 6 percent to 2 percent of the student population after the third year of the program. The comparison school's special education placement rate was 7 percent. Over the years, the program school also experienced a 28 percent decline in total disciplinary referrals to the principal's office; a decline in student grade retentions from 6 percent to 1 percent in the third year; and a decline in out-of-school suspensions **from** nine incidents per 100 students to three incidents during the third year.

During the comparison year, 5 percent of students in the control school were suspended, compared to 3 percent at the project school. Other accomplishments included an increase in the number of students scoring **above** the 50th percentile on end-of-the-year **achievement** tests, especially for those involved at the **youngest** ages.

Teachers' perceptions of school climate improved but **not** significantly. Although academic achievement improved for students whose parents attended sessions at the Parent Drop-In Center, the **difference** from the **comparison** school was not statistically significant. All of the parents and 82 percent of teachers reported a significant decrease in the number and intensity of behavior problems. All parents who attended unproved knowledge scores by an average of **10-20** percent on the course content; 82 percent of those parents agreed or agreed strongly that their relationship with their children improved, and 91 percent **agreed** or agreed strongly that they had better control of their children. The effects of the parent drop-in program were most significant in the area of social behavior. Twice as many of the program students as those in the comparison school improved in their home and school behavior.

## Critique

*Project ACHIEVE* demonstrated that a school-wide reform program using comprehensive staff development and training can significantly enhance the social and academic behavior of students. The results are based on intense work and technical resources in one school building. Thus, it is unclear whether the successes observed in one school result from the project **staff's** presence or the project components themselves. Further, it is not known which components of the project are necessary for success. One measure used was fighting in school. No other measures examined health indicators, such as substance abuse, or long term effects on students' behaviors as they become adolescents.

## Evaluation Contact

Dr. Howard M. Knoff  
 Dr. George M. Batsche  
 Institute **for School Reform, Integrated Services and**  
 Child Mental Health and Educational Policy  
 School Psychology Program  
 The University of South Florida  
 FAO 100U, Room 268  
 Tampa, FL 33620-7750  
 PH: (813) 974-3246 / FAX: (813) 974-5814

# Athletic Health Care System

## Program Description

The *Athletic Health Care System (AHCS)*, developed by Stephen Rice, MD, **PhD**, in conjunction with the Seattle Public Schools and the University of Washington Division of Sports Medicine, is a comprehensive program to prevent and manage injuries in interscholastic athletic activities. It has been approved by the National **Diffusion** Network of the U.S. Department of Education since 1983 and has been adopted by over 462 school districts in 27 states and territories.

The program enhances the health benefits of athletic activity for high school students who participate in interscholastic sports by reducing the risks and morbidity of injury. The goals of the program are to: 1) improve a school's injury prevention system; 2) improve the injury recognition and treatment system; 3) promote sound health practices by student-athletes; and 4) expose students to career opportunities in the athletic health care field.

Key elements of the program include: 1) assessing various health and safety aspects of the **current** athletic program including athletic facilities, equipment, **central** training room, staff training emergency preparation, provision of health care services and record keeping; 2) educating a "health care team" comprised of all coaches, the school nurse, **certified** athletic trainers and student trainers in the principles and methods of basic sports medicine in the areas of injury prevention, emergency preparedness, injury recognition and treatment, supportive taping and rehabilitation; 3) organizing a central training room operated in part by student trainers under adult supervision and equipped to offer basic health care services; 4) creating an organizational **risk-**management system through use of checklists, guidelines, protocols and procedures; 5) establishing a record keeping system to document injuries and **actions taken to safeguard against liability**; and 6) evaluating the program on a regular basis.

## Services Available

Materials to increase awareness, including literature and a video tape, are available at no cost. Schools adopting the **program** are required to attend a **30-hour**, five-day course on site for the entire health team. In addition to a **300-page** textbook, teaching methods include lectures, slide presentations, videos, anatomic models and demonstrations. One-third of the workshop is a hands-on laboratory experience.

Program materials, including all record-keeping forms, are provided to program adopters. In addition to the five-day workshop, an in-service program for program coordinators is available at the summer National Leadership Institute held in Seattle, Wash. **Three-**graduate credits are available from the University of Washington for the in-service program. Price breakdowns are available on request.

## Implications for Practice

Nearly six million high school students participate in interscholastic sports annually. Nationally, an estimated two million injuries result in the loss of play each year. Although this number has been declining, the rate of major injuries is rising. Numerous studies in the past 20 years show that interscholastic athletes and their coaches possess little or no training in the basic principles of athletic health care. AHCS routinely incorporates the latest advances in sports medicine and offers the most comprehensive program available. AHCS challenges schools and communities to implement a sound risk management program in the best interests of schools and athletes.

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	
	4 <sup>6</sup>	
	M	
	H	✓
	K <sup>12</sup>	
	S	

Locale	R	
	U	
	S	
	M	✓

Level	Cl	
	B	
	D	✓
	C	

Components	C	
	St	✓
	Pe	✓
	Pa	
	M	
	P	✓
	Sk	✓
	Se	✓

Emphasis	K	✓
	A	✓
	N	✓
	B	✓

## Program Contact

Stephen G. Rice, MD, **PhD**  
 Project Director  
 Division of Sports Medicine  
 University of Washington  
 Box 354060  
 Seattle, WA 98 195-4060  
 PH: (206) 543-6734 / FAX: (206) 543-6573



# Athletic Health Care System

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

**Criteria** for success were **identified** in four areas: training, emergency preparedness and pre-game protocols; injury recognition and injury surveillance; and quality assurance. To measure the effectiveness of the training, a **72-item** cognitive **pre/posttest** was developed. Ruder-Richardson-20 reliability coefficients of internal consistency range between **.70** and **.95**. Since 1987, the test has been given to over 1,500 individuals **with** an average reliability of **.84**. Three categories of participants were **identified**: coaches, student trainers and health professionals (school nurses, physicians and athletic trainers). In every category **pre/posttest** knowledge gains have been consistently **significant** ( $p < .001$ ).

To measure emergency preparedness and pregame protocols, an observation checklist of over 100 items was developed. Groups of certified athletic trainers determined face validity of the instrument for correctness and currency. Trained observers then used the checklists to monitor pre-event activity. Inter-rater reliability and ease of administration have been consistently high. Collected data were aggregated into two scores: emergency hit preparedness and a pre-event **safety** score. Clear **differences** were noted between treatment and control groups involving 250 observations. Nonparametric sum of rank testing (Mann-Whitney **U**) found a **significant** difference ( $p < .002$ ) between treatment and control schools for emergency hit scores, as well as a significant difference ( $p < .01$ ) for safety activities

To determine the effectiveness of training to recognize and report injury, a Daily Injury Report (DIR) was used. Control schools reported all injuries at a rate of 6.9 injuries per 1,000 athletic exposures compared to 17.3 injuries per 1,000 athletic exposures for the treatment schools. Treatment schools recognized many more minor injuries which resulted in shorter periods of limited participation. In 17 schools with at least eight years of program implementation, **DIRs** revealed a steady downward trend in the number of significant and major injuries leveling off at approximately 10 percent of all reported injuries, a significant **difference from** control reports.

**Finally**, qualitative measures, which followed an **athlete from** the moment of **injury** through all phases of recognition, transport, evaluation, **treatment**, **rehabilitation** and return to play, were used to assess the quality of actual care provided to injured athletes. Athletes were interviewed after the season using a **structured**, comprehensive questionnaire to obtain information about the injury and care rendered. **Coaches**, athletic trainers and student trainers were also interviewed to obtain additional and **confirmatory data**. The information was **summarized** into a **structured** format. In the latest extensive evaluation (1986-1989) using an experimental design with 70 **cases** (38 treatment cases in three schools and 32 **control** cases), six experts in sports medicine reviewed **each** case study using objective criteria for evaluation. Evaluators did not know whether the case came from a treatment or a control school. Eight-four percent of **the** treatment school cases received passing grades, while only 11 percent of control schools passed. In **two** of the three treatment schools, all passed.

## Critique

**This** pioneering program has identified several important national trends: 1) injuries to female athletes occur more often than to **male** athletes; 2) fall sports have more injuries than spring sports; and 3) cross country running and soccer are among the upper tier of "high injury sports" along with football, wrestling and gymnastics.

## Evaluation Contact

Stephen G. Rice, MD, PhD  
Project Director  
Division of Sports Medicine  
University of Washington  
Box 354060  
Seattle, WA 98195-4060  
PH: (206) 543-6734 / FAX: (206) 543-6573

# Becoming A Responsible Teen

## Program Description

*Becoming a Responsible Teen (BART)* is an HIV/AIDS prevention education curriculum based on social learning theory and the IMB (information, motivation, behavioral skills) risk reduction model. Developed in conjunction with a local advisory panel of teenagers, the program combines information with skills training on correct condom use, sexual assertiveness, refusal skills, self-management, problem solving and risk assessment.

The program consists of eight sessions that last 90 to 120 minutes each. Topics include information about HIV and AIDS, sexual decisions and values, condom use, communication in relationships, strategies for avoiding high risk situations, developing creative solutions to problems and providing peer support for responsible actions. Activities include a panel presentation by HIV-positive youth, group discussions and role-plays created by teens. The curriculum includes two **culturally** appropriate videos. Activities encourage teens not only to protect themselves, but also to spread the word among their **friends**. Out-of-class assignments include use of the skills learned, reporting back at the next meeting.

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention reviewed *BART* for its *Research to Classroom Project* and found it to have credible evidence of effectiveness in modifying behavior. As of 1997, *BART* was one of only four HIV/AIDS risk reduction programs CDC had identified as having such evidence.

## Services Available

The Education Development Center can identify trainers to conduct the recommended two and a half to three days of training for group leaders. ETR Associates offers a **curriculum** guide for *BART* that includes session outlines, instructions for group leaders, handouts for duplication, consent forms, and general considerations for setting up the program.

## Implications for Practice

The incidence of sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) serves as a reasonable indicator of the potential risk of adolescent-acquired HIV **infection**. Rates of gonorrhea infection have generally increased or remained stable among adolescents aged 15 to 19 in the last decade and adolescents account for almost a third of all STD cases. Low-income, minority youth living in cities are at greater risk than the general population for infection with HIV and other **STDs** due to greater concentrations of these diseases in urban areas. Therefore, providing HIV and STD risk-reduction interventions to low income urban adolescents is a public health priority. Sections 18 and 19 of *Healthy People 2000* identify several objectives related to preventing infection with HIV and other **STDs**. Information alone is not **sufficient** to motivate behavior change, particularly in light of adolescents' feeling of invincibility. *BART* addresses participants' informational needs, motivational **influences**, and behavior within a welldocumented social learning framework. It provides developmentally and culturally-appropriate avenues to address the particular concerns of urban, low-income, minority youth.

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	
	4 <sup>6</sup>	
	M	✓
	H	✓
	K <sup>12</sup>	
	S	

Locale	R	
	U	✓
	S	
	M	

Level	C	✓
	B	
	D	

Components	C	✓
	St	✓
	Pe	
	Pa	
	M	
	P	
	Sk	✓
	Se	

Emphasis	K	✓
	A	✓
	N	✓
	B	✓

## Program Contact

for trainers:  
National Training Partnership  
Education Development Center  
872 West Ford Road  
Carlisle, MA 01741  
PH: (800) 225-4276

for materials:  
ETR Associates  
P.O. Box 1830  
Santa Cruz, CA 95061-1830  
PH: (800) 321-4407

# Becoming A Responsible Teen

✓	E	Design
	Q	

✓	I	
	G	
	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	

	QI	Data
✓	Qn	
	B	

	F	Type
✓	S	
✓	O	
	P	

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

The evaluation study was conducted in a **medium-**sized southern city at a community health center that serves predominantly low-income, African-American patients. After receiving informed consent, **interviewers** conducted individual assessments of adolescents in a private conference room at the center. Eligible participants were youth between the ages of 14-18 who had no symptoms of HIV infection.

Two hundred forty-six adolescents (28 percent male) were randomly assigned either to an educational program (control group) or to the eight-week program intervention. At baseline, 42 percent of the comparison students and 36 percent of the participants reported engaging in sexual intercourse in the eight weeks prior to recruitment. Thirteen percent of the sample had at least one child. Subjects received \$5 per hour for each session they attended. They also received a t-shirt for attending all sessions.

At pretest, the two groups showed no significant differences in risk behaviors. Male adolescents, however, were significantly less positive than females in their attitudes toward condoms and response efficacy and higher in the number of **lifetime** sexual partners. The control group received one two-hour educational session that was developmentally and culturally appropriate. The session consisted of information interspersed with games, group discussion and other activities typical of many classroom-based curricula. **Program** participants attended eight weekly sessions that included behavioral skills training. The **first** session was the same for both groups. In addition to factual information, youth in the *BART* program participated in activities to build skills in correct condom use, assertive communication, refusal, sharing information with others, self-management, problem solving and risk recognition. Group sizes ranged **from** five to 15.

Interviewers individually reassessed participants in both the control and *BART* interventions two, six and 12 months **after** the program ended using the same measures as the pre-intervention. **Of the** original 246 participants, 91.5 percent completed the year follow up. There were no significant differences in mean age, education or instrumental measures among those lost to attrition, usually due to a family move. Measures consisted of self-reports of knowledge, attitude and behaviors and trained observers' **assessments** of

**performance** in simulated role-plays developed and **validated** by youth as **difficult** but real social situations. The self-report tests were modifications of **tandardized** instruments. Reliability measures ranged **rom** .75-.80.

**Participants** in *BART* were significantly more skillful than those who received only the two-hour session at **handling** coercive situations and providing **information** to peers. They were more **likely** to **acknowledge** the partner's wish in a positive way **while** providing a rationale for their **refusal**, stressing the need for safety and recommending safer **alternatives** ( $p<.0005$ ). Interestingly, **BART produced** **significantly** greater increases in knowledge and the **difference** was sustained across the year follow-up **period** despite the fact that both groups received the **same** information. At the year follow up, 42.5 percent **of** the comparison group reported being sexually **active** compared to 27 percent of the *BART* participants. In addition, those who were sexually active and who participated in *BART* reported significantly fewer sex partners over the year period. Females who participated in *BART* were significantly less **likely** than the controls to engage in unprotected intercourse and were more likely to use condoms regularly over the year period. Males who participated in *BART* **were** significantly more likely than the controls to use condoms immediately after the program and for the **first** six months however these **differences** disappeared by the year follow up.

## Critique

**This** was a well-designed, rigorous evaluation that produced statistically and clinically meaningful results. In addition, it was well received by the participants and their families. The findings confirmed that explicit sexual education and skills training can lower rates of sexual activity among previously active youth and deter the onset of sexual activity for youth who are abstinent at program entry.

## Evaluation Contact

Janet S. St. Lawrence  
Division of STD Prevention  
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention  
4770 Buford Hwy., NE  
Atlanta, GA 30341  
PH: (404) 639-8376

# Be Proud! Be Responsible!

## Program Description

*Be Proud! Be Responsible!* consists of six 50-minute lessons that provide information, motivation, and skills necessary to change adolescents' behaviors and reduce their risk of contracting HIV and other sexually transmitted diseases. *Be Proud! Be Responsible!*'s original target population was **African-American** male adolescents living in urban areas, although the current version works with male and female inner-city adolescents of various races. The curriculum has a strong inner-city and **sense-of-community** approach and examines how HIV and AIDS have **affected** inner-city communities. It suggests that protecting the community is one reason to change individual risky behaviors. *Be Proud! Be Responsible!* also stresses the role of sexual responsibility and accountability and the role of pride in making safer sexual choices. Because adolescents struggle with issues around self-esteem, self-respect and self-pride; *Be Proud! Be Responsible!* emphasizes that making responsible safer sexual choices can feel good. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention identified *Be Proud! Be Responsible!* as a *Program that Works* in its *Research to Classroom* project that identifies risk reduction curricula with credible evidence of effectiveness.

In the original implementation, small groups of six to 12 adolescents participated in the curriculum. Subsequently, teachers have used the curriculum in classroom settings. The basis for the curriculum is social learning theory. Lessons address information about HIV and AIDS, vulnerability to HIV infection, attitudes and beliefs about participating in risky sexual behaviors, analyzing situations for risk, negotiation and refusal skills, correct use of a condom, self-efficacy and pride in oneself and one's community. Teaching activities include videos, small group discussions, games, condom demonstrations, and role playing. The curriculum helps participants recognize ways that faulty reasoning that leads to unsafe sexual activity can increase the risk of HIV infection and other negative consequences. It also helps students increase their comfort with condom use and decreases concerns about condoms reducing sexual enjoyment and spontaneity.

## Services Available

The program includes a teacher's manual with background information, lesson plans, and masters needed for games and student activities plus videos. A package with the manual and video clips costs \$95. Longer videos used in the curriculum, which are often available locally, are available at an additional cost. Training for educators acquainted with HIV prevention and adolescent sexuality requires 16 hours. Others will require 24 hours of training. Costs of training vary.

## Implications for Practice

**African Americans** and Hispanics, especially those living in urban areas, have been disproportionately affected by HIV infection and AIDS. Fifty-two percent of AIDS cases in the United States are among **African Americans** or Hispanics, yet they represent only 23 percent of the population. **African Americans** account for over 50 percent of all the children diagnosed with AIDS. In 1994, the death rate from HIV infection was four times higher for African-American men than for white men and nine times higher for **African-American** women than for white women. *Youth Risks Behavior Survey* data has revealed that more **African-American** adolescents engage in sexual intercourse at younger ages than other teens in the United States. By seeking to reduce sexual activity and increase condom use among sexually-active, urban adolescents, *Be Proud! Be Responsible!* addresses several *Healthy People 2000* objectives (18.3, 18.4, 18.10, 19.9, 19.10, 19.11).

Audience	P
	K <sup>3</sup>
	4 <sup>6</sup>
	M✓
	H✓
	K <sup>12</sup>
	S

Locale	R
	U✓
	S
	M

Level	CI✓
	B
	D
	C

Components	C✓
	St
	Pe
	Pa
	M
	P
	Sk✓
	Se

Emphasis	K✓
	A✓
	N
	B✓

## Program Contact

for program information:  
Loretta Sweet Jemmott, Ph.D.  
University of Pennsylvania  
School of Nursing  
420 Guardian Drive, Suite 309  
Philadelphia, PA 19104-6096  
PH: (215)898-6373  
FAX: (215)573-9193

to order materials:  
Select Media  
PH: (212) 732-4437

to arrange for training:  
Staff Development Office  
Rocky Mountain Center for  
Health Promotion and Education  
PH: (303) 239-6494

# Be Proud! Be Responsible!

## Evidence of Effectiveness

A study started in 1988 supported by the American Foundation for AIDS Research and the National Institute of Child Health and **Human** Development recruited 157 inner-city, African-American males. Forty-four percent of the participants were outpatients at a clinic, 32 percent were high school students, and 24 percent were from a YMCA. Their ages ranged **from** 12 to 19 with a mean age of 14.6. Ninety-seven percent concurrently attended school. At baseline, 79 percent reported using condoms, but only 30 percent always used them. Their primary risk for HIV infection was heterosexual intercourse. Participants received pay for attending and returning the three-month follow-up questionnaire (completed by 96 percent of participants).

**The** six-hour program took place on one Saturday in a local school. When participants arrived, they completed pre-program questionnaires that included items about HIV risk-associated sexual behaviors, intentions to engage in risky sexual behaviors, attitudes toward risky sexual behaviors, knowledge about HIV and AIDS and career-related information. As students took the pre-test, researchers stratified them by age and randomly assigned them to either the program or a control intervention that **involved** instruction on career opportunities. Participants completed post-test questionnaires at the conclusion of the program and again three months later. Over several months, 27 small groups (14 program and 13 control) participated in the study. Facilitators were African-American men and women who had experience working with youth, knowledge of HIV and AIDS, at least a four-year college degree and six hours of training on the program.

Immediately after the program, youth exposed to **Be Proud! Be Responsible!** had greater knowledge about HIV and AIDS, less favorable attitudes toward risky sexual behaviors, and weaker intentions to engage in such behaviors than did the controls. Knowledge gains were greatest in groups led by a male facilitator. At the three-month follow-up, **Be Proud! Be Responsible!** participants **scored** higher than the controls on knowledge and behavioral intentions to **refrain from** risky behaviors and they reported engaging in less risky sexual behaviors. The reductions in risky behaviors were greatest in groups led by female facilitators. Participants in the **Be**

**Proud! Be Responsible!** group were no more likely **than** the control to practice abstinence, but they did **report** having intercourse on fewer days, with fewer women, and with fewer women involved with other men. They also reported using condoms more often **and** reductions in anal intercourse.

## Critique

**The** robustness of the study design, including random assignment to a comparable but not overlapping control makes the relatively small sample size acceptable. Paying participants probably contributed to the 96 percent retention rate for the three-month follow up. It could also have biased self-reports of behaviors, although the researchers used several measures intended to limit self-report bias. Recruitment of volunteers to participate occurred in various urban community and school settings, but the vast majority of participants attended school, reported using no injectable drugs or sharing needles and none reported homosexual or bisexual activities. Participants, thus probably did not represent urban youth at the highest risk for HIV infection, although all participants **reported** sexual experience at pretest. The program reduced the participation of urban African-American males in risky sexual behaviors and increased condom use, but did not promote abstinence.

## Evaluation Contact

John B. Jemmott III, PhD  
Princeton University  
Department of Psychology  
Washington Road  
151 Green Hall  
Princeton, NJ 08544-1010  
PH: (609) 258-4442 /FAX: (609) 258-1113

✓	E	Design
	Q	

✓	I	Analysis
	G	
	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	

	QI	Data
✓	Qn	
	B	

	F	Type
✓	S	
✓	O	
	P	

# California's 5 A Day Power Play

## Program Description

### The California Children's 5 A Day Power Play!

Campaign is a statewide initiative of the California 5 A Day For Better Health! Campaign established in 1992. The "Better Health" campaign is a public/private partnership involving the California Departments of Education and Health Services, the American Cancer Society (California division), the National Cancer Institute and the produce industry. Over a period of 10 weeks it uses local media and social marketing techniques based on social learning theory and resiliency theory to raise awareness of the importance of eating **fruits** and vegetables. It engages fourth- and fifth-grade children and their parents in a variety of promotional activities in various settings including schools, community youth organizations, supermarkets and farmers' markets.

Teachers select the 5 A Day Power Play! classroom activities **from** among 65 included in the Resource Kit. The Resource Kit is organized into six "power" components: *Classroom Power* includes activities for a large group; *Student Power* activities are done independently at school or at home; *Family Power* encourages family interaction; *Cafeteria Power* encourages children to select a **fruit** and vegetable at breakfast and lunch and helps make the cafeteria a "living laboratory;" *School Power* helps bond the student to the school; and *Community Power* encourages students to interact with their community safely and confidently. Within each Power component, activities begin with knowledge and awareness, move through skill building and end with application. Teachers and school food service personnel implement all activities. Classroom activities integrate into science, mathematics and language arts, as well as health.

## Services Available

A 5 A Day Power Play! School Idea and Resource Kits are available in English and in Spanish for \$36. Stick-ers, posters and miniposters are also available. Quantity discounts apply.

### Implications for Practice

Cancer is the leading cause of death for adults aged 65 or younger. Cancer is not one disease but a constellation of more than 100 different diseases, each characterized by the uncontrolled growth and spread of abnormal cells. There are many factors that contribute to this long-term disease process. More than a third of all cancer deaths are linked to tobacco use. In addition, *Healthy People 2000* has identified two diet-related objectives: 16.7 seeks to reduce dietary fat and 16.8 seeks to increase the consumption of fruits, vegetables and grains. Adults who eat five servings or more of fruits and vegetables have about half **the** risk of most major cancers compared to adults who eat two servings or fewer. In addition, the increased fruits and vegetables eating ~~pattern~~ <sup>stroke/reduced</sup> is protective against heart disease and ~~stroke/reduced~~ calorie intake and weight gain.

The habit of eating **fruits** and vegetables begins in childhood and continues into adulthood. A recent study **from** the National Cancer Institute found that only one in **five** American children consumed the recommended five servings. A U.S. Department of Agriculture study recently concluded that fruits and vegetables are the least consumed of the **five** food groups and that **fruit** and vegetable intake by youth is falling. The 5 A Day Power Play! compliments national efforts to encourage consumers to eat more fruits and vegetables daily by providing experiential activities for children in the school classroom.

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	
	4 <sup>6</sup>	✓
	M	
	H	
	K <sup>12</sup>	
	S	

Locale	R	
	U	
	S	
	M	✓

Level	Cl	✓
	B	
	D	
	C	

Components	C	✓
	St	✓
	Pe	
	Pa	✓
	M	✓
	P	
	Sk	✓
	Se	

Emphasis	K	✓
	A	✓
	N	
	B	✓

## Program Contact

Tanya Garbolino  
Nutrition and Cancer Prevention Program  
California Department of Health Services  
601 North 7th Street, MS-65  
P.O. Box 942732  
Sacramento, CA 94234-7340  
PH: (916) 327-2918 /FAX: (916) 322-1532

# California's 5 A Day Power Play

	E	Design
✓	Q	

	I	
	G	
	B	
	D	
✓	C	
	M	

	QI	Data
✓	Qn	
	B	

	F	Type
	S	
✓	O	
	P	

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

The evaluation took place during an eight week period from February to June 1995 and involved 3,966 children in fourth and **fifth** grade in 49 schools in four school districts in three geographically distinct communities. Over 70 percent of the children were Hispanic. Attrition was 5 percent. One community served as the control, one received the activities designed to be implemented in school only and the third received the school and community activities.

One hundred **fifty-one** teachers in the four school districts received training in data collection. The children in all three communities completed simplified **24-hour** food diaries and questionnaires before and after the intervention, resulting in 2,684 matched sets of food diaries and questionnaires. A p&post-design measured changes in diet, knowledge, attitudes, norms and behaviors. Intermediate variables included having a nutrition lesson in school, participation in the school lunch program, factors in the child's environment, policies of participating organizations, and the intent of the school to teach, repeat or increase the offering of the **5 A Day Power Play!** campaign activities in future years. At baseline, only three of the 36 teachers interviewed reported teaching much nutrition education during the previous year. They cited several barriers including lack of materials, funds and training. Additional measures monitored choice of fruits and vegetables, preparation skills and intake of **fruits** and vegetables. Fruit and vegetable intake at baseline ranged **from** 2.6 - 2.9 servings at all three sites and was not significantly different.

Approximately 10 to 15 percent of children did not eat any fruits and vegetables in all three sites. There were no **differences** in consumption among the three ethnic groups represented (Anglo, Latino, Southeast Asian). Children who reported daily participation in the school lunch program did not differ in fruit and vegetable consumption **from** children who did not participate daily.

The evaluation found that fruit and vegetable intake rose to 2.9 servings in schools offering **5 A Day Power Play!** activities and to 3.4 daily servings when both the school and community participated. **Consumption** of fruits and vegetables dropped to 2.3 servings in the comparison **community**. The **proportion** of children who consumed five servings or more daily increased significantly in both program sites, with the school and community site being higher. The proportion dropped significantly in the comparison community. Children in both intervention groups significantly increased their belief that they needed to eat five servings of **fruits** and vegetables for good health. Children who had planted a garden and eaten its produce were significantly more likely to eat **fruits** and vegetables than children who did not have that experience.

## Critique

**5 A Day Power Play!** is practical, targets an important health behavior and addresses attitudes, knowledge and beliefs about eating **fruits** and vegetables that appear to positively influence behavior change. Ninety percent of the 15 teachers interviewed at follow-up stated that they would be willing to use the activities in the future. The evaluation **illustrated** the value of involving the community in nutrition education efforts to reinforce messages at school. The published study provided limited information regarding evaluation details, including no measures of reliability and validity of the instruments, inferential statistics and details of how food intake was monitored.

## Evaluation Contact

Jennifer **Gregson**  
Nutrition and Cancer Prevention Program  
California Department of Health Services  
601 North 7th Street, MS-65  
P.O. Box 942732  
Sacramento, CA 94234-7340  
PH: (916) 323-0594 /FAX: (916) 322-1532

# CATCH EAT SMART

## Program Description

The *Child and Adolescent Trial for Cardiovascular Health (CATCH)* program, was a multi-site research project to reduce risks of cardiovascular disease among elementary school students and adolescents. Funded by the National Heart Lung and Blood Institute (NHLBI), the program had several components: a physical education program (*CATCH PE*); heart health education curricula for the third, fourth and fifth grades; family involvement activities; and *EAT SMART*, a food service program.

*EAT SMART* meets all the requirements for reimbursable meals as specified by the U.S.D.A. National School Lunch and School Breakfast programs. In addition, the average recommended meal provides a total fat level of no more than 30 percent of total energy, a saturated fatty acid level of no more than 10 percent of total energy, a reduction of 25 percent in sodium levels to 600 to 1000 mg. for school lunch and no more than 500 mg. for school breakfast. Program objectives include ensuring that cafeteria meals provide the recommended levels of essential nutrients and meet students' tastes.

*EAT SMART* addresses six areas: menu planning, field-tested recipes that serve 100, purchasing both commodity and vendor-prepared foods that meet the dietary guidelines, preparation methods, food production, and food merchandising and promotion. Promotional materials include table tents, laminated posters, menu messages and bulletin boards.

Implementation of *EAT SMART* usually takes two to three years. Food service directors, managers, supervisors and cooks/technicians need at least eight hours of staff development that includes both information and skills-based activities. Booster sessions and opportunities to discuss follow-up experiences enhance program success.

## Services Available

The training manual (order #55-733) costs \$35, with a 10 percent discount for orders of three or more.

## Implication for Practice

Cardiovascular disease is the leading cause of death in the United States. Epidemiological data has linked cardiovascular disease to lifestyle habits including tobacco use, diet and sedentary lifestyle among others. These behaviors begin early in life and many children already possess one or more major risk factor by the time they begin school. They learn others during childhood and adolescence.

The National School Lunch Program includes 99 percent of public schools in the nation and feeds more than 25 million children daily. Schools, thus, offer an ideal setting for encouraging healthy dietary practices by reinforcing nutrition education in the classroom with food choices in the cafeteria. *Healthy People 2000* objective 2.17 calls for increasing to at least 90 percent the proportion of school lunch and breakfast meals that are consistent with current dietary recommendations for Americans. Schools can introduce *EAT SMART* with minimal but concentrated efforts that include staff training and modest follow-up support. It provides a model for effective nutrition services, and contributes to risk reduction for students from diverse ethnic/cultural and socioeconomic backgrounds.

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	✓
	4 <sup>6</sup>	✓
	M	
	H	
	K <sup>12</sup>	
	S	

Locale	R	
	U	
	S	
	M	✓

Level	Cl	✓
	B	✓
	D	
	C	

Components	C	✓
	St	✓
	Pe	
	Pa	
	M	
	P	
	Sk	✓
	Se	

Emphasis	K	✓
	A	
	N	
	B	✓

## Program Contact

to order materials:  
Information Center  
National Heart, Lung  
and Blood Institute  
P.O. Box 30105  
Bethesda, MD 20824-0105  
PH: (301) 251-1222  
FAX: (301) 251-1223.

for program information:  
Eileen P. Newman, MS, RD  
Public Health Advisor  
National Heart, Lung  
and Blood Institute  
P.O. Box 30105  
Bethesda, MD 20892  
PH: (301) 594-1596



# CATCH EAT SMART

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

The evaluation of **EAT SMART** was part of the overall CA **TCH** evaluation that involved 96 public schools from 12 school districts in Texas, Louisiana, California and Minnesota. The schools were randomly assigned to receive the program (56 schools; 14 per field site) or serve as comparison (40 schools; 10 per field site). Further randomization divided the program into two subgroups: one group received a school-based program consisting of **EAT SMART**, **CATCH PE** a physical education program and heart health education curriculum for grades 3-5; the other group received the same program plus a family-based program. The study followed 5,106 children, 69.1 percent White, 14.1 percent Hispanic, 13 percent **African-American** and 3.8 percent other who were in the **third grade** at baseline for three years (1991-94).

Assessments of students' dietary practices included the Health Behavior Questionnaire, a **self-administered** instrument and a **24-hour** dietary recall that measured total daily **food** and nutrient intake of a random sub-sample of 30 students per school at both baseline and follow-up. Reliability and validity of these instruments was acceptable. Other measures included blood pressure readings and lipid levels of non fasting venipuncture samples.

Researchers conducted nutrient analysis of recipes and vendor-supplied foods that were high in fat or sodium, served frequently or had no nutrient information available. Analysis of student intakes, menu items and food recipes for protein, total fat, saturated fat, carbohydrate and sodium used the Nutrition Data System. Interviews of cafeteria managers and individual technicians provided detailed information about recipe ingredients, food preparation techniques and methods of service. Recipes included weights and measures of each ingredient, preparation methods, form of ingredient (**fresh, frozen, canned**, etc.), recipe modifications, portion weights and measures and yields. Information on items came from labels and considered preparation methods and portion sizes. Another measure involved direct observation of **trayline** presentation and meal service.

Findings revealed that the types of modifications school food service **staff** made and the degree to which they made modifications varied across sites. Differences were most often due to the structure of food service delivery, availability of lower fat and lower sodium foods at an affordable price, local

norms in menus and recipes, the availability of trained personnel and equipment, and time constraints. Sites with the greatest degree of implementation produced the greatest changes.

Across sites, student daily energy intake from fat decreased significantly from 32.7 percent to 30.3 percent in program schools compared to comparison schools ( $p < 0.001$ ). Much of **this** difference came from a decreased intake of saturated fatty acids. In addition, dietary cholesterol decreased in **the** program schools (223 mg. to 206 mg. vs. 218 mg. to 225 mg.). Total blood cholesterol declined in both conditions but the difference was not statistically significant. Sodium consumption marginally increased in program schools. **A comparison of nutrients from 45 selected menu items before and after the program showed a 10.9 percent decrease in total fat (from 40.9 percent to 36.4 percent), a decrease by 13.1 percent of saturated fatty acids, and a 15 percent decrease in the sodium content of the menus.**

## Critique

**CATCH**, to date, is the largest and most **rigorous** school-based health promotion field trial that has been implemented in the United States. It met several of its primary objectives, most notably changes in children's eating patterns to achieve the national goals for consumption of fat and cholesterol. **EAT SMART** successfully educated food service personnel about preparing healthy meals and modified students' attitudes and food choices at lunch. The study detected no **significant** changes in students' serum cholesterol level. Other studies have found modification of children's cholesterol levels **difficult**, and perhaps unwarranted in the absence of high risk.

Evaluation of **EAT SMART** occurred as part of a study of a comprehensive intervention that also included classroom instruction and modifications in physical education. The study did not examine **EAT SMART** as a stand-alone program.

## Evaluation Contact

Theresa A. Nicklas  
Tulane Medical Center  
School of Public Health  
New Orleans, LA 70 112

✓	E	Design
	Q	

✓	I	Analysis
	G	
	B	
	D	
	C	
✓	M	

	QI	Data
	Qn	
✓	B	

	F	Type
✓	S	
✓	O	
✓	P	

Percent of total energy  
What? -  
13.1% decrease  
also a 10.9% decrease  
of energy  
really a smaller decline  
This is confusing  
b/c the salt is not also  
decline "

# CATCH PE

## Program Description

The *Child and Adolescent Trial for Cardiovascular Health (CATCH)* program, is designed to motivate students in grades 3-5 to adopt heart healthy behaviors. Funded by the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute (NHLBI), CATCH has several components: heart health education curriculum for the third, fourth and fifth grades; family involvement activities; a food service program called EAT SMART and CATCH PE; <sup>and</sup> a physical education program.

The third-grade curriculum, *Hearty Heart and Friends*, is a five-week, U-lesson unit on healthy eating. Each lesson is 30 minutes. The fourth-grade curriculum, *GO for Health*, is a 24-session, 12-week curriculum focusing on nutrition and physical activity. It introduces GO, SLOW, and WHOA foods and activities to teach children to make healthier choices. Each session is 40 minutes. The fifth-grade curriculum, *Breaking through Barriers*, is a 16-session, eight-week curriculum that includes problem solving around food choices and physical activity. A four-session, four-week curriculum on tobacco use prevention compliments the physical activity and nutrition lessons. The heart healthy curricula provide a foundation of information and skills which are extended and reinforced by CATCH PE.

CATCH PE encourages moderate to vigorous activity for at least 40 percent of physical education class time for a minimum of three sessions per week totaling 90 minutes. The curriculum contains recommendations for class structure, management and safety as well as sample lesson plans. An Activity Box contains suggested physical education activities on index cards that use color coding to identify warm up exercises, fitness-focused skills, sports skills and cool down activities. Three videos with adults and children working together supplement the curriculum: *Fitness Fever*, a 43-minute workout tape; *Benchin' It*, a 38-minute bench aerobic workout; and *More Benchin' It*, a 76-minute tape with six, short segments of bench aerobics that progress from simple to more complex.

CATCH PE promotes participation in and enjoyment of physical activities. The curriculum's flexibility encourages activity during recess, as part of extracurricular activities or with family and friends. In order to be successful, the program requires approximately 20 extra hours per year of class time and a high degree of commitment by the staff plus two days of staff development per year.

## Services Available

The CATCH PE curriculum (#55-725) costs \$60 and includes a guidebook and activity box. Three videos cost \$12 each. Heart Healthy curricula for grades 3-5, range in price from \$20 to \$50, with a 10 percent discount for orders of three or more.

## Implication for Practice

Regular physical activity reduces the risk of coronary heart disease, hypertension, diabetes, cancer and mental illness. Less than 10 percent of Americans engage in regular physical activity and the prevalence of inactivity increases with age beginning in adolescence. *Healthy People 2000* objective 1.4 calls for increasing to at least 20 percent the proportion of individuals over age 6 who engage in activities that promote the development of cardiorespiratory health. Objective 1.6 calls for increasing to at least 40 percent the proportion of individuals who perform physical activities that enhance and maintain muscular strength, endurance and flexibility. Objectives 1.8 and 1.9 address the need for school-based physical education that encourages students to practice lifetime fitness activities. The *Healthy People 2000 Midcourse Review* and 1995 *Revisions* notes that adults are making some progress toward achieving Objectives 1.4 and 1.6, but adolescents are losing ground. An increasing percentage of children and adolescents are overweight. In 1995 fewer schools offered physical education three times a week than in 1990. The CATCH PE and *Healthy Heart* curriculum are inexpensive, effective and well-received by students and instructors.

## Program Contact

to order materials:  
Information Center  
National Heart  
Lung and Blood Institute  
P.O. Box 30105  
Bethesda, MD 20824-0105  
PH: (301) 251-1222 /FAX: (301) 251-1223.

for training:  
Todd Galati  
San Diego, CA  
PH: (619) 685-4817

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	✓
	4 <sup>6</sup>	✓
	M	
	H	
	K <sup>12</sup>	
	S	

Locale	R	
	U	
	S	
	M	✓

Level	CI	✓
	B	
	D	
	C	

Components	C	✓
	St	✓
	Pe	
	Pa	
	M	
	P	
	Sk	✓
	Se	

Emphasis	K	✓
	A	✓
	N	
	B	✓

## CATCH PE

### Evidence of Program Effectiveness

The evaluation of **CATCH PE** was part of the overall **CATCH** evaluation study that involved 96 public schools from 12 school districts in Texas, Louisiana, California and Minnesota. Schools were randomly assigned to receive the program (56 schools; 14 per field site) or serve as comparison schools (40 schools; 10 per field site). Further randomization divided the program group into equal subgroups: half the program schools received EAT **SMART, CATCH PE** and the heart health curricula for grades 3-5; the other group received the same program plus a family-based program. The study followed a total of 5,106 children, 69.1 percent White, 14.1 percent Hispanic, 13.0 percent African American, and 3.8 percent other who were in the third grade at baseline for three years (1991-94).

**CATCH PE** 's evaluation used the System for Observing Fitness Instruction Time (**SOFIT**). This valid and reliable measure involves direct and systematic observation of both students and teachers during physical education classes to assess the quality and quantity of activity. Trained assessors visited every school twice a semester for six semesters and completed a Physical Activity Record of Classes (**PARC**) to record the frequency and duration of physical activity. In addition, the Self Administered Physical Activity Checklist (**SAPAC**) assessed type, duration, and intensity of selected leisure time activities.

Findings revealed that teachers implemented over 80 percent of **CATCH PE** activities. The average length of physical education classes did not change, but intensity increased significantly. Students who received the program reported 58.6 minutes of vigorous activity compared to 46.5 minutes at comparison schools ( $p < .003$ ), surpassing the **Healthy People 2000** goals. At the end of three years, students in program schools could run 100 yards in the nine-minute distance run compared to 84 yards by students in the comparison schools, although this difference was not statistically significant.

Physiologic measures such as students' blood pressure and serum cholesterol levels did not differ significantly by condition. Follow-up participation did not differ by gender or involvement in the program versus control. However, African-American students and those from California dropped out at a higher rate.

### Critique

**CATCH**, to date, is the largest and most rigorous school health program implemented and evaluated in the nation. It demonstrated that schools with diverse populations in four areas of the country can implement a multifaceted program involving school food service, physical education, classroom curricula and family involvement. Limitations of the study included low participation rates in physiologic measures (60.4 percent) due to the need to draw blood samples and limited time for instruction and staff development. The study did not distinguish between the impact of **CATCH PE** alone, but only as part of a school-wide program that included health instruction and food service modifications. Long term follow-up of the students who participated in **CATCH** could yield valuable information about the maintenance of positive lifestyle habits.

### Evaluation Contact

Russell V. Luepker, MD  
Division of Epidemiology  
University of Minnesota  
School of Public Health  
Minneapolis, MN 55454  
PH: (612)624-1818 / FAX: (612)624-0315

# Child Development Project

## Program Description

**The Child Development Project (CDP)** is a multi-year, comprehensive elementary school program to reduce risk and bolster protective factors among children. Established in 1980, **CDP** draws on research and theory on socialization, learning and motivation and pro-social development. The program helps schools become caring communities of learners — environments with supportive relationships, a sense of common purpose, commitment to social, ethical and intellectual learning, and meaningful and engaging curriculum. Such a school involves students in decision making and in the intellectual and social life of the classroom and school. This is a “systems-level” program aimed at the school and the student peer group, not just the individual student.

Five strategies comprise the core of **the** program. The first requires building stable, warm and supportive relationships between teachers, administrators and students. The second involves simultaneous attention to social, ethical and intellectual learning. The third is teaching for understanding. The fourth provides challenging, learner-centered curricula that are relevant to children’s lives. The final strategy fosters students’ intrinsic motivation to learn and to uphold the values of **their** community. **CDP utilizes** a combination of classroom practices, whole school activities and linkages between home and school to realize these strategies. Classroom activities include 1) cooperative learning exercises that involve children in problem-solving, dialogue, and discovery so that they construct their own understanding of new information; 2) a values-rich, literature-based reading and language arts program, including **Reading, Thinking and Caring** (Grades K-3) and **Reading for Real** (Grades 4-8); and 3) “developmental discipline” techniques that give students appropriate levels of responsibility for classroom management and decision-making. Classroom and school-wide **community-building** activities involve parents in **Homeside Activities** that promote greater parental involvement in their child’s learning and strengthen the child-parent, home-school bonds.

**The CDP** program is a rigorous and demanding **program** that requires sustained school-wide support and involvement by teachers, **staff**, students and parents - it is not a “quick fix.” **Successful** implementation demands a fundamental shift of the school culture and a deep **change** in most teachers’ instructional and classroom management practices, a change that is often stressful. **Conditions** and attitudes that enhance the likelihood of **success** include a shared vision of education; district **central office** leadership and support; effective and involved principals; sustained, mutual, **collegial** support; involvement of the whole school **staff**, not just teachers; **openness** to new ideas and institutional structures that **support** ongoing, collaborative adult learning and broad **measures** of success. The program developers estimate that high quality implementation requires a minimum of **three** years.

## Services Available

**CDP** utilizes trade books, curriculum guides and manuals, and **other** assorted materials. The staff development program takes three years to complete and includes an orientation, summer institutes, site visits by the **CDP** staff, individual coaching, seminars and workshops. Much of the cost is often grant-supported.

## Implications for Practice

Drug use, delinquency and other problem behaviors result **from** complex interactions between individuals and the environment, yet few schools pay attention to the deliberate structuring of the school **environment** or the social and ethical character development of students. **The CDP** recognizes that schools play a major role in the socialization of children, which includes reasoning and developing values about right and wrong, treatment of others and how to live one’s life. Consequently, the **CDP** challenges parents and everyone in the school system to create an environment that is conducive to the development of the whole child.

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	✓
	4 <sup>6</sup>	✓
	M	
	H	
	K <sup>12</sup>	

Locale	R	
	U	
	S	
	M	✓

Level	CI	✓
	B	✓
	D	✓
	C	✓

Components	C	✓
	St	✓
	Pe	
	Pa	✓
	M	
	P	
	Sk	
	Se	

Emphasis	K	
	A	✓
	N	✓
	B	✓

## Program Contact

Sylvia Kendzior  
Director of Child Development Project **Staff** Development  
Developmental Studies Center  
2000 Embarcadero, Suite 305  
Oakland CA 94606-5300  
PH: (510) 533-0213 /FAX: (510) 464-3670

# Child Development Project

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

With support from multiple federal and foundation grants, the Developmental Studies Center in Oakland, California conducted a **longitudinal, quasi-experimental** study that examined the impact of the **CDP on students'** involvement in drug use and delinquent behaviors. The study included 24 elementary schools from six school districts throughout the United States. Of the schools, 12 were on the West Coast, four in the South, four in the southeast and four in the northeast. The districts represented both large and small urban, suburban and rural areas. In each district, two schools received the program and two served as controls. The Developmental Studies Center (**DSC**) staff trained district leaders during the 1991-92 school year. Those leaders introduced the program to school staff during the 1992-93 year. DSC staff continued helping the leadership team with implementation through the 1994-95 school year.

Measures included structured classroom observations four times a year for two years, annual teacher questionnaires and surveys of students in the top three grades in each school (either grades 3-5 or 4-6).

**Beginning** in the 1991-92 school year, evaluators surveyed successive cohorts of students in the top grade of each school (either five or six) to measure drug use and other delinquent behaviors. Students participated in the survey only with parental consent (obtained for 77 percent of students at baseline, 82 percent in Year 1 and 80 percent in Year 2). The delinquent behaviors measured were running away from home, skipping school, property damage, theft, carrying a weapon, threats, actually hurting someone, taking a car without the owner's permission and being involved in a gang fight. The **annual** teachers' surveys addressed school practices and climate as well as teacher attitudes and beliefs.

**Univariate** analysis showed that between 1992 and 1994 alcohol use declined significantly ( $p < .02$ ) in the demonstration schools compared with the comparison schools. Marijuana use showed a similar but not statistically **different from** control decline. Tobacco use declined in program and control schools.

No significant **differences** appeared between program and control groups for any other delinquent behaviors. A secondary analysis considered levels of implementation and ranked the 12 demonstration schools as high, moderate or low implementation based on multiple measures of teachers and school climate. Program effects were strongest for students in the schools with the highest level of implementation. In addition to changes in drug use, students at the high-implementation schools showed significantly lower rates of skipping school, carrying weapons and vehicle theft than did comparison students in Year 2.

An additional analysis examined the generality of the theoretical model across socioeconomic differences. Prior to program implementation, student poverty level was negatively correlated with students' sense of school community and with most student outcome variables. Multiple groups structural equation modeling found that the predicted relationships between teacher practices, student classroom behavior and sense of community held for both high- and low-poverty students and that the strength and pattern of relationships were virtually identical in both groups.

## Critique

The interim findings appear quite promising, although more definitive conclusions will be available when the study concludes. Limitations include no measures of family involvement or of within-school variations and no analyses of differential program effects based on students' gender, ethnicity and age. The findings thus far support that schools in a variety of settings can implement the **Child Development Program** and that when adequately implemented **CDP increases** students' perceptions of the school as a caring community. When schools achieve this sense of community, student drug use and delinquent behavior decreases.

## Evaluation Contact

Dr. Victor Battistich  
Deputy Director of Research  
Developmental Studies Center  
2000 Embarcadero, Suite 305  
Oakland, CA 946065300  
PH: (510) 533-0123 /FAX: (510) 464-3670

	E	Design
✓	Q	

Analysis	
<input type="checkbox"/>	I
<input type="checkbox"/>	G
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	B
<input type="checkbox"/>	D
<input type="checkbox"/>	C
<input type="checkbox"/>	M

	QI	Data
	Qn	
✓	B	

✓	F	Type
	S	
✓	O	
✓	P	

# Children of Divorce

## Program Description

### *The Children of Divorce Intervention Program*

(**CODIP**), developed in 1982 by **JoAnne** Pedro-Carroll, **PhD**, as part of the Primary Mental Health Project, is a school-based program that helps children cope with emotional and behavioral **difficulties** resulting **from** divorce. A central tenet of the program is that timely interventions for children living through a divorce can offer important short and long term benefits. **CODIP's** goals are to create a supportive group environment in which children can share experiences freely and establish common bonds, **clarify** misconceptions about divorce and enhance their capacity to cope.

The program utilizes a group format and flexible intervention approach. Group facilitators are usually a male and female team selected for their interest, skills and sensitivity, as well as training. Leaders are school psychologists, social workers, nurses, teachers, guidance counselors, principals or mental health professionals. **CODIP** meetings are scheduled during the school day in an area that offers privacy. Decisions about group size, session length and program duration depend on the group. For example, weekly one-hour sessions for six-to-eight children work well with older youth and with younger children, **45-minute weekly** sessions with groups of four to five children seem ideal.

Because children's reactions to divorce vary by developmental level, **CODIP** has **different** curricula. For children in grades K-3, the curricula offer support and skill-building in appealing, action-oriented contexts that capture young children's active involvement. Puppet play, interactive games, doll play, books and discussion convey program concepts and help children express their feelings. Fourth- to sixth-grade children **often** respond to divorce with anger and resentment and feel embarrassed or different than peers. The program addresses **these** feelings in a supportive environment. Activities include filmstrips, discussions, role-plays, modeling by group leaders and a group newsletter that offers a forum for creative writing, drawing, poetry and humor. Students take turns as members of a "panel of experts" on divorce and field questions from other group members. The curriculum for seventh and eighth grade teaches effective communication, social problem-solving and anger-control skills using group exercises, role plays and a video.

The program promotes realistic hopes for future relationships and building trust. Thus, some exercises pivot around taking small risks in a safe setting.

Although initially created for children in fourth to sixth grade in suburban schools, the program has expanded for use in grades K-8 and with children in urban settings. Schools throughout the United States and other countries, including Canada, New Zealand and Australia have implemented **CODIP**. In 1991, the program received the National Mental Health Association's Lela Rowland Award for preventive services.

## Services Available

The K-1 curriculum of 12 lessons costs \$40 and includes a program manual and a game; the second- and third-grade curriculum has 15 lessons **and** includes a program manual and game for \$45. The fourth- to sixth-grade program (12 lessons) includes a manual and costs \$40. A manual for seventh and eighth grades will be available in mid-1998. Training costs vary according to need.

## Implications for Practice

Each year, more than a million couples in the United States end their marriages. Divorce is linked to a variety of social adjustment problems in children including delinquency, low self-esteem and hostility. **Healthy People 2000** objectives 6.8 and 6.9 call for individuals who are experiencing emotional and stressful events to seek help. School-based programs such as CODIP, which provide a strong support system and teach coping skills, can help alleviate children's negative reactions to divorce and prevent long-term trauma for children of divorce.

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	✓
	4 <sup>6</sup>	✓
	M	✓
	H	
	K <sup>12</sup>	
	S	

Locale	R	
	U	
	S	
	M	✓

Level	Cl	
	B	
	D	
	C	

Components	C	✓
	St	✓
	Pe	
	Pa	✓
	M	
	P	
	Sk	✓
	Se	

Emphasis	K	✓
	A	✓
	N	
	B	✓

## Program Contact

(for program information)  
Geri Cone  
Primary Mental Health Project  
685 South Ave.  
Rochester, NY 14620-2290 .  
PH: (716)262-2920

(for training)  
**JoAnne** Pedro-Carroll, **PhD**  
Director  
Children of Divorce  
Intervention Program  
575 Mt. **Hope** Ave.  
Rochester, NY 14620-2290  
PH: (716)273-5957  
FAX: (716)232-6350

# Children of Divorce

	E	Design
✓	Q	

✓	I	Analysis
	G	
	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	

	QI	Data
✓	Qn	
	B	

	F	Type
	S	
✓	O	
	P	

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

Since its inception in 1982, *CODIP* has undergone extensive evaluation. A 1992 study evaluated the efficacy of a **14-week** secondary prevention program for fourth- to sixth-grade urban children of divorce.

A program announcement in school newsletters and direct contacts with parents by school mental health professionals recruited children for the *CODIP* groups. Inclusion criteria were having parents who were divorced or separated, not currently receiving mental health services and having no severe emotional problems. Some participants had experienced multiple stressors such as change in schools and residence, economic pressures, and minimal contact with fathers. Letters to parents invited their children to participate in a study of child development and family life. The study sample included 188 children from nine schools (57 *CODIP* participants, 38 non-program divorce controls, 93 comparison from never-divorced families), matched by grade and gender. One hundred ten boys and 78 girls participated, 44 percent of whom were from minority backgrounds. On average, 18 percent of the families in these schools had incomes at poverty level or below. Some modifications were made to the program to accurately reflect the cultures and realities of the participants.

Pretests of children, parents and teachers occurred in small groups two to three weeks before the program began using six measures of adjustment. Posttests used the same measures two to three weeks after the program ended. The **16-item** Children's Family Adjustment Scale assessed children's feelings about their family ("I feel very sad when I think about my family"), themselves ("My feelings are OK to have") and support sources ("I talk with my mother or father about how I feel"). Only children in the divorce groups completed the Children's Attitudes and Self Perceptions scale that assessed attitudes and perceptions about divorce ("It's OK for me to talk with my friends about my parents' separation"). In addition, children completed an anxiety inventory and parents filled out a **20-item** evaluation form concerning their views of children's feelings ("Keeps feelings to him/herself"), concerns ("Worries about the family") and behavior ("Gets into trouble when s/he is angry"). Classroom teachers rated all children on items related to problem behaviors and social competence. Group leaders assessed students using a **20-item** evaluation form.

Ten items assessed emotional and behavior problems and 10 measured adaptive competencies. Reliability of the six instruments ranged from .65 to .92 and they demonstrated validity.

*CODIP* benefitted children's adjustment in several ways. Program children improved significantly more than the other groups on all child and parent adjustment measures, in their understanding of divorce-related events and ability to handle changing family situations. They also evidenced less anxiety and fewer negative attributions about themselves and their families. Parent reports confirmed these self-views. Some parents noted that children showed better impulse control, problem-solving and nonviolent conflict resolution. Both parents and children reported that participants had more realistic perceptions of situations they could and could not control. The program helped children identify unsolvable problems beyond their control, disengage from them and redirect their energies into activities within their control.

A two-year follow-up study conducted in 1996 involved teachers who were blind to the children's initial group status. *CODIP* children had significantly fewer school problems and more competencies than comparison. Parent interview data confirmed the effects over time. Other benefits included fewer trips to the school health office and gains in friendship formation, anger control and effective communication.

## Critique

Given the significant life stress children of divorce experience, the data suggest that *CODIP* can ameliorate some potentially stressful problems associated with divorce and provide children with skills that help them cope with divorce. Longer follow-up studies could confirm the durability of the short-term gains found.

## Evaluation Contact

JoAnne Pedro-Carroll, PhD

Director

Children of Divorce Intervention Program

575 Mt. Hope Ave.

Rochester, NY 14620-2290

PH: (716)273-5957 / FAX: (716)232-6350

# Coca-Cola Valued Youth Program

## Program Description

The *Coca-Cola Valued Youth Program (VYP)* is a tutoring program to prevent school drop-out among non English-proficient students. Developed in 1984 by the Intercultural Development Research Association (IDRA) and funded by Coca-Cola USA, it was designed in collaboration with schools in San Antonio, TX. The goals of *VYP* are to reduce dropout rates, enhance students' basic academic skills, strengthen students' perception of self and school, decrease student truancy, reduce student disciplinary referrals and form school-home-community partnerships to increase the level of support available to students.

The program pairs academically floundering, at-risk teenagers with younger children and turns perceived liabilities into strengths. The students participating have ranged in age from 11 to 21 with the median age being 14 (eighth grade). Most of the tutors and mentors are Hispanic (80 percent) or African American, although the program is open to students of all racial and ethnic backgrounds. Admittance depends only on students' having one or more risk characteristics, such as poor academic performance, high rates of absenteeism or disciplinary referrals. Mentors attend a weekly training seminar to develop and improve their tutoring skills. After an initial observation period in an elementary classroom, tutors begin tutoring a minimum of four hours per week, for which they receive minimum wage pay. They work with children in a one-to-three ratio and adhere to the employee guidelines of the host school. Each tutor is treated as an adult, with adult responsibilities, but has teacher supervision and support. In addition, at least two field trips each year expose students to economic and cultural opportunities in their community.

Role modeling is another component and involves speakers, teachers or other individuals who have overcome barriers to success. Bilingual culturally-sensitive staff visit the youth's home to increase parental involvement. Throughout the year, the contributions of the student tutors are recognized with t-shirts, caps, certificates of merit, field trips with their tutors, media attention and an acknowledgment luncheon for their efforts. An annual awards ceremony brings together tutors, school personnel, parents and community leaders.

In 1987, the U.S. Department of Education's Office of Bilingual Education and Minority Language Affairs office selected *VYP* as a national research and demonstration project. In 1991, the program won approval from the U.S. Department of Education's Program Effectiveness Panel for inclusion in the National Diffusion Network. A year later, *VYP* received funding from the National Commission on Service Learning for program replication. In 1996, the program was operating in Los Angeles, Miami and San Antonio and seven other cities with plans to expand to Atlanta, Chicago and Washington, D.C.

## Services Available

The IDRA newsletter, annual national training seminar, videos, fact sheet, information brochure with cost estimates, training, technical assistance, materials and evaluations are available. Materials and services are provided on a pro bono basis for those districts unable to find sources of funding to pay tutor stipends. Typically, districts use Chapter I, Chapter II, State Compensatory and Migrant Funds (federal and state Funds) to pay for the stipends.

## Implications for Practice

During adolescence, dropping out of school is associated with multiple social and health problems including substance abuse, delinquency, intentional and unintentional injury, and unintended pregnancy.

*Healthy People 2000* objective 8.2 calls for increasing the high school graduation rate to at least 90 percent, thereby reducing risks for multiple problem behaviors and poor mental and physical health. The national educational goals also call for increasing the percentage of students who graduate from high school.

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	
	4 <sup>6</sup>	✓
	M	✓
	H	✓
	K <sup>12</sup>	
	S	

Locale	R	
	U	
	S	
	M	✓

Level	CI	✓
	B	
	D	
	C	

Components	C	
	St	
	Pe	✓
	Pa	✓
	M	
	P	
	Sk	✓
	Se	✓

Emphasis	K	
	A	✓
	N	
	B	✓

## Program Contact

Linda Cantu  
Program Director  
Intercultural Development Research Association  
5835 Callaghan, Suite 530  
San Antonio, TX 78228-1190  
PH: (210) 684-8180 /FAX: (210) 684-5389

the children they tutor or their fellow tutors?



# Coca-Cola Valued Youth Program

	E	Design
✓	Q	

✓	I	
	G	
	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	

	QI	Data
	Qn	
✓	B	

	F	Type
	S	
	O	
	P	

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

Several studies have evaluated this project. Data from a 1996 study was incomplete. Consequently, this summary discusses the findings from the first quasi-experimental evaluation done between 1988 (baseline) and 1990.

Participants and control students attended one of four campuses in two public school districts in a low-income area of San Antonio with large concentrations of Hispanic students. One hundred one tutors and 93 comparison group students met two criteria: limited English proficiency as defined by Texas state guidelines and reading below grade level on a standardized achievement test. The tutors and the comparison group came from the same pool of at-risk students. After selection of the tutoring group, mainly on the basis of class scheduling and availability, the comparison group was randomly selected from the remaining pool of at-risk students. At pretest, the only statistically significant difference between groups was in eligibility for free or reduced lunches. Tutors had significantly lower socioeconomic status than youth in the comparison group.

Pre/posttest measures included student grades, disciplinary action referrals, absenteeism, self-concept (using Piers-Harris Children's Self-Concept Scale) and quality of school life (using a self-administered 27-item questionnaire). In addition, teacher/coordinators and counselors from each of the four participating campuses participated in focus group interviews at the end of the first and second years of implementation. Elementary school representatives participated in the 1990 interviews. This formative information guided refinements to the program the second year.

The results of the study suggest that the VYP had a positive impact on the dropout rate, reading grades, self-concept and attitude toward school. One tutor out of 101 (1 percent) dropped out of school towards the end of the second year of the program, compared with 11 students out of 93 (12 percent) in the control group. In addition, tutors gained nearly three points more than the comparison group on the reading grade between 1987-88, and again in 1989. Measures of self-concept and attitudes toward school showed higher scores for VYP tutors than the comparison group during the first year of the program, however the program did not appear to effect scores for either measure the following year.

## Critique

The evaluation found the Valued Youth Program very promising. Random assignment of tutors and/or schools to the program could strengthen future studies. To assess the service learning component of the program, comparison schools could receive an equivalent amount of time, attention and resources that directly focused on academic skills for at-risk students. Assessment of the program's effects on tutors would also prove interesting. The VYP appears to require extensive funding support which might exceed the range of many public schools.

## Evaluation Contact

Jose A. Cardenas, PhD  
Intercultural Development Research Association  
5835 Callaghan, Suite 350  
San Antonio, TX 78228-I 190.

# Community of Caring

## Program Description

**The Community of Caring (COC)** is a values education program for students in kindergarten through high school. Created in 1982 by Eunice Kennedy Shriver, COC is a project of the Joseph P. Kennedy, Jr. Foundation. Originally COC targeted pregnant adolescents in middle and high school with a program to prevent repeat pregnancies. The current focus is on prevention and emphasizes the importance of abstaining **from** early sexual activity and deferring childbearing until marriage. It also encourages abstinence **from** alcohol and other drug use and stresses the importance of personal health. **As** a comprehensive approach, COC also addresses improved school performance, community service and planning for the future.

The program's goal is to strengthen students' ethical decision-making skills by promoting the values of caring, family, respect, trust and responsibility. COC is not a curriculum, but rather an infusing of values into whatever curricula schools currently use in all academic areas and throughout the school day. In 1990, Glencoe/McGraw-Hill published *Growing Up Caring*, a middle school text based on the values approach of the program. Throughout the school day, teachers use classroom discussions to bring the core values to the subject at hand. The community service projects promoted as part of COC, whether in or out of school, reinforce the core values, as do activities that involve families. Teen forums, planned, implemented and **led** by students in collaboration with teachers and community leaders, provide opportunities for young people to speak their minds and offer suggestions for community improvement, as well as to work collaboratively with caring adults on joint projects. The National Association of Secondary School Principals has endorsed COC and as of 1996, over 2000 schools in 18 states had received training as COC schools.

## Services Available

Two days of on-site training with a single trainer costs \$2,650. Materials for middle and high school cost \$30 **per** participant and \$15 per pupil for elementary. The COC office offers on-going technical support by phone.

Additional **staff development** is available on-site or at the summer institute held at the Foundation in Washington, D.C. Program **staff**, teachers and principals who have implemented the program lead the summer institute.

## Implications for Practice

Unintended pregnancies among adolescents are a problem in the United States. Since the **1970s**, the rate of sexual activity among adolescents has increased and the age of initiation of sexual activity has declined. Although teen pregnancy rates declined between 1990 and 1995, rates in the United States are among the highest in the developed world. *Healthy People* 2000 objective 5.8 calls for an "increase to at least 85 percent the proportion of people aged 1 O-l 8 who have discussed human sexuality, including values surrounding sexuality, with their parents and/or have received information through another parentally endorsed source, such as youth, school or religious programs." *Healthy People* 2000 recognizes the need for efforts "to strengthen the family's ability to educate and transmit strong values surrounding sexuality to children in the midst of a media culture that portrays and often condones casual sexual involvement. Postponement of sexual activity until an individual is in a mutually monogamous relationship is the most certain approach to prevention of a host of sexually transmitted disease and may also help reduce rates of unintended pregnancy."

Although less well researched than pregnancy prevention programs based on social and cognitive learning theories, programs including character education and service learning have recently shown promise as a new strategy to reduce high-risk behaviors among youth. COC offers an alternative strategy to reduce destructive behaviors among youth.

## Program Contact

Wendy Hirsch  
Program Coordinator  
Community of Caring  
1325 G St. NW, Suite 500  
Washington, DC 20005-3 104  
PH: (202) 393-125 1 /FAX: (202) 824-0200

P	
K <sup>3</sup>	
4 <sup>6</sup>	
M	
H	
K <sup>12</sup>	✓
S	
Locale	
R	
U	
S	
M	✓
Level	
CI	✓
B	
D	
C	
Components	
C	✓
St	✓
Pe	
Pa	✓
M	
P	
Sk	
Se	✓
Emphasis	
K	
A	
N	✓
B	

# Community of Caring

	E	Design
✓	Q	

✓	I	Analysis
	G	
	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	

	QI	Data
✓	Qn	
	B	

	F	Type
	S	
✓	O	
	P	

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

In 1988, the Center for Health Policy Studies in Columbia, Md. evaluated the COC program in six high schools in three schools systems. They tracked a cohort of 1,777 ninth-graders for two years. For each program school, evaluators used racial composition and socioeconomic status to **identify** a comparison school within the same district. The sample represented a cross-section of racial groups. Separate analyses examined the program's effects on a subset identified as "at risk" for early sexual activity and poor school performance. At risk meant having a grade point average less than 2.5 and indicating at baseline that it was "okay" to begin having sex before or during high school.

Students completed a seven-part survey in the fall of 1988, in the spring of 1989 and spring 1990. The seven parts included demographic information; multiple choice knowledge; personal feelings of **self-esteem** based on the Rosenberg scale; attitudes toward school, family, risk taking and community service; a modified version of the Family Relations Scale on the quality of family relationships; self-reported behaviors (not including sexual behaviors); and personal values using an adapted version of the Life Values Scale developed by Harriet **McAdoo**. A **panel**, then pilot testing, established the survey's face validity and clarity. No reliability data is in the report. Evaluators also obtained data on academic performance, disciplinary actions, attendance records, and pregnancy incidence (one school only). Pregnancy incidence was the number of pregnant students attending school. Attrition varied by site: 50 percent of the Richmond, Va. students completed all data collection; in Kansas, Mo. 71 percent completed and in Sacramento, **Calif.** only 33 percent completed for an average of 49 percent (**N=877**). Data analysis compared standardized effect scores to control for large sample sizes.

Findings varied by district and "at-risk" status. COC in Richmond was most **successful in influencing** students to adopt the core sexual values of the COC program - sexual abstinence until marriage, marriage is the best circumstance for having a baby, postponing sex is good as is preparing for the **future**. The Kansas City COC program was most successful in promoting some secondary values of COC - helping others and valuing school, personal health and one's family.

**Students** in COC schools in all sites improved their **grade** point average relative to the comparison **schools**. At the end of the two-year period, more **Richmond** students, including at-risk students, **compared** to those in the control school reported **abstaining from** alcohol and smoking, had **significantly** fewer not-excused absences and fewer **disciplinary** actions. Also in Richmond, the one school that documented pregnancies, the number of pregnant **students** dropped from 14 in 1988 to two in 1990. **COC** did not **influence** self-esteem or locus of control.

## Critique

The quasi-experimental design **suffered** from serious **attrition** problems. The evaluators made no attempt to **compare** pretest measures of those lost to the study with those retained. It is quite possible that students at **greatest** risk left school before the **posttest** measure, thus accounting for **pre/post** differences. In California, some students had attended a COC school in middle school, thus possibly contaminating the control group in the second year. Other limitations include lack of information on the duration, intensity and method of program delivery as well as on programming in the comparison schools. No reliability data was provided on survey instrument. **Use** of standard effect scores **limits** the ability to compare results with other prevention programs.

Although the study claims positively **influencing** sexual behaviors, the survey asked no questions about sexual behaviors. The only sexual measures were knowledge and attitude items. In one of six schools, evaluators determined the number of pregnant students, but they presented no evidence that they looked for students who might have left school due to pregnancy. Despite these weaknesses, COC is one of the few values-oriented programs that have been evaluated. It shows promise for reducing substance abuse and improving academic performance.

## Evaluation Contact

Rebecca Anderson  
Executive Director  
Community of Caring  
1325 G St. NW, Suite 500  
Washington, DC 20005-3 104  
PH: (202) 393-125 1 /FAX: (202) 824-0200

Kansas City, Mo.?

# Decker Family Development Center

## Program Description

Founded in 1990, the *Decker Family Development Center (DFDC)* provides holistic “one-stop” medical, educational and social support services to low-income residents in an Ohio suburban community of 28,000. On an annual basis, *DFDC* serves approximately 325 parents and 435 preschool children in a former elementary school. Most families stay with the program approximately two years. Most participants are white, single-parent families who live in public housing with annual incomes below \$10,000. More than 80 percent of the parents have literacy functioning below the ninth grade. The program’s goals are to help parents assume their role as a child’s most significant teacher; provide support and skills that enable parents to help their children reach their developmental potential; ensure that children will remain in school and that preschool children are developmentally ready to enter kindergarten; provide multiple services to children with special needs and help families become self-sufficient.

The services that the *DFDC* provides include special needs and regular childcare, Head Start, parent education programs, training for displaced homemakers, GED classes, literacy programs and pre-employment training. Health services include family and pediatric medicine, occupational and physical therapy, food services and nutrition education and mental health services including crisis counseling, therapy, and stress management. Other services include employment services, home visitation and outreach, public assistance eligibility evaluations, legal services and computer skills training. To provide these services, the Center collaborates with 22 other agencies including the local school system, a nearby university, a regional children’s hospital, county health and social service agencies.

The *DFDC* has developed a model for school-linked service centers and identified five elements required of participating organizations to achieve successful community collaboration: Participating organizations must devoid themselves of organizational territoriality issues, increase meaningful communication, share authority and power, negotiate goals and objectives and work toward their successful implementation, and have and publicly display a sense of shared ownership.

The Decker model distinguishes between cooperatives in which organizations participate in order to fulfill their own mission and agenda and collaboratives where the lines between who provides what service become blurred and decision making becomes a shared process among the principal service providers. In the Decker model, a hallmark of true collaboration is that the clients sit on the decision-making body.

In 1994, the *DFDC* received a NOVA award from the American Hospital Association and Hospitals and Health Networks in recognition of its work in providing a model service delivery system.

## Services Available

The *DFDC* staff will provide technical assistance to others interested in establishing similar service delivery projects. It has several program monographs available at a cost ranging from \$5 to \$20.

## Implications for Practice

*Healthy People 2000* offers a vision of America’s future characterized by significant reductions in preventable death and disability, enhanced quality of life and greatly reduced disparities in the health status of populations within society. Progress toward achieving this vision will depend, in large measure, on the degree to which certain subpopulations show substantial improvements. Low-income families are at especially high risk for adverse health outcomes. For nearly every measure of health, the poor suffer more than the population as a whole. The number of people in poverty, a third of whom are children, continues to grow. The *Decker Family Development Center* offers a model by which schools and families can receive services that help ensure that children are healthy and will enter school able and ready to learn.

Audience	P	✓
	K <sup>3</sup>	
	4 <sup>6</sup>	
	M	
	H	
	K <sup>12</sup>	
	S	✓

Locale	R	
	U	
	S	✓
	M	

Level	CI	
	B	
	D	
	C	✓

Components	C	
	St	
	Pe	
	Pa	✓
	M	
	P	
	Sk	✓
	Se	

Emphasis	K	✓
	A	
	N	
	B	✓

## Program Contact

Mary Frances Ahern

Director

Decker Family Development Center

633 Brady Ave.

Barberton, OH 44203

PH: (330) 848-4264 /FAX: (330) 848-0884

# Decker Family Development Center

	E	Design
✓	Q	

✓	I	Analysis
	G	
	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	

	QI	Data
✓	Qn	
	B	

	F	Type
	S	
	O	
✓	P	

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

A process evaluation study of the **DFDC** from 1993-95 started with **235** families referred for services. Of these, 94 (40 percent) were referred to other agencies prior to the start of the study. The remaining 141 completed a demographic profile that included parents' literacy functioning and employability status. Seventy-six percent of those in the study were single women with children. Four percent had a GED and 27 percent had graduated **from** high school, yet 82 percent functioned at less than a high school level of literacy. Seventy-five percent had an annual income of less than \$5,000. According to the Learning Accomplishment Profile (LAP) and Early Learning Accomplishment Profile (E-LAP), 64 children (80 percent) were developmentally delayed. At intake, adults took a test measuring four domains of functionality: personal/family, educational, **self-sufficiency** and global in which a Level 1 score indicated minimal at-risk functioning and Level 5 represented profound at-risk functioning. At the end of the school year, 124 (88 percent) adults and 157 children completed a post program assessment.

**Within six months**, 40 individuals (28 percent) **left** the program because of success. Of these, 15 (38 percent) achieved their GED, 18 (45 percent) got a job, and seven (18 percent) went to college or a trade school. Another 17 (12 percent) left the program due to moving, medical reasons or quitting and 84 (60 percent) continued to attend regularly. Of those who remained in the program for a year, dependent t-tests revealed that 37 percent significantly improved in at least one domain of functionality. By the end of six months, two of the 10 individuals taking the **posttest** who were initially assessed as "profoundly at risk" (Level 5) had progressed to level 3 (medium risk), six had moved to level 2 (some risk) and two remained at level 5. However, many families assessed as being "most at risk" did not continue in the program long enough to take the **posttest** and were not included in the analysis.

## Critique

**The Decker Family Development Center offers** a model for comprehensive school-linked health and human services that addressed the needs of preschool children and their low income parents. The program **evaluation** indicates that **DFDC** shows promise. However, without a rigorous, well designed evaluation that uses a comparison group it is not possible to determine the model's effectiveness in enhancing children's health and well-being or **future** achievement in school.

## Evaluation Contact

Brian Pendleton, **PhD**  
Department of Sociology  
University of Akron  
Akron, OH 44325-0604

# DASH

Spell out  
to match  
tables

## Program Description

*Developmental Approaches in Science and Health (DASH)* is a comprehensive science, health and technology program for grades K-6 developed by the Curriculum Research and Development Group (CRDG) of the College of Education, University of Hawaii in 1986.

*DASH* encompasses all six dimensions of scientific literacy advocated by *Project 2061, Science for All Americans* through hands-on inquiry intended to nurture the development of students' cognitive, kinesthetic and interpersonal skills. Individual and group activities focus on making sense of new information, making connections with what is already known and using new knowledge to expand understanding of the world. Health-related activities are developmentally appropriate to coincide with topics of immediate interest to the students. Students in grades K-3 become nutritionists, safety engineers and health service workers while studying disease transmission, sanitation, nutrition, safety and personal physiology. Study in the upper elementary grades expands to human reproduction and the dangers of drugs, tobacco, alcohol and sexually-transmitted diseases. An emotional health **focus** is interwoven throughout all grades emphasizing social interaction and personal responsibility.

Materials and activities are specifically designed for use by teachers in self-contained classrooms, but they can also be used successfully by science specialists. *DASH* does not require kits of science materials, but uses equipment students make **from** throwaway items commonly available. In addition, *DASH* stresses *connecting* its activities to experiences at home. *DASH's* K-3 portion was validated by the U.S. Department of Education's National **Diffusion** Network in 1992-93 and is currently in use in 28 states, as well as Australia and New Zealand.

## Services Available

Classroom materials are available only to teachers who **complete** an intensive **10-day DASH** teacher institute. **Institutes** are offered at several stateside universities and are tailored to the grade level for which the teacher expects to teach. The teacher's guide contains approximately 100 activities per grade level and masters for activity sheets.

Monthly support services and technical assistance are available **from** the CRDG. A series of newsletters called *DASH on Home* are available for parents, while teachers receive a *Science Education* newsletter at no cost. Cost per classroom, including training, instructional materials and support services, average \$525 for 22 students for the first year, \$200 the second year and \$100 the third year.

## Implications for Practice

**The** use of critical thinking, problem-solving and cooperative interpersonal skills have been cited as important factors in reducing high-risk behaviors. *DASH* provides very young children with fundamental tools to build self-efficacy and promote self-responsibility. Engaged learners tend to require less discipline and classroom management. In these days of shrinking resources and time, *DASH* provides teachers with an integrated approach to science, health and technology that articulates well with language arts, mathematics, music, art, social studies and physical education. **The** program is not textbook dependent and has been shown to promote self-directed learners actively engaged in discovery and application of new information.

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	✓
	4 <sup>6</sup>	✓
	M	
	H	
	K <sup>12</sup>	
	S	

Locale	R	
	U	
	S	
	M	✓

Level	CI	✓
	B	
	D	
	C	

Components	C	✓
	St	✓
	Pe	
	Pa	✓
	M	
	P	
	Sk	✓
	Se	

Emphasis	K	✓
	A	
	N	
	B	✓

## Program Contact

Donald B. Young  
Associate Director  
Curriculum Research and Development Group  
University of Hawaii  
1776 University Ave.  
Honolulu HI 96822  
PH: (808) 956-6918 /FAX: (808) 956-4114  
e-mail: [young@uhunix.uhcc.Hawaii.edu](mailto:young@uhunix.uhcc.Hawaii.edu)

# DASH

	E	Design
✓	Q	

	I	
✓	G	
	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	

✓	QI	Data
	Qn	
	B	

	F	Type
✓	S	
✓	O	
✓	P	

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

*DASH* was field-tested in rural, suburban and urban public and private schools in Hawaii involving 1,200 teachers and 30,000 students. In addition, 650 teachers and 20,000 students in six states participated in field testing. Because of the **difficulty** in obtaining valid and reliable statistical data **from** children in grades K-3, *CRDG* used a multiple-case study design in seven districts in Hawaii, Pennsylvania, North Carolina and Washington to evaluate the project. The analysis followed cross-experiment rather than within experiment logic and design. Based on Yin (1989), this method allowed the evaluators to claim replication if two or more cases supported the same assertion.

A study team of 11 trained, senior researchers conducted the site visits in teams of two or three investigators over a five-day period. Teams interviewed and recorded teachers, administrators, parents and students and took voluminous field notes. Data was collected on student-created products and artifacts, engaged learning time, test data where available and teacher lesson plans. Each site team wrote single case study reports and prepared site portfolios. These study reports were coded to field notes and data interpretation was verified by team members, teachers and administrators. An independent evaluator, Dr. James Gallagher of Michigan State University, examined the case studies for patterns which matched the predicted student and teacher outcomes derived **from** the *DASH* constructionist **framework** and did the cross-case analysis.

Due to the diversity of study sites, multiple data sources at each site and multiple perspectives of **team** members at each site, any common findings were interpreted to provide strong evidence of the impact of the **curriculum**.

Based on the observations, artifacts, documents and structured interviews, three claims of effectiveness were made for the K-3 *DASH curriculum* to the Program Effectiveness Panel of the National **Diffusion** Network.

Only statements corroborated by more than one type of data were offered in evidence. First, students who participated in *DASH* were able to demonstrate understanding of fundamental concepts and use of basic inquiry skills and data gathering techniques in science, health and **technology**. Students were able to demonstrate integration and application of these concepts. Second, *DASH* students were **self-directed** learners who took responsibility for their own learning. This was demonstrated in engaged learning time (85-95 percent), planning and completion of tasks and use of multiple resources. Third, experienced *DASH* teachers in grades K-3 changed their attitudes and approaches in ways resulting in increased instructional time and focus on students' learning. Rather than giving answers, teachers created an **environment** that enabled students to actively engage in the inquiry process.

## Critique

The evaluation appears to confirm that *DASH* was **successful** in addressing the recent national initiatives on science education reform. These reforms seek to replace textbooks with integrated, hands-on inquiry programs intended to promote scientific literacy, responsibility and critical thinking. Some may challenge the reliance on qualitative evidence to **justify** the claims of effectiveness.

## Evaluation Contact

Donald B. Young  
Associate Director  
Curriculum Research and Development Group  
University of Hawaii  
1776 University Ave.  
Honolulu, HI 96822  
PH: (808) 956-6918 /FAX: (808) 956-4114  
e-mail: [young@uhunix.uhcc.Hawaii.edu](mailto:young@uhunix.uhcc.Hawaii.edu)

# Florida Traffic and Bicycle Safety

## Program Description

The Florida Department of Transportation's Safety Office launched a bicycle and pedestrian safety education program for fourth-grade students in 1984, modeled after a program in Montana. In 1991 the University of Florida's Department of Urban and Regional Planning assumed responsibility for the program, revised it and expanded it for grades K-8. The curriculum for grades K-2 focuses on pedestrian safety. Students learn specific skills such as stopping at the edge of the sidewalk and searching **left-to-right**-to-left. For **fourth**- to **fifth-grade** students, the curriculum emphasizes bicycle safety. Lessons include outside, on-bike practice with bicycles purchased and kept by the participating school. Specific skills include proper signaling and avoiding road hazards. The **curriculum** also teaches **decision-making** skills, balance, eye-hand-foot coordination, increased awareness of the neighborhood and surroundings, and exercise. The middle school component became available in 1995, but was not part of the evaluation study. Classroom instruction includes interactive video components and activity sheets. Physical educators most often teach the curriculum.

When originally revised, Florida was preparing to implement mandatory bike helmet laws. Given a large demand for the curriculum and few resources to provide staff development, a 10-hour "**train-the-trainer**" model was developed to prepare educators, youth leaders and resource **officers** to teach children safe practices in and near automobile **traffic**. University of Florida **staff** train trainers, who in turn instruct teachers and other interested individuals in their area. Since 1992-93, approximately 667 teachers, police **officers**, local bicycle pedestrian coordinators, **community officials** and other interested individuals in 24 Florida counties have received training. These counties represent 75 percent of the state's population.

## Services Available

**Through the** regional trainers, the University of Florida provides teacher training, workshops and curriculum materials. The 10-hour training generally takes a **day-and-a-half** and when possible incorporates a teacher in-service day. To offer training, the University of Florida requires a minimum of 15 and maximum of 50 participants.

## Implications for Practice

**Injuries are the** leading cause of death among **school-aged** children. Among children ages 5-9, **traffic** injuries are the leading cause of death and disability. Helping children develop safe **traffic** behaviors and avoid hazards, thus, can help save lives and reduce injury and disability. **Healthy People 2000** objective 9.13 **specifically** addresses the need to increase the use of bicycle helmets. As of 1994, nine states required helmets for bicycle riders. Such laws are particularly important in states, like Florida, that offer year-round opportunities for riding. Objective 9.18 calls for "academic instruction on injury prevention and control, preferably as part of quality school health education, in at least 50 percent of public school systems." The **Florida Traffic and Bicycle Safety Education Program** **offers** a structured, developmentally-appropriate program at the earliest grades to raise awareness and provide basic skills.

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	✓
	4 <sup>6</sup>	✓
	M	
	H	
Locale	K <sup>12</sup>	
	S	
	R	
	U	
Level	S	
	M	✓
	CI	✓
	B	
Components	D	
	Co	
	C	
	St	✓
	Pe	
	Pa	
	M	
Emphasis	P	
	Sk	✓
	Se	
	K	✓
	A	
	N	
	B	✓

## Program Contact

Dr. Linda B. Crider  
Margaret Raynal  
Department of Urban and Regional Planning  
431 ARCH, P.O. Box 155706  
University of Florida  
Gainesville, FL 32611-5706  
PH: (904) 392-8192, Suncom 622-8192  
FAX: (904) 846-0404



# Florida Traffic and Bicycle Safety

	E	Design
✓	Q	
✓	I	Analysis
	G	
	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	Data
	QI	
	Qn	
✓	B	
	F	Type
	S	
✓	O	
✓	P	

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

In 1996, the Florida Department of Transportation contracted with the University of Florida to evaluate the training model and students' learning. Data included surveys of students in selected schools to measure knowledge, attitudes and beliefs; focused interviews of teachers and administrators involved in the program, participant-observations by the evaluation team; and surveys of the teacher-trainers.

Student questionnaires were pilot tested for developmental appropriateness and content validity. Teachers or graduate students administered questionnaires to 1,171 children in five elementary schools in three counties. Usable surveys were obtained from 1,151 students (98 percent). In grades K-2, the data revealed statistically significant positive associations ( $p < .10$ ) between the curriculum and children's understanding of traffic rules. The third- to f&-grade findings demonstrated statistically significant evidence that the curriculum improved knowledge of bicycles and rules for riding in traffic. However, there was no evidence of increased helmet use or improved bicycle skills. An interesting finding was that boys did not learn the concepts as readily as girls or failed to report them as correctly and consistently as girls.

Surveys of teacher-trainers were mailed to 395 eligible individuals who had been trained in the program. One hundred sixty-nine teacher-trainers (42.7 percent) returned useable surveys. The surveys consisted of multiple-choice questions about program environment, implementation, administrative support, community support, quality of curriculum materials, effect on children's behavior and the teacher training workshop. Surveys also allowed for comments. In addition, the investigators were participant-observers during two training sessions and informally interviewed members of the training classes. This information helped place the survey responses in context. The majority of trainers rated the program very highly across the entire range of categories with the exception of some of the video components, which were considered inappropriate and unhelpful.

The evaluators also conducted case studies that

examined implementation issues. They conducted focused interviews of 37 individuals in seven counties. Counties were selected because they had exemplary programs, had interesting models of implementation or had given up trying to implement. The case studies revealed several common characteristics of successful programs as well as barriers to success. The problems most often cited included lack of time to implement the program, lack of financial support for the purchase and maintenance of a sufficient number of bicycles and quality helmets, and the need for a coordinator who can oversee the program in each district. Characteristics of successful programs included widespread support by businesses in the community; active involvement of parents and other adult service groups; positive, regular media coverage; and strong administrative support.

The evaluation included pre/posttests of students, but no control or comparison group. Measures did not include direct observations of behaviors.

## Critique

Although specific pedestrian safety and bicycling skills were not assessed, the program increased young students' knowledge of important safety rules. It did not, however, appear to increase students' use of bicycle helmets. The purchase of bicycles by schools assured that the program was institutionalized although funding for maintenance and repair was a potential barrier to continuation.

## Evaluation Contact

Richard H. Schneider, PhD  
Principal Investigator  
Department of Urban and Regional Planning  
432 ARCH, PO Box 155706  
University of Florida  
Gainesville, FL 32611-5706

# Get Real About AIDS

## Program Description

**Get Real About AIDS** is an HIV prevention curriculum for students in grades 4-12. Originally developed in 1988 by the Comprehensive Health Education Foundation (CHEF), the program was first called *Here's Looking At AIDS And You*. It was updated in 1992 under the current name and further revised in 1994, when the high school unit of the **curriculum** was designated by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) as a *Program that Works!* and the other units were redesigned to be consistent with the high school unit. Only the high school unit has undergone evaluation. Thus, this review discusses only the high school level. The current high school package includes two lessons which were not part of the evaluated program: a simulated community meeting and a lesson delaying the onset of sexual activity. The program requires 14 class periods to complete.

*Get Real About AIDS* provides students with current and accurate information about HIV, AIDS and other sexually transmitted diseases. It teaches social skills that enable youth to say no, develop self-control and increases students' perception of their vulnerability to HIV. The instructional strategies draw on a variety of approaches including Hunter's Instructional Theory into Practice (ITIP); Botvin's social skills training; the Johnson brothers' cooperative team learning; and Hawkins and Catalano's risk reduction and changing of peer norms. *Get Real About AIDS* also presents and reinforces a strong no drug use message.

All levels of the program stress the benefits of abstinence from sex. The high school unit, however, provides a comprehensive approach to HIV prevention. Lesson plans are flexible and teachers can adapt them to fit their comfort level. The program incorporates activities that extend to school, family, and community and accommodates a wide range of learning styles through a mixed-media approach that includes games, books, videos and work sheets.

## Services Available

The high school curriculum costs \$495. The price includes a teacher's guide and all the materials needed to teach the curriculum, including videos, posters, lesson plans, fact sheets, masters for worksheets and a newsletter for parents. CHEF recommends **three** days of Leacher training. Many state education agencies also offer training. Training costs depend upon need. Demonstration kits are offered for preview at no charge. Technical assistance for implementation is available by phone and mail.

## Implications for Practice

Every year in the United States, young people between the ages 13 and 21 account for an estimated 25 percent of new HIV cases. In other terms, approximately two youth get infected with HIV every hour of every day. *Healthy People 2000* Objective 18.3 calls for reducing the number of adolescents who have engaged in sexual intercourse to no more than 15 percent by age 15 and no more than 40 percent by age 17. Objective 18.4 seeks to increase to at least 50 percent the proportion of sexually active, unmarried people who used a condom at last intercourse. The *Healthy People 2000 Midcourse Review and 1995 Revisions* reported mixed success in meeting these objectives. The percentage of **15-year-olds** who are sexually active remains high, although the numbers have declined slightly. At the same time, condom use at last sexual intercourse among high school students has increased. Effective education programs, such as *Get Real About AIDS*, are one weapon in the fight to protect young people **from** infection with HIV and other sexually transmitted diseases.

Audience	P
	K <sup>3</sup>
	4 <sup>6</sup> ✓
	M✓
	H✓
	K <sup>12</sup>
	S

Locale	R✓
	U
	S✓
	M

Level	CI✓
	B
	D
	C

Components	C✓
	St✓
	Pe
	Pa✓
	M
	P
	Sk✓
	Se

Emphasis	K✓
	A✓
	N✓
	B

## Program Contact

(for information, materials)	(for training)
AGC Educational Media	1. CHEF (800)323-2422
1560 Sherman Ave., Suite 100	2. National Training Partnership at EDC
Evanston, IL 6020 1	(617) 969-7100
PH: (800) 323-9084	3. Julie Taylor,
FAX: (847)328-6706	ETR Associates
	(408) 438-4060

# Get Real About AIDS

	E	Design
✓	Q	

	I	Analysis
	G	
✓	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	

	QI	Data
	Qn	
✓	B	

	F	Type
✓	S	
✓	O	
✓	P	

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

In the fall of 1991, six school districts in Colorado delivered the *Get Real About AIDS program* to students in grades nine through 12. Using a quasi-experimental design, seventeen schools received either the program (n=10) or served as a comparison group (n=7). Within each district, schools were matched on grade, gender, and racial and ethnic distribution. Program schools received the curriculum for 15 consecutive school days. In addition, many schools implemented activities that reinforced the themes of the lessons, such as displaying HIV posters throughout the school and distributing wallet-sized HIV information cards to students in nonparticipating classes. In the control schools, teachers offered their usual HIV education.

Students completed a self-report questionnaire at baseline, at the end of the first semester, and at the end of the school year (i.e. six months after the program). A total of 979 students completed both baseline and six-month, follow-up data. In addition, trained observers collected program implementation data a minimum of three times to determine the extent that students received the entire curriculum (completeness) and the extent that teachers adhered to specific activities within each lesson (fidelity).

The study found that students who received the program were more likely than students in the control group to report they had purchased a condom. Compared to the control group, sexually active students in the program group reported having fewer sexual partners within the past two months and using a condom more often during sexual intercourse. Students who received the program scored significantly higher on a knowledge test of HIV and expressed greater intention to engage in safer sexual practices than comparison students. Program students were more likely to believe that someone their age who engaged in risky behaviors could become infected with HIV. The program did not, however, significantly postpone the onset of sexual intercourse.

Classroom observations indicated that teachers included 75 percent of the lesson components and taught those components with 89 percent fidelity. The majority of teachers rated all lessons as more effective than their usual lessons and reported extremely positive student reactions.

## Critique

Of the 2,015 students who completed the baseline instrument, the evaluator matched 66 percent at the six-month follow-up. Attrition in both the program and control schools was higher among those sexually active at baseline than among those who had never had sex. The only significant differences between control and program schools at follow-up was age ~ program students were somewhat older. Thus, results are generalizable to school-attending youth, but might not apply to higher risk students such as dropouts or those with frequent absences.

## Evaluation Contact

Deborah S. Main, PhD  
Department of Family Medicine  
University of Colorado Health Sciences Center  
1180 Clarmont St.  
Campus Box B-155  
Denver, CO 80220  
PH: (303) 270-5191

# Gimme 5

## Program Description

*Gimme 5* is a nutrition program for students in fourth and **fifth** grades based on social cognitive theory. It is designed to increase fruit and vegetable consumption. Tom Baranowski, **PhD**, and colleagues **from** the Medical College of Georgia, Emory University and the University of Minnesota developed *Gimme 5*. Research revealed that children do not eat fruits and vegetables for three reasons: they're generally not available or accessible in the home (an **environmental** factor); children do not like them (a personal factor); and children are often responsible for making their own meals and snacks, but do not have knowledge and skills to make recipes using **fruits** and vegetables (a skill factor).

To address these issues, *Gimme 5* encourages children to use "asking skills" to request their favorite fruits and vegetables at meals and at snacks, to ask to go **grocery** shopping with parents and to request visiting fast food restaurants that offer **fruits** and vegetables. To increase preference for fruits and vegetables, the program exposes children to new recipes using fruits and vegetables through food-tasting experiences. To improve children's food preparation skills, the program includes opportunities for children to prepare **FaSST** (Fast and low fat, Simple, Safe and Tasty) recipes. Strategies also help students develop skills in goal-setting, self-monitoring and problem solving.

*Gimme 5* is a semiweekly, six-week course. The fourth-grade level focuses on vegetable consumption. The **fifth-grade** level focuses on **fruit** consumption added to vegetables to achieve five a day. Teaching strategies include raps, games, role-playing, mock newspaper columns, comic strips and rhymes. **Four**-page weekly newsletters for parents suggest simple recipes and family activities for use at home. The program recommends establishing a community advisory committee comprised of representatives **from** school administration, school food service, teachers, parents, grocers and area fast food managers.

## Services Available

The fourth- and fifth-grade teachers' guides include 12 **lessons** at each grade level with behavioral objectives, **and** an outline for teaching, transparencies and student **worksheets**, ideas for enrichment activity ideas and six **parent** newsletters. In addition, each grade incorporates **three**, **15-minute**, "MTV-style" videos. A food service **handbook** helps food service **staff** prepare the foods for taste-testing. One set of materials costs \$250. *Gimme 5* **recommends** four hours of **staff** development training **with** a two-hour follow-up training midway through implementation.

## Implications for Practice

Because dietary practices are **often** learned at a young **age** and carried into adulthood, establishing healthy **dietary** patterns at an early age is important. The **Year 2000 Health Objectives and the** U.S. Department of **Agriculture/U.S. Health and Human Services** *Food Guide Pyramid* recommends increasing **fruit** and vegetable consumption to five or more daily servings. Currently, less than 25 percent of adults meet the minimal goal and most need to double their intake. *Gimme 5* addresses cognitive, **affective** and behavioral outcomes. It is one of four programs funded by the National Cancer Institute that focuses on increasing consumption of **fruits** and vegetables.

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	
	4 <sup>6</sup>	✓
	M	
	H	
	K <sup>12</sup>	
	S	

Locale	R	
	U	✓
	S	✓
	M	

Level	CI	✓
	B	✓
	D	
	C	

Components	C	✓
	St	✓
	Pe	
	Pa	✓
	M	
	P	
	Sk	✓
	Se	

Emphasis	K	✓
	A	✓
	N	✓
	B	✓

## Program Contact

Janice Baranowski, MPH, RD, LD  
Project Manager  
Department of Behavioral Science  
The University of Texas  
M.D. Anderson Cancer Center  
1515 Holcombe Blvd., Box 243  
Houston, TX 77030-4095  
PH: (713) 745-2383

## Gimme 5

✓	E	Design
	Q	

	I	Analysis
	G	
✓	B	
	D	
	C	
✓	M	

	Ql	Data
	Qn	
✓	B	

	F	Type
✓	S	
✓	O	
✓	P	

### Evidence of Program Effectiveness

The *Gimme 5* project was first evaluated in 1991 with 301 students in grades 4-5. Findings from that study found some evidence of dietary changes among elementary school children, however, most changes were school-site specific and did not occur at home. The program was subsequently revised to achieve dietary changes at home as well as at school. Parent newsletters and accompanying videos reinforced curriculum messages given at school. In addition, *Gimme 5* suggested point of purchase educational activities in grocery stores near participating elementary schools. The most recent evaluation occurred during 1994-96.

Sixteen elementary schools (four **from** a major southeastern metropolitan area and 12 **from** a suburban school system) were matched within the district by size, percent of students participating in **free** or reduced lunch and percent of annual student turnover. Within the matched pair, schools were randomly assigned to participate in the program or to serve as comparison schools. No substantial differences existed between groups at baseline. Teachers attended a six-hour workshop to become familiar with the program prior to implementation.

Baseline data was collected when the students were in the third grade. Cohort assessment occurred in March for the fourth and **fifth** grades. Over 1,700 children participated each year for three years; 80 percent of the students were White with the remainder primarily African American. There were no statistically significant differences by gender or **ethnicity** between those who dropped out and the cohort. The school served as the unit of analysis. A small random sample of parents also provided data via telephone interviews at each point in time.

The outcome evaluation used various assessment measures with adequate to good reliability. Students completed a seven-day food record according to a standardized protocol. Trained dietitians with intercoder reliability of .8 or above for three categories examined coded diaries. Other measures included knowledge, outcome expectations, **self-efficacy**, **social norms** and **asking** behaviors. Approximately 90 percent of the teachers participated in process evaluation conducted by classroom observers.

**Trained** dietitians analyzed school lunch menus, then **verified** or corrected the analysis with visits to the **canteen**. Telephone interviews with parents determined fruit and vegetable availability and **accessibility**. Finally, observation, survey and **personal** interview assessed point-of-purchase **education**.

**Findings** revealed increased vegetable consumption at year two in the treatment group compared to **decreased** consumption in the control group. Parent interviews suggested a positive increase in the availability of fruit and vegetables at home as a result of program. At year three no differences existed. Detectable changes occurred in school lunches but not at home. Nonetheless, *Gimme 5* appeared to mitigate an age-related decline in fruit and vegetable **consumption** observed in the **control** group and **confirmed** in national surveys. The lowest-consuming **groups** at baseline improved the most. The evaluators speculated that some developmental characteristics associated with this age group might make **educational** efforts **difficult** and that the increasing availability of competing food choices such as high fat/high sugar snacks reduced **fruit** and vegetable selection.

### Critique

**As** noted by the researchers, a number of problems limit the conclusions **from** this evaluation. Process evaluation revealed that only 47 percent of teachers used all the activities and only 22 percent of the activities crucial to behavior change. Eighty-two percent of the parents reported receiving the video but only 65 percent viewed it. Sixteen grocery stores each conducted one point-of-purchase activity – all during the same month. Attendance at store events was low. Teachers were more comfortable presenting nutrition information than using techniques designed to promote behavior change.

### Evaluation Contact

Tom Baranowski, PhD  
Department of Behavioral Science  
University of Texas  
M.D. Anderson Cancer Center  
1515 Holcombe Blvd.  
Houston, TX 77030-4095  
PH: (713) 745-2682  
E-mail: [tbaranow@notes.mdacc.tmc.edu](mailto:tbaranow@notes.mdacc.tmc.edu)

# Going for the GOAL

## Program Description

*Going for the GOAL* is a "life skills" program for middle school students designed to teach young adolescents a sense of personal control and confidence about their future. Developed in 1987 by Steven J. Danish, **PhD**, of The **Life Skills Center** at Virginia Commonwealth University, the program assists youth in identifying positive life goals and developing skills to attain these goals. Students learn how health behaviors can either compromise or impede goal attainment or facilitate goal achievement. Based on social learning principles, the program teaches students to **identify** their dreams, control their emotions, ask for help, overcome obstacles and rebound from setbacks as well as seek and create social supports. An assumption of the program is that success in life goes beyond knowing what to avoid; it also requires knowing how to succeed. For this reason the program emphasizes "what to say yes to" as opposed to "**just** say no."

The program consists of 10 one-hour skill based workshops taught by two trained high school students as part of the middle school' health curriculum or **after** school programs. Activities include role plays, problem-solving skits, stories and games. Selected high school students must have at least a "C" average, leadership qualities and extracurricular involvement. These successful high school role models have grown up in the same neighborhoods, attended the same schools, and **confronted** similar roadblocks as their younger counterparts. Local colleges and universities often provide the training and supervision of the high school leaders.

Originally funded by a grant **from** the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention, *GOAL* has since used grants **from** public **and** private sponsors to spread to over 25 cities nationally. Since its **inception**, almost 15,000 students have received the program. In 1996, *GOAL* received the **Lela** Rowland Prevention Award by the National Mental Health Association. A new version of the program called **GOALS for Health**, funded by the National Cancer Institute, addresses the issues of healthy eating and tobacco use prevention among youth.

## Services Available

The program includes leader manuals and student **activity** guides. The developers recommend identifying a **school** and community coordinating group to help implement and oversee the program. An operations manual can help facilitate that process. In addition, The **Life Skills Center** offers a one-day **training** program for **school** and community. The cost of the program varies, depending on the number of middle school students participating. For 100 students the price is approximately \$40 per student. A Spanish version of the program is also available.

## Implications for Practice

Many of the leading causes of death among adults are due to health risk behaviors that are preventable, such as smoking, lack of physical activity and poor dietary habits. Many of these negative habits begin in adolescence. The *GOAL* program uses peer role models to reach youth with general competency skills at a key stage in their development. This approach to prevention has shown considerable promise in helping students establish positive health habits and achieve success. In addition, the role models gain increased confidence and control over their lives as well as learn the specific content they teach.

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	
	4 <sup>6</sup>	
	M	✓
	H	
	K <sup>12</sup>	
	S	

Locale	R	
	U	
	S	
	M	✓

Level	C	✓
	B	
	D	
	C	

Components	C	✓
	St	
	Pe	✓
	Pa	
	M	
	P	
	Sk	✓
	Se	

Emphasis	K	
	A	✓
	N	
	B	✓

## Program Contact

Steven J. Danish, **PhD**

Director

The Life Skills Center

Virginia Commonwealth University

800 W. Franklin Street, Box 842018

Richmond, VA 23284-20 18

PH: (804) 828-4384 / FAX: (804) 828-0239

## Going for the **GOAL**

	E	Design
✓	Q	

✓	I	
	G	
	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	

✓	QI	Data
	Qn	
	B	

	F	Type
	S	
✓	O	
✓	P	

### Evidence of Program Effectiveness

A three-year qualitative evaluation of *GOAL* began in 1992 and involved **2,039** racially-mixed, sixth-grade students in Richmond, Va., Los Angeles, **Calif.** and New London, Conn. The report included no data and no information on evaluation design or methods. The developers stated that compared to a control group, **the** self-report survey findings indicated that participants learned the program **information**, were able to achieve the goals they set and found the process of setting and attaining goals easier than they expected. The developers also reported that compared to a control group, students who participated in *GOAL* had better school attendance and reported a decrease in alcohol use, **frequency** of getting drunk, smoking cigarettes, other drug use, and violent and other problem behaviors.

A member of the Life Skills Center conducted a small focus group study (**N=20**) to ascertain the participants' impressions of the program. This nonrepresentative sample involved sixth-grade students in three middle schools in Richmond. The majority of the students were African American (91 percent) and female (53 percent). The focus groups lasted one **50-minute** class period in each of the three schools. The focus groups determined whether the students' perceptions of four variables considered important in the program: **planfulness**, ability to identify strategies to realize the plan, ability to identify obstacles that interfere with **planfulness** and ability to identify obstacles and supports to implementing effective strategies.

The interviewer concluded that participation in *GOAL* enabled the students to **think** positively about their future and increased the students' perception of the number of skills they possessed to achieve their goals. According to the students, these conditions were not present prior to *GOAL*. The interviewer believed that the *GOAL* program accelerated cognitive developmental processes and enabled the students to think more abstractly. The students liked the **50-minute** workshop format that included individualized attention, varied and fun activities and ample opportunity to participate.

The interviewed *GOAL* students did not anticipate positive futures for their other peers. Although the *GOAL* students felt that they had learned valuable strategies for ignoring obstacles, they lacked confidence in their abilities to use those strategies in the face of non-supportive adults. This sense of powerlessness came **from** an inability to predict adult expectations, being in an environment not conducive to learning, and being repeatedly told about how "bad" youth were. The students perceived a great deal of racial prejudice that would interfere with their ability to succeed.

### Critique

*GOAL* is similar in many respect to Botvin's life skills training program that has demonstrated effectiveness in reducing high-risk behaviors. *GOAL's* developers reported positive student behavior and attitudes regarding alcohol, tobacco and other drug use, violence and school attendance as a result of participation in **the** program. Description of the evaluation design and methods plus lack of data presentation limit conclusion about *GOAL's* **effectiveness**.

### Evaluation Contact

Todd C. O'Hearn  
Department of Psychology  
**University of South California**  
Los Angeles, CA 90089-1061  
PH: (310) 470-4063 /FAX: (213) 746-5994

# Good Touch/Bad Touch

## Program Description

The *Good Touch/Bad Touch* program is a child abuse prevention program for preschool-aged to sixth-grade students. Developed in 1984 in Georgia, the goal of the program is to prevent or stop child abuse and to reduce the trauma associated with it. The curriculum includes accurate, age-appropriate information and **helpful** strategies to limit emotional and sexual abuse. Modifications have been made for the developmentally delayed.

The preschool program contains <sup>9</sup> ~~is~~ four **20-minute** lessons. The K-2 program contains four **30-minute** lessons. In the first session children **define** child sexual abuse through a story and by playing a simple game designed to help them understand when something "went wrong" (sexual abuse). The second session includes a **film** about sexual abuse prevention. Children also learn a simple song about body safety. Session three discusses who sexually abuses children and presents two stories in which child characters are role played by two dolls. The story teaches that sexual-abuse victims are not bad and the abuse is not their fault. Beginning in the third grade, the program addresses physical abuse and bullying. The **fifth-** and sixth-grade curricula address sexual harassment, physical and emotional abuse and neglect.

Staff development focuses on implementing the curriculum in an effective manner. Additional content includes reporting **requirements**; the signs and symptoms of child abuse; the dynamics between the offender and the child victim; characteristics of immediate and long-term impact on the child; the role of drugs and alcohol in abuse; and working with the family. Training includes a combination of lecture, classroom demonstrations, films, role modeling and discussion.

Over 100 of Georgia's 186 school systems and a number of school districts in other states have implemented *Good Touch/Bad Touch*. To date, approximately 2500 teachers, school counselors, school administrators, child protective services employees, mental health counselors, preschool and Head Start teachers have received training in the program.

## Services Available

Training and certification, curricula, support materials including parent booklets, coloring books, a toll-free help line and anger management workshops are available.

## Implications for Practice

Recent estimates of child sexual abuse show that as many as 40 million people, or about one in six Americans, may have been sexually abused as a child. Short-term negative effects include fear, depression and hostility. Long-term effects include poor self-esteem, adolescent pregnancy and substance abuse. Based on the estimated numbers of children affected and the negative effects associated, there is a need to develop prevention and intervention programs. *Healthy People 2000* objective 7.4 seeks to reduce child abuse,

Audience	P	✓
	K <sup>3</sup>	✓
	4 <sup>6</sup>	✓
	M	
	H	
	K <sup>12</sup>	
	S	✓

Locale	R	
	U	
	S	
	M	✓

Level	CI	✓
	B	
	D	

Components	C	✓
	St	✓
	Pe	
	Pa	✓
	M	
	P	
	Sk	
	Se	

Emphasis	K	✓
	A	
	N	
	B	

## Program Contact

Pam Church

Director

Prevention and Motivation., *Organization* ?

P.O. Box 1960

659 Henderson Dr., Suite H

Cartersville, GA 30120

PH: (800) 245-1527 /FAX (770) 607-9600



# Good Touch/Bad Touch

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

The program evaluation involved kindergarten children **from** rural, low-income families in central Georgia. The children ranged in age **from** 5-7 and 50 percent were African American. Children were randomly assigned to one of two groups. The program group received the **Good Touch/Bad Touch** program which involved three half-hour sessions presented on three consecutive days with approximately 20 children in each session. The control group were read stories and saw a **film** – none of which were related to sexual abuse – in three sessions over the three days.

A trained interviewer, who was unaware of whether children were in the program or control group, assessed ninety children individually, **in private** at pre-intervention, 71 (79 percent) for a **posttest three** weeks later and 68 (76 percent) for follow up a month later. Two other researchers conducted both the control and intervention programs. Both individuals had substantial experience working with children and had implemented the sexual abuse prevention program together and individually on multiple occasions.

The dependent measures were three aspects of the prevention program: differentiation between good and sexually-abusive touches, basic knowledge about coping with sexual abuse and application of such knowledge to **specific** situations. To measure differentiation, children responded to a series of 10 pictures of young children interacting with an adult or adolescent. Five pictures presented good touches (e.g., a hug) and five presented inappropriate touching (e.g. a man touching a child between the legs). Knowledge about coping with abuse consisted of asking each child five questions: Is it okay to ever break a promise? Do you think children should always obey grown-ups? If a person forces or tricks you into a bad touch, should you tell? Do you think that sometimes growu-ups trick children into a bad touch? Do you think that children **should** decide with whom they want to share their bodies? The test for application of knowledge consisted of two parts – direct and generalization tests.

30th tests depicted hvo stories of sexual abuse, one involving an adult and one involving an adolescent. **Each** story was read to the child and followed by six **questions** concerning whether the abuse was wrong **and** what the child should do. The direct test and the **generalization** test **differed** in that the direct test **depicted** scenes discussed in the program whereas the **story** in the generalization test was not in the program. **The** experimenter recorded each child's responses On a standardized form.

**Initial** analyses performed on the dependent variables **indicated** that sex and race did not produce **significant effects** and did not interact with the group factor (treatment versus control); therefore these factors **were** dropped from the primary analysis. The x&-intervention **and** follow-up data were analyzed **using** a one-way analysis of **covariance** with the **pre**-intervention score serving as the covariate. All the **variables** measured showed significant improvement ( $p < .05$  or  $p < .01$ ) in children's ability to recognize **abuse** and to know what to do if it occurred.

## Critique

Evaluation results from this small sample suggest that **children** as young as kindergarten age can learn knowledge and skills for the prevention of sexual abuse. Future research needs to address issues **concerning** maintenance and actual application of the **skills**. Because external, trained specialists taught the program, program fidelity was not an issue but **generalizability** of the results in "real world" **classrooms** remains untested. Teachers in a variety of settings have used the curricula, but there are no reports of evaluations of their use.

## Evaluation Contact

Rex Forehand, PhD  
Department of Psychology  
University of Georgia  
Athens, GA.  
PH: (706) 549-0541

✓	E	Design
	Q	

✓	I	Analysis
	G	
	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	

	QI	Data
✓	Qn	
	B	

	F	Type
	S	
✓	O	
	P	

# GRADS

## Program Description

Graduation, Reality and Dual-Role Skills Program (**GRADS**) is an in-school family and consumer sciences (formerly home economics) education program for pregnant and parenting adolescents, both male and female, in seventh to 12th grades. GRADS' primary goal is to keep teens in school while they learn parenting skills and explore vocational goals. GRADS was **first** developed in 1980-81 in response to the growing **teen** pregnancy and parenthood problem in Ohio. Funds **from** Comprehensive Employment Training and Administration supported the original program which the Ohio Department of Education, Division of Vocational and Adult Education created.

The goals of the program are to: 1) increase the likelihood that participants will remain in school during pregnancy and after childbirth; 2) increase the likelihood that participants will graduate; 3) insure healthy mothers and babies; 4) prepare participants for work and economic self-sufficiency; 5) help participants set goals that **will allow** them to balance work and family; and 6) reduce subsequent pregnancies during adolescence. Key strategies of the program include: enrolling participants for academic credit for up to two years, providing teacher resources, and student materials that include activities for building participants' ability to resolve problems associated with parenting, poor self-esteem and lack of finances. A **GRADS** advisory committee links the program with community agencies. Teachers have opportunities annually for professional development.

**GRADS** classes meet daily or a minimum of two hours a week. The curriculum emphasizes practical reasoning and communication skills. When needed, **GRADS** teachers supplement classroom activities with home visits. Many **GRADS** programs have in-school childcare programs and transportation grants.

The U.S. Department of Education validated **GRADS** in 1990 as part of its National Diffusion Network and revalidated it in 1995. As of October 1994, 505 school districts in 85 of Ohio's 88 counties offered **GRADS** in at least one school in 1993-94. In addition, 94 school districts, representing 129 programs, in 13 additional states used GRADS. The schools, including vocational, are located in urban, suburban and rural communities.

## Services Available

The Ohio Department of Education produces an 88 module **Adolescent Parent Resource Guide**. The Department annually offers two-day "train the trainer" workshops in Ohio. Ten certified trainers offer training in **five** additional states. The Department has available information brochures and implementation packets and can provide technical assistance

### Implications for Practice

Although the U.S. teen birth rate has **declined** somewhat in **recent** years, teenage pregnancy and parenthood remains a significant social problem. Early parenthood often begins a cycle of dependency that results in poorer health and school achievement for both parents and children. The U.S. Government Accounting Office estimated in 1994 that early parenthood costs U. S. society \$34 billion annually. Part of that cost is due to the increased incidence of low-birth weight babies among adolescent mothers. Teen parents are less likely to be married, are less likely to graduate from high school, are more likely to have additional babies, and more likely to be poor. **Healthy People 2000** objective 14.5 calls for reducing low-birth weight babies to no more than 5 percent of live births. The **GRADS** program addresses many of the critical factors that result in improved outcomes for teen parents and their children.

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	
	4 <sup>6</sup>	
	M	✓
	H	✓
	K <sup>12</sup>	
	S	

Locale	R	
	U	
	S	
	M	✓

Level	CI	✓
	B	
	D	
	C	✓

Components	C	✓
	St	✓
	Pe	
	Pa	✓
	M	
	P	
	Sk	✓
	Se	

Emphasis	K	✓
	A	✓
	N	
	B	✓

## Program Contact

Sharon G. Emight  
Project Director  
Family and Consumer Sciences  
Ohio Department of Education  
Division of Adult and Vocational Education  
65 South Front St., Room 909  
Columbus, OH 43215-4183  
PH: (614) 466-3046 /FAX: (614) 644-5702

What is this?  
What is the source?

↑  
↑

2 ✓

# GRADS

	E	Design
✓	Q	

✓	I	Analysis
	G	
	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	

	QI	Data
✓	Qn	
	B	

	F	Type
	S	
✓	O	
✓	P	

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

Several measures have assessed the effectiveness of the **GRADS** program over several years. In 1993, two-day visits at four **GRADS** sites assessed program implementation and the satisfaction of students and their families using the Attkinson client evaluation of services form and interviews. Information obtained from a survey of training session participants in 13 states who did not adopt the program helped determine barriers to adoption.

In 1994, an impact study used **pre/posttests** of parenting competencies over a six-month period with 148 students who were and were not program participants. The test, adapted from the Ohio Competency Analysis Profile examined four factors — adjustment to parenting, knowledge of child development, knowledge of childcare and knowledge of family relationships. A randomly selected stratified sample of Ohio **GRADS** teachers administered the **tests**. All **GRADS** teachers receive annual surveys asking about student enrollment, classroom activities, student status after birth, birth weight of babies and other program outcomes.

Using data from these and other assessments, **GRADS** has shown to:

- Keep students in school until graduation. **Enrollment** data revealed that Ohio **GRADS** has a retention rate of 85 percent compared to retention rates of pregnant and parenting teens of 67 percent to 91 percent in other states.
- Increase participants' knowledge of positive parenting practices. The gains were modest but consistent. However, evaluators presented no tests of statistical significance or comparative data.

- Increase participants' likelihood of delivering a healthy baby. In 1995, 79.6 percent of Ohio **GRADS** participants received prenatal care in the first trimester of pregnancy, compared to the national rate of 53.1 percent among pregnant teens. **GRADS** mothers gave birth to fewer low-birth weight babies than did other Ohio mothers 18 or younger who did not participate in the program (7.6 percent versus 10.3 percent).
- Reduce the number of subsequent pregnancies within two years. Between 1994-95, 11.9 percent of **GRADS** participants had a subsequent pregnancy compared to nearly 50 percent nationally two years postpartum.

## Critique

The **GRADS** program shows considerable promise of keeping pregnant and parenting teens in school, reducing the number of low-birth weight babies born to participants and reducing the likelihood of subsequent adolescent pregnancies among participants — especially when compared to national data. The evaluators did not discuss comparability of participants and nonparticipants in the various studies. Longer-term, follow up measures would strengthen the short-term findings.

## Evaluation Contact

Richard Hill  
William L. Hull  
Principal Investigators  
The Ohio State University Research Foundation  
Department of Home Economics Education  
1960 Kenny Road  
Columbus, OH 43210-1063  
PH: (614) 292-1993

# Healthy for Life

## Program Description

Douglas Piper, Pacific Institute Research and Evaluation, and D. Paul Moberg, University of Wisconsin, developed *Healthy for Life* with funding from the National Institute on Drug Abuse. The program uses the social influence theory to address five high-risk health behaviors of middle school students including nutrition habits, tobacco, alcohol and marijuana use, and sexual behavior. The original program had two versions: an intensive 12-week course delivered in seventh grade and a four-week course delivered sequentially in sixth, seventh and eighth grades.

A premise of the program is that young adolescents' perceptions of the social norm in a situation strongly influences their behaviors. Four social networks are significant: family, peers, school and community. In *Healthy for Life*, these social networks communicate clear, consistent messages that complement the classroom health curriculum. The program's goal is for adolescents to have the social skills they need to handle situations when others want them to participate in high-risk behaviors.

The curriculum contains approximately sixty 40-minute lessons containing one to four activities. Each four week segment culminates in a "special event" designed to positively reinforce the unit. In the sequential version delivered over three years, the units are cumulative as well as developmentally appropriate. For example, the sixth-grade segment heavily covers tobacco while the eighth-grade topics include dating and riding in a car with an impaired driver. The curriculum uses eight principle teaching strategies: social inoculation, peer leaders, emphasis on short-term effects, involvement of parents and community, public commitments, media, health advocacy by the students and awareness of peer norms. There are no tests, quizzes or grades but *Healthy for Life* uses a variety of "incentives" such as t-shirts and calculators to motivate positive performance.

Each class elects three peer leaders who assist the teachers by leading discussions, facilitating small group activities, and participating in role plays. Peer leaders receive training from *Healthy for Life* educators.

The family component includes parent orientation sessions, informational mailings to homes and parent interviews conducted by students as homework assignments. The community component includes establishing an *Healthy for Life* task force and a media campaign.

## Services Available

*Healthy for Life's* organizers do not sell the curriculum, but negotiate with schools interested to provide training for faculty, parents and community members. A three-day, on-site training is approximately \$4,000, plus travel costs, but the training cost varies depending on the school's needs.

## Implications for Practice

Adolescence is a time of testing and exploration filled with both risk and opportunity and teenagers often make decisions for social reasons. At a later time they may analyze costs and benefits gained of a particular choice.

*Healthy for Life* is a comprehensive program that recognizes important social networks and uses those networks to provide helpful information and skills that address five priority health areas identified by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. As a comprehensive program, *Healthy for Life* addresses several *Healthy People 2000* objectives in the areas of nutrition, tobacco, alcohol and other drugs, unintentional injuries, family planning, HIV infection and sexually transmitted diseases.

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	
	4 <sup>6</sup>	
	M	✓
	H	
	K <sup>12</sup>	
	S	

Locale	R	✓
	U	
	S	✓
	M	

Level	Cl	✓
	B	
	D	
	Gr	

Components	C	✓
	St	✓
	Pe	✓
	Pa	✓
	M	✓
	P	
	Sk	✓
	Se	

Emphasis	K	✓
	A	✓
	N	✓
	B	✓

## Program Contact

Monica J. King  
Program Coordinator  
Pacific Institute  
6 17 North Segoe Road  
Madison, WI 53705  
PH: (608) 23 1-2334 /FAX: (608) 23 1-3211

# Healthy for Life

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

Between 1987-91, 2,278 sixth-, seventh- and eighth-grade students from 21 elementary, middle and junior high schools in 17 small cities and towns (69 percent) or rural areas (27 percent) in Wisconsin, participated in a field test of the program. The sample was 51.5 percent female and 95.6 percent White. Nineteen percent of the population was lost at follow up over the course of four years.

Schools resisted random assignment and 11 schools self-selected the age appropriate intervention (daily, 43-minute sessions, four weeks, same cohort, sixth, seventh and eighth grade) and 11 selected the intensive intervention (daily 43-minute sessions, 12 weeks, seventh grade). Within each group, four schools were randomly selected to serve as comparison schools resulting in a randomized control group design nested within two self-selected treatment options. There were no significant differences in alcohol, tobacco and marijuana use or health attitudes among the schools.

Data assessed program fidelity, looked for unanticipated outcomes. Process measures included classroom observations, student and teacher interviews, student and parent feedback questionnaires and teacher logs. A questionnaire, administered at baseline and annually, measured program outcomes related to nutrition, substance use and sexuality behaviors. Carbon monoxide levels were used to validate self-reports of smoking.

The data revealed small effect sizes on all variables. By ninth grade, students in the intensive version were significantly more likely to eat more meals in a week, significantly less likely to use cigarettes and scored lower on an overall scale of substance use. Males were less likely to use smokeless tobacco, than students in control schools. Students in the age-appropriate intervention scored higher on alcohol and smokeless tobacco use than controls, suggesting short-term negative effects. Trend data for the intensive intervention indicated immediate negative effects characterized by increases in high-risk behaviors, but positive effects by the following year. Differences between intervention and control groups on measures of food choices, sexual intercourse, alcohol use and marijuana use were not significant. Substance use by prior cohorts of students was a strong predictor of substance use among subsequent cohorts up to four years later.

The perceptions of students in intensive schools were that substance use by their peers, in general, and best friends, in particular, was significantly lower than the perceptions of students in control schools. Students in intensive schools reported fewer offers of drugs. Students in both programs reported increased levels of communication with parents about health behaviors compared to controls.

Teachers, students and parents all responded positively and strengths they noted included salience of topics to adolescents, co-teaching by program educators and classroom teachers and the parent-adolescent communication activities. The evaluators noted that some teachers had difficulty with teaching methods that are outside the mainstream, especially using peer leaders, parent involvement and the health advocacy events where students interacted with the community. To a lesser extent, this was also the case for cooperative learning methods, social inoculation techniques and replacing grades with an incentive system. Female students liked the intervention better than males, and peer leaders liked it better than non-leaders. Implementation of the community component varied widely, with the greatest success in communities with existing organizations that had strong leadership, well established identities, and clear missions consistent with the program's goals. Community events focused primarily on substance abuse prevention and general health. Examination of links between quality of intervention and outcomes yielded no consistent or interpretable results.

## Critique

The study report provided no information on the reliability of the questionnaire. The sample represented a fairly restricted population and the results might not be generalizable to ethnically diverse communities.

## Evaluation Contact

Douglas Piper, PhD  
Pacific Institute  
617 North Segoe Road  
Madison, WI 53705  
PH: (608) 231-2334 / FAX: (608) 231-3211

# High/Scope Perry Preschool Project

## Program Description

David Weikart and teachers from Perry Elementary School in Ypsilanti, Michigan, a suburb of Detroit, developed the **High/Scope Perry Preschool Project in 1962**. With the support of an activist principal, Perry School served as a community center as well as a school. The program targeted children between the ages of 2-5 years who were living in poverty and at high risk of school failure. The program has since expanded to elementary schools and developed materials for adolescents.

Drawing on the child development ideas of Jean Piaget, the preschool program actively involves children in ten key developmental experiences: creative representation, language and literacy, social relations and personal initiative, movement, music, classification, sequencing, numbers, space, and time. Each category fosters specific characteristics. For example, under **social relations and personal initiative**, children learn to make and express choices, plans and decisions; solve problems encountered in play; express feelings in words and deal with social conflict. Children are active participants who can initiate their own learning activities and exert some control over their lives. Rather than asking questions that test children's specific knowledge of letters or numbers, teachers engage children with questions such as, "What happened? How did you make that?" and "Can you show me?" These strategies develop a sense of initiative, responsibility and independence as the children grow. To increase parent involvement in their child's learning, families receive weekly home visits.

Teachers receive systematic training and support in program application from technical assistance centers nationwide as well as at the annual conference of the National Association for the Education of Young Children. Developers recommend that a trained curriculum specialist be on staff to provide hands-on workshops, observation and feedback as well as follow-up sessions to no more than 25 teachers at a time. In recognition of excellence in the prevention of mental-emotional disabilities and the promotion of mental health, the program was awarded the 1987 National Mental Health Association Lela Rowland Prevention Award.

## Services Available

High/Scope Press offers a variety of materials including books, software, teaching guides and videos. Prices range from \$5 to \$588. Two-, three- and five-day raining sessions are offered. A graduate program, public policy and research, and preschool classroom ntemships are also available.

## Implications for Practice

In the United States, an estimated 15.3 million children, or one out of five American children, still live in poverty. Childhood poverty correlates with school Failure which increases the likelihood of substance use and abuse, unplanned pregnancy, violence and adult poverty. In 1983, the National Commission on Excellence in Education warned in **A Nation at Risk** that the nation's schools needed to take steps to improve educational outcomes if the U.S. was to remain competitive in a global economy. In 1989, the first goal adopted by the National Education Goals was that by the year 2000, all children in America will start school ready to learn. Three objectives address that goal: 1) all children will have access to high-quality and developmentally appropriate preschool programs that help prepare children for school; 2) every parent in the U.S. will be a child's first teacher and devote time each day to helping their children learn, and parents will have access to the training and support they need; and 3) children will receive the nutrition, physical activity experiences and health care needed to arrive at school with healthy minds and bodies, and to maintain the mental alertness necessary to be prepared to learn. The **High/Scope Perry Preschool Project** has addressed the first two objectives for over 30 years with well documented success. Objective 8.3 of **Healthy People 2000** calls for access to high-quality and developmental-appropriate preschool programs for all disadvantaged children.

Audience	P	✓
	K <sup>3</sup>	✓
	4 <sup>6</sup>	
	M	
	H	
	K <sup>12</sup>	
	S	

Locale	R	
	U	
	S	
	M	✓

Level	Cl	✓
	B	
	D	
	C	

Components	C	✓
	St	✓
	Pe	
	Pa	✓
	M	
	P	
	Sk	✓
	Se	

	K	✓
	A	✓
	N	✓
	B	✓

## Program Contact

Dr. David P. Weikart  
President  
High/Scope Educational Research Foundation  
600 N. River Street  
Ypsilanti, MI 48198-2898  
PH: (3 13) 485-2000 /FAX: (3 13) 485-0704

# High/Scope Perry Preschool Project

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

*The High/Scope Perry Preschool Project* has conducted a longitudinal study to follow the lives of 123 children who were randomly assigned to either the program group (N=58) or no-program group (N=65). Approximately 28 children began each year, phased in over a five-year period. Selected children resided in the Perry Elementary School neighborhood, ~~come from a family of~~ low socioeconomic status and exhibited low intellectual performance but no evidence of organic disability. All of the children were African American. Nearly half were from single parent families, at a time when the national average for single parent black families was approximately one third. The children attended preschool for two-and-a-half hours, five days a week and received a high-quality active learning program at ages 3 and 4. Of the 128 originally selected, four moved away and one died. Most children attended for two years. Program children and their mothers also received weekly 90 minute home visits from the classroom teacher. Data was collected on both groups annually from age 3 through 11 and again at ages 14, 15, 19 and 27. Data included standard measures such as the Stanford-Binet Intelligence Scale, Illinois Test of Psycholinguistic Abilities and Pupil Behavior Inventory, one-and-a-half to two hour interviews, school records, crime and other social service records.

Attrition and missing data across all measures was less than 10 percent at all data collection points. The most recent measurement at age 27 interviewed 117 people (95 percent). Six people were lost to follow-up: two males in the program group could not be found: both had been interviewed at age 19 and had records of school suspensions and a few arrests. Also, four females in the no-program group could not be interviewed. Two were sisters who had not been interviewed at either age 15 or 19. The other two were deceased, both victims of drug-related murders.

The study revealed several important findings. Thirty-five percent of the no-program group had been arrested five or more times by age 27 and 25 percent at least once for drug dealing - compared with 7 percent of the program group in both categories. Out of wedlock births were high in both groups but far fewer in the program group, 57 percent vs. 83 percent, respectively. Seventy-one percent of the program group completed 12 or more years of school compared with 54 percent of the control group. Significantly more program females completed high school compared to no-program females (84 percent vs. 35 percent) but program males completed slightly less schooling than their counterparts. Twenty-nine percent of the program group, compared with 7 percent of the no-program group, earned at least \$2,000 a month. Eighty percent of the no-program group received welfare as an adult, compared with 59 percent of the program group.

## Critique

The High/Scope Perry Preschool Study is a well-designed and rigorous study that has produced strong evidence regarding the value of high-quality, active learning programs for young children living in poverty. The developers estimate that the program returns \$7.16 for every dollar invested, cuts in half participants' crime rate through age 27, significantly increases their earnings and contributes to stable family groups. Although these findings yield compelling arguments to support high-quality preschool programs, the developers note that such programs are only one part of the solution to address the problems of violence, substance abuse and unplanned pregnancy. "Other social policy strategies will be needed including affordable housing, universal access to health care, effective job-training programs, reduction of institutional racism and improved educational opportunities at all levels are essential."

## Evaluation Contact

Lawrence J. Schweinhart, PhD

Chair

Research Division

High/Scope Educational Research Foundation

600 N. River Street

Ypsilanti, MI 48198-2898

PH: (313) 485-2000 / FAX: (313) 485-0704

✓	E	Design
	Q	

✓	I	Analysis
	G	
	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	

	QI	Data
	Qn	
✓	B	

	F	Type
✓	S	
✓	O	
	P	

2-15-82

# I Can Problem Solve

## Program Description

The **I Can Problem Solve (ICPS)** program is both a preventive and rehabilitative program to help children, in preschool to grade six, resolve interpersonal problems and prevent antisocial behavior. The program, developed more than two decades ago by George Spivack, **PhD** and Myrna **Shure, PhD**, uses a cognitive approach that teaches children how to think, not what to think. Students learn that behavior has causes, that people have feelings and that there is more than one way to solve a problem. The program **especially** targets behaviors such as impatience, aggression, over-emotionality and social withdrawal.

**ICPS** is available for three developmental levels - preschool, kindergarten and primary grades, and intermediate elementary grades. The **ICPS curriculum** teaches children problem-solving skills through games, stories, puppets, illustrations and role-plays. Students and teachers use problem-solving talk when situations arise in the classroom. The program includes suggestions for integrating program concepts into age-appropriate academic subjects. In addition to consequential thinking, older children ages 9-12 learn steps for reaching a goal with patience, while overcoming obstacles.

**ICPS** developers recommend using the program daily, or at least three times a week for several months and reinforced throughout the year. Research suggests that students who receive two years of training have more lasting effects than do those receiving only one year of training. All children in a class can benefit from the program, although children who are considered at high risk for school failure might need additional specialized, intensive group work.

Various sites across the country, both regular and special education classrooms, have implemented **ICPS**. Sites include Philadelphia, Dade County, Fla., and Chicago. The National Mental Health Association, the American Psychological Association and the National Association of School Psychologists have each recommended the program or given it awards.

## Services Available

**ICPS** provides three curricula: Preschool (59 lessons); Kindergarten and Primary Grades (83 lessons) and Intermediate Elementary Grades (77 lessons). Order **from** Research Press, Dept. 12, P.O. Box 9177, Champaign, IL 61821. Each costs \$39.95, plus shipping and handling. The Mental Health Association of Illinois has a demonstration video. The program also offers a workbook and audiocassette for parents called *Raising a Thinking Child*. On site training can be arranged.

## Implications for Practice

**Good** mental health refers not only to the absence of mental disorders but also to the ability of an individual to negotiate the daily challenges and social interactions of life without experiencing **cognitive**, emotional or behavioral dysfunction. Children who have poor social skills and are unable to solve problems effectively are at **risk for violence, depression** and suicide, and substance abuse. **Section 6 of Healthy People 2000** addresses the **mental** health needs of the nation and objective 6.2 seeks to reduce by 15 percent the incidence of injurious suicide attempts among adolescents aged 14 through 17. Although the rate of suicides has declined since 1987, suicide attempts by adolescents have continued to climb. Programs such as **ICPS**, which are implemented early in a child's life, can help prevent risk behaviors **from** developing and provide skills that are useful both inside and outside the classroom.

→ Priority area

Audience	P	✓
	K <sup>3</sup>	✓
	4 <sup>6</sup>	✓
	M	
	H	
	K <sup>12</sup>	
	S	✓

Locale	R	
	U	
	S	
	M	✓

Level	Cl	✓
	B	
	D	
	Co	

Components	C	✓
	St	
	Pe	
	Pa	✓
	M	
	P	
	Sk	
	Se	

Emphasis	K	✓
	A	✓
	N	
	B	✓

## Program Contact

**Myrna B. Shure, PhD**

Allegheny University

Department of Clinical/Health Psychology

Broad & Vine, Mail Stop 626

Philadelphia, PA 19102-1192

PH: (215) 762-7205 /FAX: (215) 762-4419



# I Can Problem Solve

	E	Design
✓	Q	

	I	Analysis
	G	
	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	

	QI	Data
	Qn	
	B	

	F	Type
	S	
	O	
	P	

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

This program has produced statistically and **clinically** significant positive results in numerous studies over two decades. A longitudinal study supported the National Institute for Mental Health followed 562 low-income, African-American children, who lived in the inner city, **from** kindergarten for up to five years. Starting in kindergarten, children experienced one of three *ICPS* training arrangements or served as controls: Group 1 - kindergarten only (trained by teacher); Group 2 - kindergarten and first grade (trained by teacher); Group 3 - kindergarten (trained by teacher); first grade (trained by mother); and Group 4 - never trained controls. At baseline, the evaluation found no significant differences between the control and program groups.

The measures, developed by the principal investigator, assessed children's ability to think of alternative solutions to problems and consequences to interpersonal acts. Teachers, peers and independent observers rated the children using standardized forms. Observations took place **from** kindergarten through fourth grade. In addition, outcome measures in third and fourth grade included students' performance on standardized reading and math tests.

The behaviors most **affected**, by the **program**, **were** impulsiveness, social withdrawal, poor peer relationships and lack of concern for others. The skills with the greatest impact were **identifying** alternative solutions and predicting consequences.

Attrition was high. By the end of second grade, 162 boys and 162 girls remained. By Year 5, 252 students remained in the program group. Although gender differences appeared in years three and four of the follow up, by year five, both boys and girls who received two years of training scored better than the controls on all three factors rated by independent observers - impulsiveness, inhibition and total behavior problems. Boys trained by both the teacher and mother did better in predicting consequences than other boys. Although there was some disappearance of behavioral impact one and two years following training, the youngsters trained by their teachers in both kindergarten and first grade emerged at the end of fourth grade as the most well-adjusted group overall.

In another study, more children who received the training in pre-kindergarten were rated as "adjusted" than those not exposed (71 percent vs. 54 percent,  $p > .01$ ). In addition, 22 of 44 impulsive children **improved** behaviorally compared to eight or 39 impulsive children in the control group. These gains **lasted** through **first** grade, when the study ended. A study of **fifth-** and sixth-graders exposed to the **program** found that **two years of the program** reduced **negative** impulses, **inhibited behaviors**, and improved positive behaviors. However, the behavioral gains were weaker than for younger children.

Effective implementation of the program requires a **cooperative** school environment and administrative support. Starting with teachers who volunteer usually results in other teachers asking for the program. The longitudinal study had problems with control group follow-up, due in part to several teachers resenting not being allowed to participate in the *ICPS* training and **refusing** to complete the behavior rating scales for their students.

Nonetheless, program results have been replicated in demonstration sites in a variety of urban, suburban and rural settings, with **different** ages (through age 12) and racial and ethnic groups and with children **from** different socioeconomic strata.

## Critique

*ZCPS* has undergone several evaluation studies. Studies of prekindergarten and kindergarten children have shown *ICPS* to significantly decrease impulsiveness, increase the ability to find alternatives and predict consequences, and decrease overall problem behaviors. These findings, measured by independent observers with a high inter-rater reliability, were greatest in the first year **after** exposure, but held up for at least five years, especially among children who had two years of exposure. A study of fifth- and sixth-graders exposed to the program **found** less dramatic results.

## Evaluation Contact

**Myrna B. Shure, PhD**

Allegheny **University**

Department of Clinical/Health Psychology

Broad & Vine, Mail Stop 626

Philadelphia, PA 19102-1192

PH: (215) 762-7205 /FAX: (215) 762-4419

# Increasing Bicycle Helmet Use In Michigan

## Program Description

*Increasing Bicycle Helmet Use in Michigan* is a school-based program that targets middle school students. The intent is to motivate students to wear helmets when riding bicycles. The Michigan Department of Health developed the program with the help of an injury control incentive grant **from** the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention in 1988.

The program has two possible levels of intensity. Both versions incorporate a single lesson for use in either sixth or seventh grade meant to supplement the Michigan Model health education curriculum. The lesson covers facts about bicycles and head injury, reasons why people do not use helmets and a discussion of overcoming barriers to use.

To change peer norms in favor of **helmet** use, both levels of the program provide public service announcements featuring professional sports figures for use on local television stations or school's closed circuits, posters for display around the school, and colorful brochures for students and their parents. The pilot program provided bike **helmet** discount coupons.

In addition, the high-intensity program features distributing **free** helmets and an all-school assembly featuring professional athletes talking about the value of helmets.

## Services Available

Free materials available include classroom lessons, separate bicycle **helmet** brochures for parents and children and public service announcement videos.

## Implications for Practice

Head injury is the most common cause of death and disability in bicycle-related crashes accounting for 62 percent of bicycle-related deaths and 67 percent of all bicycle-related hospital admissions. *Healthy People 2000* objectives 9.11 and 9.13 address reducing head injuries and wearing helmets, while objective 9.18 calls for injury prevention instruction as part of a comprehensive school health program.

Helmets are a proven intervention that can reduce the incidence and severity of head injuries caused by bicycle crashes. Middle school youth, especially boys, are particularly vulnerable. Increased bicycle helmet use among 10- to 14-year-olds would not **only** reduce the rate of death and injury in this group, but **helmet-wearing** early adolescents could also serve as role models for younger **children**.

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	
	4 <sup>6</sup>	
	M	✓
	H	
	K <sup>12</sup>	
	S	

Locale	R	
	U	
	S	
	M	✓

Level	CI	✓
	B	
	D	
	C	

Components	C	✓
	St	
	Pe	
	Pa	
	M	✓
	P	
	Sk	
	Se	

Emphasis	K	✓
	A	✓
	N	✓
	B	

## Program Contact

Heather Festerling  
Center for Health Promotion and Chronic Disease Prevention  
Michigan Department of Community Health  
3423 N. Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd.  
Lansing, MI 48909  
PH: (517) 335-9519 /FAX: (517) 335-8395

Is this  
space  
intentional?

# Increasing Bicycle Helmet Use In Michigan

	E	Design
✓	Q	

✓	I	Analysis
	G	
	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	

	Ql	Data
	Qn	
✓	B	

	F	Type
✓	S	
✓	O	
	P	

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

Six middle schools in Oakland County, **Mich.**, participated in either the low- or high-intensity version of this program. One school implementing each level of intensity was **from** an urban, suburban and rural area. The combined enrollment of the schools was approximately 3,100 students. Selected schools had already implemented the Michigan Model for Comprehensive School Health Education, which contains general injury control elements. Random assignment was not possible because too few schools agreed to participate. A significant obstacle to school recruitment was the requirement that schools provide home phone numbers of their students for use in the **pre/post-intervention** telephone surveys.

One week before the program, evaluators from the **Kercher** Center for Social Research at Western Michigan University telephoned 427 randomly selected households having students enrolled in the participating schools. The **49-item** telephone survey asked parents about their **children's** bicycle riding frequency and **helmet** use, about the parent's attitudes toward **helmet** usage, and about bicycle-related injuries requiring medical care. Three to four weeks post-intervention, evaluators called another 414 randomly selected households. AU households selected contained at least one child between the ages of **10-14** who rode a bicycle at least occasionally. The **post-intervention** survey also included questions concerning the parents' and students' exposure to the intervention program. Other measures included teacher surveys, informal observations by school personnel and follow up with stores regarding redemptions of **helmet** discount coupons.

Parents reported an increase of **helmet** ownership **from** 5 percent prior to the program to 18.5 percent after the program. Nearly the entire increase was due **to the helmet giveaways at the high-intensity schools**. **Although low-intensity helmet ownership did not increase significantly**, the proportion of **helmet**-owning students reported to wear their helmets at least 50 percent of the time did increase. Sporadic observations by school personnel, however, revealed no changes in helmet behavior.

in the low intensity schools

Furthermore, post-intervention surveys found that almost 40 percent of the parents in the low-intensity **programs** and 30 percent of parents in high-intensity programs still believed that their children did not **need** bike helmets. Local bicycle shops reported redemptions of less than four of the **discount** coupons **two months after** the intervention. About one-third of parents remembered receiving the bike helmet brochure and discount coupon in the mail. Those parents who remembered receiving the materials were more likely to talk with their children about the importance of wearing a helmet. Teachers' assessment of the student assemblies, curriculum guide and helmet distribution was generally favorable. Most teachers stated that they spent between 15 to 30 minutes on the subject and **half used** at least one of the videos provided,

## Critique

*The Increasing Bicycle Helmet Use in Michigan uses several strategies that target middle school **boys** to increase **helmet** use. In the high-intensity **schools**, the program achieved its short-term goal of at least 10 percent of students wearing helmets at least half the time. The weak evaluation design does not allow a **determination** of which program components contributed to the increase. No one measured whether or how often any public service announcements appeared on the local television channels. Parents and teachers reported on students' **helmet** usage, but students were never asked for self-reports. **Instead** of the informal observations reported, formal direct observations could contribute valuable data to a similar study. As implemented the program was expensive and required considerable time to coordinate. Since the development of this program, **helmet** manufacturers have designed more colorful and better looking helmets that are easier to adjust and less expensive. Thus many of the program materials are now out of date.*

only?

## Evaluation Contact

Beth Bacon  
Michigan Bicycle **Helmet** Advisory Committee  
Center for Health Promotion  
Michigan Department of Public Health  
3423 N. Martin L. King, Jr. Blvd.  
PO Box 30195  
Lansing, MI 48909  
PH: (5 17) 335-8398

# Jeffco Middle School Life Science Project

## Program Description

The **Jeffco Middle School Life Science Project** began in Jefferson County School District, Colo., in 1984. With funding support from the National Science Foundation, science teachers, content specialists and science education faculty developed a **yearlong** life science course for the seventh and eighth grades. The goals of the program are to enable students to: 1) understand the structure and function of the various systems of the human body; 2) understand the decisions necessary to improve a variety of health behaviors; 3) understand basic ecological principles, and 4) understand and develop solutions for environmental issues and problems. A fundamental assumption of the development team was that students should study fewer topics in greater depth. By spending more time on each subject, students would better understand concepts and their experimental basis, and would acquire skills for learning, thinking and solving problems. Program components include laboratory activities, readings and questions. The curriculum suggests adaptations for gifted students as well as those with learning disabilities.

The seven units included in the curriculum are cells and genetics, body structure, body systems, body changes, body control, foods and digestion, ecosystems and ecology. The learning cycle developed by **Karplus** serves as the framework for each unit. The learning cycle involves three phases: exploration, concept formation, and application. In the exploration phase, students typically carry out an experiment or investigation that introduces the phenomena and experiences that lead to concept development in the second phase. The application activity or discussion in which students apply the concept demonstrates the **usefulness** of the concept and reinforces learning through additional exposures and personal use. Student assessment includes a series of analysis questions ranging from simple recall to comprehension, analysis, and some synthesis. Implementation of the curriculum requires a laboratory facility equipped with flat top tables, storage space and a minimum of one sink.

The U.S. Department of Education's Program Effectiveness Panel reviewed the curriculum and **accepted for** distribution through the National **Diffusion Network** in 1989. National distribution began in 1990. Materials have since been revised and updated based on current scientific research.

## Services Available

School text with integrated activities, teachers' guide and resource book with test item file, implementation guide and teacher training sessions are available. Call Kendall/Hunt Publishing Company of Dubuque, Iowa at 1-800-258-5622 for current prices. Developers recommend 40 hours of **staff** development presented at intervals throughout the year in all-day workshops and sessions after school.

## Implications for Practice

The national science content standards for middle school students include personal health and environmental issues as well as understanding risk and benefit concepts. These standards provide a solid foundation on which to address many objectives identified in **Healthy People 2000**. Although science educators and health educators strive to achieve somewhat different ends, by working together, life science teachers and health educators can meet both science and health education standards, resulting in increased understanding and application. Such collaboration seems a likely strategy to achieve **Goal 5** of the **National Education Goals** as well as **to** provide students with many of the critical tools needed to make healthy decisions and establish healthy habits.

Audience	P
	K <sup>3</sup>
	4 <sup>6</sup>
	M ✓
	H
	K <sup>12</sup>
	S

Locale	R
	U
	S
	M ✓

Level	CI ✓
	B
	D
	C

Components	C ✓
	St ✓
	Pe
	Pa
	M
	P
	Sk
	Se

Emphasis	K ✓
	A ✓
	N
	B ✓

## Program Contact

Judy Capra  
Project Co-Director  
Jeffco Middle School Life Science  
Wheat Ridge Middle School  
7101 West 38th Ave.  
Wheat Ridge, CO 80033  
PH: (303) 467-1184

# Jeffco Middle School Life Science Project

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

The evaluation spanned two years and involved 654 seventh graders in Jefferson County junior high schools. Participants were primarily white (74 percent to 95 percent) with Hispanics representing the largest minority (<1 percent to 22 percent). The sampling pool included junior high schools in Jefferson County in which all life science teachers had received training and had taught the curriculum the previous year but had not been involved in developing assessment instruments. Using stratified sampling to represent the diversity of socioeconomic status within the district, researchers selected from the eligible schools four to test the intervention the first year and three the second year. In each selected school, all seventh grade science teachers participated in the study. Control schools were selected from Jefferson County and two neighboring districts to match the socioeconomic composition of the experimental schools. Within the control schools, life science classes were selected to match the mean achievement of experimental group classes. Interviews of teachers in the control schools ensured that they taught the major topics included in the experimental curriculum.

Tests consisted of four locally developed pretests and four locally developed posttests of life skills concepts and factual knowledge. A panel of educators screened the initial pool of items for ethnic and gender bias. A pilot test eliminated additional items. Reliability of the 21-25 item multiple choice tests ranged from .76 to .91. Students completed the pretest early in September of each year. They completed one posttest every nine weeks during the school year. Comparisons of the mean experimental posttest scores to the mean control posttest, using pretest scores as covariates were made for each year. The mathematics subtest of the Iowa Test of Basic Skills (ITBS) served as an independent measure of achievement.

A treatment by gender by achievement model was employed for each dependent measure using the pretest as a covariate. To test for interactions of treatment with ability, students were assigned to low, medium, and high-achievement groups on the basis of ITBS mathematics scores. A second analysis examined interactions of treatment with the teacher's ability.

For this analysis, the covariates were science pretest and mathematics achievement. In each phase effects were tested at  $\alpha = .001, .01$  and  $.05$ .

Analysis of the data indicated that students exposed to the *Jeffco Middle School Life Science Project* significantly improved their conceptual and factual knowledge on all four outcomes ( $p < .001$ ) more than students taught with a traditional curriculum did. The experimental group's improved performance was consistent across ability levels, teachers, and gender. The students in the high achievement group outperformed controls by a larger margin than low-ability students, but the performance of low-achieving students still exceeded the control group. Attrition rate was moderately low and did not compromise the strong results of the second year testing.

## Critique

The evaluation was conducted between 1987-89 using a quasi-experimental research design with rigorous and appropriate statistical controls to adjust for minor initial differences between experimental and comparison groups. Reliability and validity measures offered credible evidence that the program increased students' acquisition of life science concepts and facts. Many of those concepts address health knowledge and behaviors. The second year's findings found that as teachers become more experienced with the curriculum, achievement increases even more. The results of the study suggest that the curriculum would be effective even in districts where the proportion of low-achieving students is considerably higher than in Jefferson County.

## Evaluation Contact

Harold Pratt  
Executive Director for Science and Technology  
Jefferson County Public Schools  
1829 Denver West Dr., Bldg. 27  
Golden, CO 80401  
PH: (303) 273-6559

	E	Design
✓	Q	

✓	I	Analysis
	G	
	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	

	QI	Data
✓	Qn	
	B	

	F	Type
	S	
✓	O	
	P	

# Kansas LEAN

## Program Description

The Kansas Department of Health and the Work Group on Health Promotion and Community Development at the University of Kansas together developed the *Kansas LEAN School Health Project* in 1992. The goal of the project is to reduce the risk for chronic diseases, including heart disease and some cancers, among children in fourth through sixth grades using four program components: nutrition education, increased opportunities for physical activity, modified school lunches and community involvement. Health services staff provides clinical assessments of students' cholesterol levels, percent body fat, height and weight.

The primary intervention consists of hiring registered dietitian consultants to spend 20-30 hours a week with each district. The consultants provide on-site training and technical assistance to teachers, physical educators, and food service staff. They also work with community members to make community-wide changes that support the mission of the project. The classroom nutrition education component of the program is the American Cancer Society's *Changing the Course*. The curriculum's goals are to increase students' consumption of a variety of fruits and vegetables, high-fiber foods and foods lower in fat. Small group activities, including food tasting, complement the curriculum. Teachers receive six hours of in-service training prior to implementation. Recommendations for changes in policies and school practices such as parents bringing low-fat snacks for class parties reinforce health education in the classroom. The consultants provided food service staff with assistance in records' management, preparing foods to reduce dietary fat, assessing nutritional content of vendor-supplied items, planning menus and ordering ingredients. The school food service staff then prepares snacks that complement the curriculum and modifies school lunches to reduce the fat content to 30 percent or less of calories.

To encourage more physical activity during the school day, the project recommends fitness stations in classrooms. Stand-alone classroom fitness modules include games, music and dance. The project's physical education curriculum involves implementation in the classroom and includes strategies that increase physical activity and introduce stretching routines to prevent injury.

Community health councils advise the school and link school activities with local grocery stores, recreation centers, restaurants and families. The program involves planning a variety of onetime fitness and nutrition activities for the community including educational workshops, health fairs and family fitness/fun walks.

## Services Available

The *Kansas LEAN Project* will replicate the project in six additional Kansas communities from 1995 to 1998. Technical assistance is available to other communities.

## Implications for Practice

Modifiable risk behaviors for chronic diseases such as heart disease, cancer and diabetes are established early in life. By age 12, an estimated 40 to 60 percent of U.S. children have at least one risk factor for cardiovascular disease. Several objectives of *Healthy People 2000* address reducing these risks (sections 15, 16, 17). A comprehensive approach involving classroom teachers, physical educators and food service staff offers a promising strategy for promoting positive health habits. Such a multifaceted program must address the constraints placed on school food service programs, the need for teachers to have nutrition knowledge and interactive teaching skills, and physical educator's ability to provide sufficient opportunities for students to engage in vigorous activity on a regular basis. For children to internalize lifelong habits of healthy eating and physical activity requires reinforcement over a number of years. The *Kansas LEAN Project* provides a model that might provide insight into establishing such a comprehensive school health program.

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	
	4 <sup>6</sup>	✓
	M	
	H	
	K <sup>12</sup>	
	S	

Locale	R	
	U	
	S	
	M	✓

Level	CI	✓
	B	✓
	D	
	C	

Components	C	✓
	St	✓
	Pe	
	Pa	
	M	
	P	✓
	Sk	
	Se	

Emphasis	K	✓
	A	
	N	
	B	✓

## Program Contact

Judy Johnston, MS, RD/LD

Director

Bureau of Chronic Disease and Health Promotion  
Kansas Department of Health and Environment  
30 S. Market 6th Floor

Wichita, Kansas 67202-3802

PH: (316) 337-6050 / FAX: (316) 337-6023

# Kansas LEAN

	E
✓	Q

✓	I
	G
✓	B
	D
	C
	M

	QI
	Qn
✓	B

	F
✓	S
✓	O
	P

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

A qualitative, case study design evaluated the program in two dissimilar communities in Kansas. One community was relatively urban (pop. 42,303) and served 6,000 students in 15 schools. Cooks prepared school meals for the district at four centralized kitchens. The other community was small (pop. 1,400) and rural, serving 400 students. Cooks prepared meals on-site. The evaluation took place over a two-year period. In one district, 74 fourth-grade students participated in the program and 62 fourth-grade students at two other schools within the district served as comparisons. In the other district, all fifth-grade students (N=34) received the program. There was no comparison group.

The Amateur Athletic Union's (AAU) physical fitness assessment measured strength, muscular endurance, cardiorespiratory endurance and flexibility before and after program implementation. At posttest, the larger school district found a statistically significant difference between students in program and control classes in the time to run one mile. The percent of students in the rural setting who performed at or above the standard increased from 18 percent at pretest to 29 percent at posttest, but the increase was not statistically significant perhaps due to small sample size.

Analysis of schools' menus used *Nutrition Four*, a computerized menu analysis program. Baseline levels of percent calories from fat ranged from 38 percent to 41 percent. By the end of the second year (1993-94) the percent of calories from fat fell to the target level of 30 percent. However, total calories ranged from 767 KC to 830 KC, which was above the targeted level of 750 KC. A review of students' records showed that participation in the school lunch program remained relatively constant in the two communities during the study while the cost of the lunch program increased by less than 5 percent.

Instruments that accompany the American Cancer Society's *Changing the Course* curriculum for lower and upper elementary school students assessed the nutrition education component. The instruments are paper/pencil self reporting measures of student's nutrition knowledge, attitudes and behaviors. The percentage of youth who answered the nutrition knowledge questions correctly increased significantly from pretest to posttest ( $p < .0001$ ).

Cholesterol screenings and skinfold measurements were intermediate assessments of students' risk for cardiovascular disease. The invasive procedure required to conduct cholesterol screenings limited the number of students who participated, thus yielding too small a sample for meaningful data analysis. Skinfold measurements lacked inter-rater reliability, limiting their usefulness for data analysis.

To measure the community intervention component, project evaluators counted the number of community changes, defined as new programs, policies, or practices in the school or community, made by the Project to reduce students' risk of cardiovascular disease. They documented over 250 community changes in the two project sites. A survey of community members about the value of the project included only community members who were involved with the project, making any findings questionable.

## Critique

The *Kansas LEAN Project* attempted to reduce youth's risk for chronic disease through systemic programs that included community coalitions, school food service staff, and classroom and physical education teachers. Positive findings included increases in students' knowledge and large numbers of new projects initiated in the community as a result of the project. The evaluation presented several methodological concerns that limited other conclusions about the program's effectiveness – small sample sizes, biased community samples, lack of a comparison group for one program site, and difficulty with some measurements. Given the scope and complexity of the project and of the evaluation attempted, the project might provide others with suggestions for developing, evaluating, and implementing a community-wide, multicomponent intervention that includes coalition building.

## Evaluation Contact

Kari Harris, MS  
Work Group on Health Promotion  
Department of Human Development  
University of Kansas  
Lawrence, KS 66045  
PH: (913) 864-0533 / FAX: (913) 864-5281

# Mediation in the Schools

## Program Description

The *Mediation in the Schools* program, developed by the New Mexico Center for Dispute Resolution (NMCDR), Albuquerque, N.M., promotes the positive resolution of conflict in schools. More than 185 New Mexico schools have implemented the program, including urban, multi-cultural and rural schools with Native-American and Hispanic populations. The program consists of three components: conflict management curriculum for the classroom; adult modeling of mediation in conflict resolution; and training of student mediators to provide mediation services to other students.

The K-6 curriculum consists of classroom activities that can be integrated into social studies, language arts or counseling. In fourth to sixth grade, selected students receive 12 to 15 hours of training by student mediators, school mediation staff and faculty coordinators. Student mediators perform on-the-spot dispute resolution when a conflict occurs on the playground. Teachers also receive training, then model the mediation process, model problem-solving techniques and principles. At the secondary level, a group of teachers and students who have demonstrated leadership, whether "positive" or "negative," become conflict mediators after 12 to 15 hours of training, conducted by the program's staff. In secondary-level program schools, a faculty coordinator schedules mediation sessions upon receiving a referral of a student-to-student or student-to-faculty dispute.

The NMCDR has applied the principles of mediation to the resolution of gang disputes and disputes among groups of different nationalities. The mediation process also is for issues related to suspension and truancy and disputes between students and teachers or students and parents.

The NMCDR created the National Resource Center for Youth Mediation to provide materials, training and technical assistance on *Mediation in Schools* and other related programs. The National Resource Center offers several curricular materials, including grade-related texts, and four-day summer institutes at basic and advanced levels in New Mexico every summer. It also provides on-site training and technical assistance for a fee. The cost of materials ranges from \$15-\$120. Training costs approximately \$475 per person plus travel expenses.

## Implications for Practice

Interpersonal violence among U.S. school-age children and youth represents a major problem. The 1995 *Youth Risk Behavior Survey* found that more than 15 percent of high school students had been in a physical fight on school property. *Healthy People 2000* objective 7.9 calls for reducing incidences of physical fighting among adolescents aged 14-17 by 20 percent. One strategy to create a safer atmosphere at school and in the community is to implement conflict resolution and mediation training. If adolescents can learn to avoid violence as a means of solving problems, alternative nonviolent patterns might be extended through life. The *Mediation in Schools* targets students and teachers in elementary and secondary schools.

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	✓
	4 <sup>6</sup>	✓
	M	
	H	✓
	K <sup>12</sup>	
	S	

Locale	R	
	U	
	S	
	M	✓

Level	CI	✓
	B	✓
	D	

Components	C	✓
	St	✓
	Pe	✓
	Pa	✓
	M	
	P	
	Sk	✓
	Se	

Emphasis	K	✓
	A	✓
	N	✓
	B	✓

## Program Contact

National Resource Center for Youth Mediation  
New Mexico Center for Dispute Resolution  
620 Roma NW, Suite B  
Albuquerque, NM 87102  
PH: (505) 247-0571 / FAX: (505) 242-5966

## Services Available



# Mediation in the Schools

	E	Design
✓	Q	

✓	I	Analysis
	G	
	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	

	Ql	Data
	Qn	
✓	B	

✓	F	Type
	S	
	O	
✓	P	

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

An evaluation of the program conducted during the 1986-87 school year collected data from students and teachers. A similar study conducted in 1993-94 collected data from school administrators, program coordinators, counselors and students in a sample of schools. Study participants included those in schools with the program and those without. In program schools, both trained and untrained students completed surveys.

In the 1993-94 study, 104 of 200 schools contacted (52 percent), representing 63 elementary, 33 middle and eight high schools, provided basic demographic information. Reporting schools estimated that approximately 3,000 students and 1,600 staff members had been trained in program. Coordinators reported more than 2,300 mediations and more than 1,500 known agreements. Coordinators reported that 800 teachers used the curriculum to some extent, 88 percent at the elementary level.

The program appeared to be "owned" by the students. Students were described as being more in control and empowered, as well as exhibiting higher self-esteem. Coordinators and administrators reported decreased levels of violence since the introduction of the program, despite the fact that only about half of the teachers model program principles.

Twenty schools, 10 program and 10 non-program, received the entire survey package. Nine program and seven non-program schools responded. Of the 16 schools, 12 were elementary, representing 167 teachers, while only four schools were middle/high schools representing 67 teachers. Teachers in the program schools perceived less violence and hurtful behaviors among students, while teachers in non-program schools felt that students were exhibiting more violence than in the previous year. Program teachers believed that the program was effective in teaching students alternative, positive dispute resolution strategies and in decreasing levels of violence at school.

Two instruments compare students' experiences with and perceptions about the program. Students trained as mediators received an eight-item survey, while untrained students got a 10-item survey. Two hundred fifty trained students in nine program schools, 300 untrained students in nine non-program schools and 470 untrained students in nine program schools for a total of 1,320 students responded. Trained and untrained students differed in their level of awareness of constructive conflict resolution strategies and in their attitudes toward conflict and feelings about themselves.

The student survey used in the 1986-87 study demonstrated evidence of reliability and face validity. It compared pre/posttest score changes for trained mediators in elementary and middle schools with students in non-program schools. Trained mediators scored better than controls on problem solving and conflict resolution skills, self concept and commitment to school.

## Critique

The data, while promising, includes no measure of levels of violence and disciplinary actions before and after program implementation. Evaluators presented no evidence of comparability of program and controls in either study. These research design limitations and lack of statistical analysis limits the ability to draw conclusions about program effectiveness.

## Evaluation Contact

Susan Lee Carter, PhD  
P.O. Box 67  
Cerrillos, NM 87010  
PH: (505) 424-0244

# Multnomah County School Based Health Centers

## Program Description

Multnomah County, Oregon, has included line item funding for school-based health centers since 1986. By 1995, seven high schools, two middle schools and an elementary school had such Centers. Staff at each Center include a community health nurse, nurse practitioner or physician assistant, mental health consultant and senior office assistant. The elementary school's staff also includes a health educator and an outreach worker. The Centers provide treatment for minor illnesses and injuries, routine physical exams, immunizations, health promotion programs, crisis and mental health counseling, and reproductive health services.

Mental health services include individual, group and family counseling for problems such as depression, suicidal thinking, family conflict, substance abuse, sexual abuse, eating disorders and to identify conflict. Whenever possible, families are involved in counseling. For specialized physical or mental health services or long-term treatment, students receive referrals to other Multnomah County Health Centers and to physicians in the community.

Beginning in 1992, the high school Centers began dispensing condoms along with counseling. Center staff may write prescriptions for but not dispense other forms of birth control. Other reproductive health services include counseling, testing and treatment for sexually transmitted diseases, HIV counseling and testing, family planning counseling, and abstinence counseling. Health promotion programs include *Students Today Aren't Ready for Sex (STARS)*, and a locally adapted middle-school version of the curriculum *Postponing Sexual Involvement*.

Centers are open every day school is open, with hours extending before and after school. Most students make appointments for services during free periods, and before or after school. Students without appointments see the school nurse who might handle the problem or refer the student to the Center or another medical resource.

Although Centers may not, by state law, require parental consent for students over age 15, Center staff encourage students to involve their parents in health care decisions whenever possible. Each Center involves parents through an advisory committee that reviews policies and other issues of concern at that school site.

## Services Available

The Multnomah County Health Department can offer expertise and guidance in the development of school-based health centers related to many operational and policy issues.

## Implications for Practice

*Healthy People 2000* objective 21.4 calls for reducing financial barriers to clinical preventive services. By providing county funds, Multnomah County has demonstrated a model for providing clinical preventive and treatment services to students. Those served tend to be at higher risk for adverse health outcomes than those who do not use the services, demonstrating the potential for impact of such Centers.

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	
	4 <sup>6</sup>	
	M	
	H	
	K <sup>12</sup>	✓
	S	

Locale	R	
	U	✓
	S	✓
	M	

Level	Cl	
	B	✓
	D	
	C	

Components	C	
	St	
	Pe	
	Pa	✓
	M	
	P	
	Sk	
	Se	

Emphasis	K	
	A	
	N	
	B	✓

## Program Contact

Jill A. Daniels, CHN  
 School Based Health Centers Program  
 Multnomah County Health Department  
 426 SW Stark, 160/9  
 Portland, OR 97204  
 PH: (503) 248-3674 / FAX: (503) 306-5847

# Multnomah County School Based Health Centers

	E	Design
✓	Q	
✓	I	Analysis
	G	
	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	
	QI	Data
	Qn	
✓	B	
	F	Type
	S	
	O	
✓	P	

## Evaluation of Program Effectiveness

A 1994 study of the high school, school-based health Centers in Multnomah County involved telephone interviews with a random sample of parents, a census survey of 11th-grade students in schools with Centers, and a survey of school staff in those schools.

The parent study used a case-control method to ensure adequate representation of parents of students who did and did not use a school based health center (SBHC). The telephone interviews assessed what parents thought and knew about SBHCs. Whether or not their children attended schools with Centers, the majority of the 326 parents interviewed supported the Centers and wanted expanded services. A great majority of all parents agreed that Centers should provide mental health and reproductive health counseling services. Eighty-two percent of the parents of users and 73 percent of the parents of nonusers (nonparticipants at schools with as well as students in schools without Centers) wanted SBHCs to provide birth control. Although 81 percent of parents of Center users reported talking to their children about experiences with the Center, parents would like more communication between parents and the Center staff.

Of the 1,119, 11th-grade students who completed the health survey, 60 percent had ever used a SBHC. Compared to nonusers, students who had used the SBHC had more financial need for services and reported more health problems and risk behaviors. Nearly 80 percent of sexually active students who reported seeking reproductive health services used a SBHC.

The evaluators adapted the survey for local use, drawing on instruments developed by the National Adolescent Health Resource Center and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. However, they did not report any reliability or validity measures for the instrument or name the instruments they drew from.

Survey results of school staff mirrored the parents' responses. The vast majority of teachers, counselors, administrators, and support staff believed that Centers provided a needed service, recommended expanding services, and wished for more communication with Center staff. School staff reported referring students primarily for general health issues, but also referred students for personal problems and reproductive health care and counseling.

This cross-sectional research design and the lack of pretest measures or a control group prevented the study from assessing the impact of the school based health centers on students' risk behaviors or on pregnancy rates. The Centers had operated for eight years prior to the study and no baseline data was available. Without controls, pre/post-comparison data, even if available, could not control for history or time effects.

## Critique

The study lacked outcome data for students receiving services. The parent survey compared responses of parents whose children did and did not have access to SBHC services. The student survey included only students with access to services and provided descriptive information about those who used the services compared to those who did not. Parents, students and school personnel all responded favorably.

## Evaluation Contact

Dr. Barbara Glick  
Principal Investigator  
Program Design and Evaluation Services  
Multnomah County Health Department,  
School Based Health Centers  
426 SW Stark, Eighth floor  
Portland, OR 97204  
PH: (503) 248-3663, ext. 28271

# Oregon School Based Health Centers

## Program Description

In 1985, Oregon was the first state in the nation to establish a school based health center (SBHC) and is one of the states that has seen the most significant growth in the number of these clinics. As of 1996, state funds supported 14 of the 29 SBHCs statewide. SBHCs offer students easy access to a wide range of health services. The majority of visits are for general medical services, such as the treatment of acute illnesses and injuries, or for the management of chronic conditions. Some centers offer reproductive health services, including diagnosis and treatment of sexually transmitted diseases, family planning information and pregnancy tests. Mental and emotional health services include individual counseling, support groups and referrals to other community resources. Health promotion is an integral part of all health center services. Students learn how behavior effects health and the role of personal responsibility in maintaining health. In addition, staff members provide classroom and community presentations on topics such as smoking, HIV/AIDS and nutrition.

An office assistant and a nurse or a nurse practitioner routinely staff the health centers. Other health professionals, such as mental health or alcohol and other drug counselors have on-site office hours for assessment, education or primary care services in some centers. The primary care provider usually sees students on an appointment basis, but drop-in visits are possible. A few centers are exploring summer operations, evening hours or combining efforts with other community-based service integration models.

Each SBHC has an advisory board made up of parents, teachers, students, health care providers and community leaders. SBHCs encourage family involvement and provide information about health center services to parents. Parents are welcome to call or visit the health center.

## Services Available

The Oregon Health Division can offer technical assistance in starting and funding SBHCs. *Oregon: Making the Grade* and other materials offer advice on organizing for setting up SBHCs. The Division also has an instrument SBHCs can use for continuous quality improvement.

## Implications for Practice

*Healthy People 2000* objective 21.4 calls for reducing financial barriers to clinical preventive services. By using state funds, Oregon has established SBHCs that provide clinical preventive and treatment services in many locations throughout the state. Those served tend to be at higher risk for adverse health outcomes than those who do not use the services, demonstrating that SBHCs have the potential to reduce disparities in access to health services.

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	
	4 <sup>6</sup>	
	M	
	H	
	K <sup>12</sup>	✓
	S	

Locale	R	
	U	
	S	
	M	✓

Level	Cl	
	B	✓
	D	
	Co	

Components	C	
	St	
	Pe	
	Pa	✓
	M	
	P	
	Sk	
	Se	

Emphasis	K	
	A	
	N	
	B	

## Program Contact

School Based Health Centers  
Technical Assistance Office  
Oregon Health Division  
800 NE Oregon St., #21, Suite 825  
Portland, OR 97232  
PH: (503) 731-4021 / FAX: (503) 731-4083

# Oregon School Based Health Centers

	E	Design
✓	Q	

✓	I	Analysis
	G	
✓	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	

	QI	Data
	Qn	
✓	B	

	F	Type
	S	
✓	O	
✓	P	

## Evaluation of Program Effectiveness

A study to evaluate the impact of the SBHC program on students' health used a prospective observational design with cross-sectional sampling of students in nine schools. Students in participating schools completed an Adolescent Health Survey in the 1990 fall (baseline) and 1992 fall (follow up). A process evaluation component included two schools with SBHCs established at least a year before baseline data collection and three schools with new clinics that had opened within five months matched with four comparison schools. Eighty-three percent of eligible students completed the survey. Teachers administered the confidential and anonymous survey. Items came from existing national and regional health questionnaires with additional items specific to Oregon's health program. In addition, evaluators collected information from providers using structured telephone interviews. The impact evaluation included data from only the three schools with new clinics and their matched schools.

Measures of health service utilization revealed that of 3,667 students in the five schools with SBHCs, almost 50 percent had used the SBHC at least once. Ninety percent of those who had used it reported trusting the clinic staff and agreed that the SBHC made access to health care easier. Twelve percent had no other place to go for health care. Compared to those who used outside health care providers, users of SBHCs had higher percentages of risk indicators, although only differences in emotional health indicators reached significance. Three times as many sexually-active students sought care from outside providers as from SBHCs, perhaps because of the limits on the reproductive health services SBHCs provide.

Aggregate data from all three sets of schools used for the impact evaluation found no difference between students in schools with SBHCs and in those without. However, one of the three program schools – the one with the most community support and most comprehensive program – did show effects. Students in that one decreased substance use, improved reproductive health attitudes and reduced sexual activity more between baseline and follow-up measures than did those in the control school.

A second study compared the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's *Youth Risk Behaviors Survey*'s 1995 data for students in schools with and without SBHCs and for users and non-users of SBHC services where the services existed. Participating schools volunteered, not a random sample, which limits the generalizing of the results. Survey results indicated that the number of students using SBHCs is increasing and that minority students, older students, and students living in lower socioeconomic areas were more likely than others to use SBHCs. Students reported using SBHC services because of easy access, finances and protection of privacy.

In schools with SBHCs, more students had received complete immunization; care for emotional, personal or substance abuse problems; care for sexually transmitted diseases; and reproductive health services. Students who used SBHCs had higher levels of abuse, drug use and sexual activity than did non-users. They had lower rates of unprotected sexual activity and more concern about HIV infection.

## Critique

In the first study, it was unclear why impact measures included only the newly opened clinics. Neither study provided information about reliability or validity of measures used, except to say that many items came from nationally-used surveys. The budget cutbacks and associated reductions in SBHC services during the first study probably limited the SBHCs' impact and might have accounted for the findings. Both studies found that SBHCs served students at higher risk for adverse health outcomes than those not served. Such findings demand caution when comparing outcomes of students using and not using SBHCs. Change scores thus might be better measures of impact than cross-sectional data.

## Evaluation Contact

Tammy Alexander  
Adolescent Health Coordinator  
Oregon Department of Human Resources  
Oregon Health Division  
800 NE Oregon St., #21, Suite 825  
Portland, OR 97232  
PH: (503) 731-4021 / FAX: (503) 731-4083

# Parents & Adolescents Can Talk

## Program Description

The *Parents and Adolescents Can Talk (PACT)* program is a community-based, sexuality and communication education program for fifth- through 12th-grade students and their parents. Created in Gallatin, Mont. over community concerns about teenage sexual activity, *PACT* strives to encourage the postponement of premature sexual activity by "building resiliency" using a value-oriented curriculum for youth and their parents. The premise of *PACT* is that the family should play a central role in the development of healthy sexual attitudes and responsible sexual behavior among preadolescents and adolescents. Montana State University assisted with development and conducted an evaluation of the program, while funding came in part from a five-year, demonstration project through the Adolescent Family Life initiative of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. In 1992, *PACT* became a nonprofit organization.

*PACT* consists of independent modules for preadolescents (fifth and sixth grade), adolescents (seventh to ninth grade) and older youth (tenth to 12th grade). In each session students and their parents meet, together and separately, and have assignments to work on between sessions. The final session occurs four months following the completion of the other sessions. The program's modules and home activities focus on self esteem, parent/youth communication, assertiveness, decision making, knowledge of physiology and reproductive health and values and attitudes toward sexuality. A separate module addresses training adult and youth facilitators to implement the program. Each module includes behavioral objectives, a facilitator guide and copy masters of handouts and transparencies.

The preadolescent curriculum consists of nine, nearly two-hour sessions plus an extra session for parents only. It provides a forum for parents and youth to improve communication skills and share factual information about the mental, physical, emotional and relationship changes and includes information on sexually transmitted diseases and sexual abuse prevention.

The adolescent curriculum consists of eight, two-and-a-half hour sessions. It addresses effective communication behaviors, assertiveness, decision making, guidelines for sexual behavior and knowledge of physiology and health issues, including sexually transmitted diseases and consequences of teen pregnancy.

The older youth curriculum consists of seven, two-and-a-half hour sessions. It addresses the same content as the adolescent curriculum, from a knowledge base and issues that face older youth and their parents. The peer facilitator training, intended for older adolescents and parents who have completed one of the other modules, consists of four, approximately three-hour sessions. Recent additions to *PACT* include a substance abuse and fourth- and fifth-grade youth module. *PACT* also provides a school-based program alternative for each of the first three modules.

## Services Available

In-school and community-based module<sup>s</sup> cost \$45 each. The peer-facilitator module costs \$25. Other items include several slide sets that range from \$16 to \$85 and the "Let's Talk" video which costs \$15.

## Implications for Practice

The sexual behavior of teenagers is one of six critical health issues facing adolescents, according the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Healthy People 2000* recognizes the role families can play in reducing risk behaviors and objective 8.9 calls for increasing to at least 75 percent the proportion of people aged 10 and older who have discussed issues related to health-related behaviors, including sexual behaviors, with family members on at least one occasion during the preceding month. The *National Education Goals* also call for increased parental involvement in promoting their children's social, emotional, and academic growth. The 1996 *Executive Summary of the National Education Goals Report* indicates no discernible progress in achieving this goal. *PACT* offers training to enhance communication between youth and their parents about sexual attitudes, values and behaviors.

## Program Contact

Joye B. Kohl, EdD  
Project Director  
Parents and Adolescents Can Talk  
5727 Blackwood Rd.  
Bozeman, MT 59715  
PH: (406) 586-4743

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	
	4 <sup>6</sup>	
	M	✓
	H	✓
	K <sup>12</sup>	
	S	

Locale	R	✓
	U	
	S	
	M	

Level	Cl	
	B	
	D	
	C	

Components	C	✓
	St	
	Pe	✓
	Pa	
	M	✓
	P	
	Sk	✓
	Se	

Emphasis	K	✓
	A	✓
	N	
	B	✓

# Parents & Adolescents Can Talk

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

The most recent *PACT* evaluation took place during 1987-89 in 22 cities in Montana. It involved 183 preadolescents, 255 adolescents and 417 parents. The majority of the youth had received no previous formal education about communication or sexuality. Parents were most likely to be in their thirties, married and living in a household with an annual income of \$30,000 or more.

The evaluation strategy involved a pretest given during session one, a posttest given in the next to the last session, and four-month follow-up test given during the last session in each module. Rosenberg's Self-Esteem scale assessed changes in self-esteem. Other items measured sexuality knowledge and the amount of time spent discussing sexual issues with parents. In addition, five items asked about a progression of sexual behaviors, from holding hands to sexual intercourse. The authors presented no data about test reliability or validity. Evaluators collected insufficient control group data and in-school program data to make comparisons.

The evaluation found significant increases in knowledge of sexuality and reproductive health for preadolescents, adolescents and parents at the posttest, but much of the gain disappeared by the four-month follow-up measure. Increases in self-esteem measures held up for both groups of youth through the four-month follow-up. Among preadolescents, higher knowledge and more talking with parents correlated with lower rates of sexual activity. There were no increases in adolescents' more intimate sexual behaviors. Among adolescents there was a positive correlation between higher self-esteem and a lower incidence of intimate sexual behaviors. Parents in both groups significantly increased the amount of time they talked to their adolescent children about sexuality at post test. Only parents of adolescents maintained the increase at the four-month follow-up.

## Critique

The program increased adolescents' self esteem, and parents reports of communication with their children about sexuality. Those effects might contribute to both preadolescent and adolescent youth avoiding the most intimate sexual behaviors that could result in pregnancy and sexually transmitted disease. The program has much to offer intact families with a good foundation. It does not address hard-to-reach youth and dysfunctional families.

The evaluation has several design flaws that the evaluators acknowledged. Lack of comparison groups, high attrition, and problems associated with self-report data all dictate a cautious approach to ascribing findings to the program. In addition, participants self-select, so selection bias is quite possible. Data from the in-school program is unavailable.

## Evaluation Contact

Rodney M. Cate, PhD  
Child and Family Studies  
University of Arizona  
1600 E. University Blvd.  
Tucson, AZ 85721

	E
✓	Q

✓	I
	G
	B
	D
	C
	M

	QI
✓	Qn
	B

✓	F
	S
	O
	P

# Primary Mental Health Project

## Program Description

The *Primary Mental Health Project (PMHP)* began in 1957 as a school-based early detection and intervention program for pre-kindergarten through third-grade students who were experiencing minor school adjustment difficulties. Since then more than 1,000 school districts throughout the United States and Canada have adopted the program, which now serves more than 40,000 children annually. *PMHP* seeks to deter later adjustment difficulties by early recognition and referral. The program's focus is strengthening children's adaptive abilities and encouraging them to seek and utilize successful strategies for dealing with life's stressors. *PMHP* most often serves children with multiple, longstanding problems.

In schools adopting *PMHP*, teachers learn to use the Classroom Adjustment Rating Scale (CARS) that includes 41 problem behaviors linked to acting out, shyness/anxiety and learning difficulties. Teachers refer identified students to "child associates" for services. The child associates are carefully trained paraprofessionals who receive training in a variety of subjects, including child development, confidentiality, communication with children, and the meaning of children's play. The school district's mental health professional (school social worker or school psychologist) supervises the child associate. In weekly meetings, the child associate consults with the teacher in order to monitor each child's progress. Generally child associates see children individually for approximately 30 minutes once a week for several months (10 to 15 sessions per semester). Individual needs dictate the number and length of contacts. The teacher, parents, child associate, and mental health professional jointly establish expected outcomes for each student. Schools adopting *PMHP* need to provide child associates with a separate area within the school, stocked with a variety of items that children can use to express themselves through creative play.

In one cost-effectiveness study, a single contact for a child averaged less than \$20 and the average annual cost was less than \$500 per year per child. Recently, the Primary Mental Health Project added a shorter, six-week, 12-session program called Planned Short-Term Intervention (PSI) for children in grades 2-5. PSI associates help each child deal with specific behaviors such as aggression, shyness, inattentiveness and/or restlessness.

In 1984, *PMHP* received the Lela Rowland Award from the National Mental Health Association as an exemplary model for preventive school-based mental health services.

## Services Available

*PMHP, Inc.* is a not-for-profit organization that offers assessment instruments, scoring, training, evaluation, videotapes, and books. Contact them for a current price list.

## Implications for Practice

Because adults are often reluctant to recognize when they need assistance when under duress, *Healthy People 2000* Objective 6.8 identifies the need to increase the number of individuals who seek help in coping with personal and emotional problems. A significant proportion of children also experience school adjustment problems or other behavioral difficulties. Longitudinal studies have found that children with mental disorders or adjustment problems are at increased risk for abusing substances, later delinquent behaviors, and mental health referrals. A large percentage of youth experiencing emotional problems go unidentified. By recognizing these needs before they become entrenched and providing help in accessing services, *PMHP* encourages help-seeking behavior early. By using well-trained and supervised paraprofessionals, *PMHP* is a cost-effective method of extending mental health and primary prevention services to children in the primary grades.

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	✓
	4 <sup>6</sup>	✓
	M	
	H	
	K <sup>12</sup>	
	S	

Locale	R	
	U	
	S	
	M	✓

Level	CI	✓
	B	
	D	
	C	

Components	C	
	St	✓
	Pe	
	Pa	
	M	
	P	
	Sk	✓
	Se	

Emphasis	K	
	A	
	N	
	B	✓

## Program Contact

Deborah Johnson  
 Director of Community Services  
 Primary Mental Health Project  
 685 South Avenue  
 Rochester, NY 14620-1345  
 PH: (716) 262-2920 / FAX: (716) 262-4761



# Primary Mental Health Project

	E	Design
✓	Q	

✓	I	Analysis
	G	
	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	

	QI	Data
✓	Qn	
	B	

	F	Type
	S	
✓	O	
	P	

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

In 1983, program evaluators reviewed seven consecutive **annual** cohorts of program participants that ranged in size **from** 206 to 464. The **first** cohort began receiving services under **PMHP** in 1974. For each cohort, teachers screened all primary grade children and using the **PMHP** criteria, referred those at risk for school maladjustment to the program. All referred children were evaluated using four screening tests at the time of referral and at the completion of the intervention. Teachers completed the CARS (test-retest reliability .85) and the Health Resources Inventory (HRI) that measured effective learning skills, adaptive assertiveness such as sharing opinions and defending positions, peer sociability, authority and rules, and **frustration** tolerance (test-retest reliability ranged **from** .72-.91). Child associates completed the Aides Status Evaluation Form (ASEF), which paralleled the CARS items with similar reliability. The supervising mental health professional completed the Professional Termination Report (PTR), which addressed disruptive behaviors, social skills with peers, social skills with adults, academic skills, overall academic achievement, emotional expression, and overall adjustment.

The program reduced acting-out, shyness, anxiety, and learning problems and promoted competencies including adaptive assertiveness, peer sociability, and **frustration** tolerance. Acting out behavior was the least **affected** by the program. Although this study confirmed the short-term effectiveness of **PMHP**, lack of a control group makes generalization **from** the conclusions difficult.

**Another** study compared 149 children in fourth and sixth grade **from** 19 classes in three racially mixed urban schools. One subset consisted of 61 students who had been in **PMHP** for at least three months, two or more years earlier and still attended the same school in which they received **PMHP** services. A comparison group consisted of 61 randomly selected children who had never been in the **PMHP** program matched for gender, school, grade, current classroom teacher, and number of years in the same school.

**Because** school records did not record **PMHP** **articipation**, teachers were unaware of which youngsters had been **in** the program. A third subset consisted of two students identified by each of the 19 **teachers** as the least well-adjusted in their classrooms. **Comparisons** used three measures: teachers' ratings of students' problems **and** competencies, student's **self-reports** of perceived competence, and academic **achievement**. Repeated measures **MANOVAs** and appropriate Duncan multiple range tests were used for analysis. Comparing adjustment measures two to five years after participation with those taken at the time **of** initial referral and completion of the program evaluators, found that the **PMHP** sample maintained **he gains** established during the initial intervention **period**. Comparing across groups, they found that the lever-seen students were the best adjusted, followed **by** the **PMHP** group, and then the least-adjusted **group**. There were no significant **differences** by **gender** or in academic achievement scores. This longitudinal study confirmed the durability of gains made by **PMHP** participants, but was limited by the **retrospective** matching, few outcome measures and **small** sample size.

## Critique

**More** than 50 program evaluations have shaped and assessed the **Primary Mental Health Project**. **These** **studies** have shown that **PMHP** effectively strengthens **young** children's behavioral adjustment skills and academic achievement across so&economically diverse populations. Two to five years after participating in the program, students maintained gains. No prospective, longitudinal studies have **compared** those receiving and not receiving the program.

## Evaluation Contact

A. Dirk Hightower, PhD

Director

Primary Mental Health Project

University of Rochester Center for Community Study

575 Mt. Hope Avenue

Rochester, NY 14620

PH: (716) 273-5957 /FAX: (716) 232-6350

# Project for Attention Related Disorders

## Program Description

**The Project for Attention-Related Disorders (PARD)** was developed in 1989 by the San Diego, Calif. City School District to improve the identification, referral and management of children with attention deficit and hyperactivity disorders (ADHD). **PARD** is a school-based system that coordinates the medical, psychosocial, behavioral, and educational programs for children with ADHD and their families. Support for the project comes in part from the Maternal and Child Health Program (Title V Social Security Act) of the Health Resources and Services Administration and MediCal. (the California Medicaid Program)

One goal of the project is to develop a reliable, school-based system to identify children who have problems concentrating. Once a classroom teacher identifies such a child, a Child Study Team compiles a profile of the child. The school nurse completes each child's history and physical. Parents complete a questionnaire regarding the health and social history of the child. The evaluation includes a Conner-s checklist that rates various behaviors such as "restless in a 'squirmy' sense" on a scale of zero to three with zero being "not at all" and three being "very much." A summed score of 15 or greater suggests that the child might have ADHD. The **PARD** referral packet is then sent to a local physician who volunteers to review the information and prescribes the appropriate treatment which might include medication or counseling. As of 1994 over 1,200 children had been referred to the project with over 900 (75 percent) receiving some form of treatment.

A second goal is to increase the knowledge, skills and confidence of teachers, nurses, community physicians and parents working with ADHD students. To meet this goal, **PARD** staff provide inservice training and technical assistance. A teacher intervention manual can help teachers modify the curriculum and make program adjustments.

## Services Available

Materials available include an intervention handbook for use by school personnel in the evaluation of the children, a project report and technical assistance.

## Implications for Practice

Although an estimated 12 percent of U.S. children and adolescents suffer from mental disorders severe enough to warrant treatment, less than one out of eight receives treatment. Estimates of ADHD prevalence vary dramatically. Characteristics of the disorder include inattention, poor concentration, impulsiveness and hyperactivity - all of which can negatively impact academic performance as well as psychosocial development. ADHD children are at increased risk of child abuse due to parents' frustration with unruly behavior. School-based programs that offer early intervention can provide an important tool for reducing negative effects. Such programs also help meet **Healthy People 2000** objective 6.14: "increase to at least 75 percent the proportion of providers of primary care for children who include assessment of cognitive, emotional, and parent-child functioning, with appropriate counseling, referral and follow up, in their clinical practice."

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	
	4 <sup>6</sup>	
	M	
	H	
	K <sup>12</sup>	✓
	S	✓

Locale	R	
	U	
	S	
	M	✓

Level	Cl	
	B	
	D	✓
	C	✓

Components	C	
	St	✓
	Pe	
	Pa	✓
	M	
	P	
	Sk	✓
	Se	

Emphasis	K	
	A	
	N	
	B	✓

## Program Contact

Susie Horn, RN  
San Diego Unified School District  
San Diego City Schools  
Health Services Dept.  
2716 Marcy Ave.  
San Diego, CA 92113-2395  
PH: (619) 525-7370

# Project for Attention Related Disorders

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

Evaluations of this project used both process and outcome methods. Between March 1990 and June 1992, teachers identified 250 low-income children in the San Diego Unified School district as having attention-related problems and referred them to the **PARD staff**. Of these, 110 (44 percent) completed their evaluation. The ethnic breakdown of the students **included 34 Whites, 32 African-Americans, 34 Hispanic, two Asian, and eight students were unspecified.** The majority (86 percent) were male. Of the 96 (87 percent) children with an initial Conners score greater than 15.45, 52 percent were placed on medication as were four children with lower Conners scores. Sixty-seven percent of the children received one or more special behavioral or educational interventions: 36 percent received counseling, 21 percent joined the resource specialist program, 18 percent entered a special day class, 13 percent were designated learning handicapped, 8 percent were designated severely emotionally disturbed and 5 percent received speech therapy. Thirty-three percent received no special behavioral or educational interventions.

At the end of each school year, the teacher reevaluated each child using the **Conners** checklist. The combined comments from the teacher and school nurse measured success of the project. Forty-eight (44 percent) children had insufficient data to complete the outcome evaluation. Of the remaining 62 children 11 improved greatly (18 percent), 28 improved moderately (45 percent), seven improved slightly (11 percent), 10 were unchanged (16 percent), and six were worse than before enrollment (10 percent).

## Critique

The development of a systematic school-based approach to the evaluation and management of children with learning problems is an important goal. However, **PARD's** evaluation yields little insight. Effectiveness of the program is difficult to determine for a number of reasons, but primarily because of incomplete or missing data and high attrition rates. For example, 32 youth had missing or incomplete initial history forms, 21 children did not have the initial parent Couriers score, 10 children did not have initial Conners scores from a teacher, 47 lacked the second teachers' Conners score, and 48 children did not have evaluation comments from the teacher or nurse.

In addition, parents did not follow-up with a physician, some parents refused the **PARD** evaluation, other parents refused medications, parents denied a problem existed, and parents took the children off medication without the advice of their physician. The study lacked a comparison group or instruments to measure effectiveness. The follow-up period was short and the small sample size might have excluded children not covered by insurance. In addition, the evaluation did not address whether the behavioral ratings were clinically and educationally significant or whether learning and academic achievement improved. The study made no mention of the negative effects of incorrect diagnosis, a common concern in the mental health community.

## Evaluation Contact

Laura Aird  
Community Health Services  
American Academy of Pediatrics  
141 Northwest Point Blvd.  
P.O. Box 927  
Elk Grove Village, IL 60009-0927  
PH: (708) 228-5005 / FAX: (708) 228-5097

	E
✓	Q

✓	I
	G
	B
	D
	C
	M

	QI
✓	Qn
	B

	F
	S
✓	O
	P

Analysis

Data

Type

SS  
NN  
99

# Project Northland

## Program Description

*Project Northland* is a community-based, school-linked, alcohol-use prevention program for students in grades 6-8. **Project Northland began in 1991 as a research trial sponsored by the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism of the National Institutes of Health.** The program uses classroom instruction, peer leaders, parent involvement and parent education, as well as community-wide activities.

In the sixth grade, students learn to communicate with their parents about alcohol using the *Slick Tracy Home Team Program*. The curriculum uses activity books with the characters "Slick Tracy" and "Breathtest Mahoney" to promote parent/child discussions of alcohol, peer influence, media influence and home rules during four consecutive weeks. *Northland Notes for Parents*, included in each *Slick Tracy* activity book, provides background information on adolescent alcohol use. Ideally, community task forces develop collaborative efforts across the community: government, law enforcement, school representatives, business, health professionals, youth workers, parents, concerned citizens, clergy and youth.

In the seventh grade, youth learn to deal with peer influences and normative expectations about alcohol. The *Amazing Alternatives! Program* draws from models used by the World Health Organization and the Massachusetts Saving Lives Program. It includes a kickoff evening with parents, an eight-week peer- and teacher-led classroom curriculum, a peer participation program to create alternative alcohol-free activities (TEENS), four booklets for parents and three new issues of *Northland Notes for Parents*. Students select peer leaders that they "like and respect" in an open election. A one-day leadership training includes planning a budget for an activity and publicizing an activity. Adult volunteers facilitate the TEENS groups. The community task force activities focus on passing of alcohol-related ordinances and providing discounts at local businesses for students who pledge to be alcohol and drug free.

The eighth-grade program *PowerLines* is an eight-session, peer-led curriculum, which introduces students to the concept of "power groups." Power groups are individuals and organizations within a community that influence adolescent alcohol use and availability. The curriculum teaches students community action and citizen participation skills. Students interview various power groups within the community and conduct a "town meeting" in which small groups of students represent the interests of those they have interviewed and make recommendations for community actions to prevent alcohol use among youth. The curriculum also includes a theater production called "It's My Party," three new issues of *Northland Notes for Parents* and the continuation of the TEENS groups and task force activities.

## Services Available

The sixth-grade teacher's manual costs \$50; each student activity book costs \$6. The seventh-grade teacher's manual costs \$125; student activity books cost \$30 plus \$10 for an alternative program guide. The eighth-grade teacher's manual costs \$100 which includes audio tapes, transparencies and masters of all student materials.

## Implications for Practice

The 1995 *Youth Risk Behavior Survey* found that 80 percent of high school students had tried alcohol, slightly over half were current users and 32 percent admitted to episodic heavy drinking. Alcohol is implicated in the three leading causes of death among youth: motor vehicle crashes, homicide and suicide, as well as other high-risk behaviors, such as early sexual initiation and delinquency. Objectives 4.5 through 4.10 of *Healthy People 2000* address reducing alcohol use by adolescents. *Project Northland* builds on alcohol and drug prevention research of the past two decades. It addresses both individual behavioral change and environmental change, using multiple strategies including peers and parents during the critical years of middle school.

## Program Contact

Sara Veblen-Mortenson  
Division of Epidemiology  
School of Public Health  
University of Minnesota  
1300 S. Second Street, Suite 300  
Minneapolis, MN 55454  
PH: (612) 624-9378 /FAX: (612) 624-03 15

Audience	P
	K <sup>3</sup>
	4 <sup>6</sup>
	M ✓
	H
	K <sup>12</sup>
	S

Locale	R ✓
	U
	S
	M

Level	CI ✓
	B
	D
	C ✓

Components	C ✓
	St ✓
	Pe ✓
	Pa ✓
	M
	P
	Sk ✓
	Se

Emphasis	K
	A ✓
	N ✓
	B ✓

## Project Northland

✓	E	Design
	Q	

	I	Analysis
	G	
	B	
✓	D	
	C	
	M	

	QI	Data
✓	Qn	
	B	

	F	Type
✓	S	
✓	O	
	P	

### Evidence of Program Effectiveness

*The Project Northland* evaluation involved approximately 2,400 students in the Class of 1998 from 24 school districts in northeastern Minnesota during their sixth-, seventh- and eighth-grade years (1991-1994). Students were primarily white (94 percent) and from rural, middle to lower-middle class communities. The school districts were randomly assigned to be program districts or comparison districts. Annual surveys of students and parents asked about alcohol use, tobacco use and various psychosocial factors. The student questionnaire contained items relating to the curricula; tendency to use alcohol (which combined items about intentions to use alcohol and actual alcohol use); peer influences; self-efficacy (defined as confidence in being able to refuse offers of alcohol); communication with parents; normative expectations about alcohol use; perceptions of ease of access to alcohol; attendance at activities with or without alcohol; and demographic factors. The measures of psychosocial factors (peer influence, self-efficacy and perceptions of access to alcohol) had satisfactory psychometric properties.

The unit of analysis was the school district. Mixed model regression methods (ANCOVA) tested for differences between groups. Subsequent analyses adjusted for differences in baseline alcohol use and race. Of the 2,351 students present at baseline, 93 percent, 88. percent and 81 percent were surveyed at the end of the sixth, seventh and eighth grade, respectively. Of the 19 percent lost to follow-up, no significant differences existed in baseline alcohol use between the program and comparison group.

At the end of three years of program exposure, students in the intervention districts (N=1901) were significantly less likely to use both alcohol and cigarettes than students in the comparison districts. Students in the intervention group who were "never drinkers" at the beginning of sixth grade, but who eventually did use, drank significantly less than students in the comparison districts.

They also smoked 37 percent fewer cigarettes and used 50 percent less marijuana by the end of the eighth grade. Monthly drinking was 20 percent lower, and weekly drinking was 30 percent lower among students in the program districts compared with comparison districts.

In addition, the program changed normative perceptions about how many young people drink, parent-child communication about the consequences of alcohol use and the importance of reasons for not using alcohol. Students in the program districts had significantly lower scores on the peer influence scale by the end of eighth grade, although there were no significant differences in the Self-Efficacy or Perceived Access Scales. Other psychosocial measures showed that by the end of the eighth grade students in the program districts were significantly more likely to perceive that drinking was not accepted and significantly less likely to report that people their age drink alcohol when on a date. At the end of the eighth grade, students in the program districts were significantly more likely than those in the control districts to view nine of 10 suggested reasons as important for not using alcohol.

### Critique

*Project Northland* demonstrated promising changes in self-reported adolescent behaviors. The project was more successful with students who had not used alcohol at the beginning of sixth grade than among those who had already initiated use. Future evaluation studies could be strengthened by matching communities based on race and alcohol use at baseline before randomizing to treatment or comparison groups. The study involved primarily white students from rural, middle- to low-income families in Minnesota. Generalizations can not be made to other groups or settings.

### Evaluation Contact

Kelli Kormo, MPH, PhD  
Division of Epidemiology  
School of Public Health  
University of Minnesota  
1330 S. Second St., Suite 300  
Minneapolis, MN 55454  
PH: (612) 625-7333 /FAX: (612) 624-0315

# Project TNT

## Program Description

*Project TNT* is a middle school tobacco use prevention curriculum. The curriculum addresses the three most common factors found to **influence** tobacco use among adolescents: 1) seeking peer approval by using tobacco; 2) seeking a desired social image associated with tobacco use; and 3) lack of knowledge about physical consequences resulting **from** tobacco use. The curriculum addresses both cigarettes and smokeless tobacco.

The curriculum consists of 10 lessons presented over a two-week period in the seventh grade and two booster lessons for use in eighth grade. It includes active listening, consequences of tobacco use, self-esteem building, how to resist peer pressure and still be liked, effective communication skills, refusal skills, critical thinking regarding advertising messages, social activism against tobacco use and public commitment not to use tobacco. *Project TNT* uses a variety of teaching methods including group discussion, games, role plays, videos, student worksheets, questioning, analyzing media influences, and production of a class videotape using a news program format to present previously learned information.

*Project TNT* grew out of a five-year research project that tested the effectiveness of various social influence strategies to prevent tobacco use. The National Cancer Institute and the National Institute on Drug Abuse funded the project. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's Division of Adolescent and School Health (DASH) has identified Project TNT as a curriculum with credible evidence of effectiveness in reducing the initiation of cigarettes and smokeless tobacco, designating it as a *Program that Works* as part of **CDC's Research to Classroom** project.

Two days of teacher training is recommended.

## Services Available

The curriculum consists of a teacher's manual, student workbook, two videos and an optional kit of posters and instructional materials. Contact **ETR Associates** for current prices.

## Implications for Practice

Tobacco use is responsible for more than one of every five deaths in the United States and is the most important single preventable cause of death and disease. *Healthy People 2000's* objective 3.5 calls for reducing the initiation of cigarette smoking by children and youth so that no more than 15 percent have become **regular cigarette** smokers by age 20. The overwhelming evidence of the addictive nature of nicotine necessitates continued commitment to preventing tobacco use among young people through enforcement of youth access laws, effective prevention education programs, such as *Project TNT*, in schools and communities and limiting the effect of tobacco advertising and promotion on youth.

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	
	4 <sup>6</sup>	
	M	✓
	H	
	K <sup>12</sup>	
	S	

Locale	R	
	U	
	S	
	M	

Level	C	✓
	B	
	D	
	Cc	

Components	C	✓
	St	✓
	Pe	
	Pa	
	M	
	P	
	Sk	✓
	Se	

Emphasis	K	
	A	
	N	✓
	B	✓

## Program Contact

for materials:  
ETR Associates  
4 Carbonero Way  
Scotts Valley, CA 95066  
PH: (408) 438-4060.

for training:  
**Sandi Craig**  
Institute for Health Promotion and  
Disease Prevention Research  
University of Southern California  
1000 S. Fremont Ave. Suite 641  
Alhambra, CA 91803-1358  
PH: (213) 342-2589

## Project TNT

✓	E	Design
	Q	

	I	Analysis
	G	
✓	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	

	QI	Data
✓	Qn	
	B	

	F	Type
✓	S	
✓	O	
	P	

### Evidence of Program Effectiveness

Forty-eight junior high schools in southern California were randomly **assigned** to one of the four program conditions or to a "usual-practice" control condition. In the program schools, trained project health educators delivered the curriculum to seventh-grade students. In control schools, school personnel delivered their usual health education. Of the 6,716 seventh-grade students who answered pre and post self-report questionnaires, 50 percent were male, 60 percent were White, 27 percent were Hispanic, 7 percent were Black and 6 percent were Asian or "other." Two years later, **7,219** ninth-grade student answered the same questionnaire. Before each survey administration a saliva or breath sample was collected from a sample of students to increase the accuracy of self-reported tobacco use even though the samples were not analyzed.

Four behavioral measures included two items **accessing the rates** of initial trial of cigarettes and smokeless tobacco and **two** that measured rates of weekly use. T-tests compared the ninth grade prevalence of these measures between male-female aggregates and urban rural regions. ANCOVA tested a five-group model with school as the unit of analysis. Covariates included a measure of school "turnover" (defined as the proportion of new students attending the school at the two-year follow up), region and gender.

At the end of the two year follow-up, compared to those in comparison schools, students in Project TNT schools reduced initiation of cigarette use by about 26 percent and smokeless tobacco use by about 30 percent. **Project TNT** reduced weekly, or regular use of cigarettes by about 50 percent and smokeless tobacco by 100 percent. The curriculum was effective with all racial groups. Among those students who tried cigarettes by the **ninth** grade, the change in prevalence between program **and** control schools was **17 percent**.

The findings indicated that males and females **experimented** equally, though **more rural than urban students had tried cigarettes - 60 percent vs. 52 percent. More male than female students tried smokeless tobacco (20 percent vs. 4 percent), and more people trying cigarettes in rural than urban regions (12 percent vs. 9 percent). Change** in trial of smokeless tobacco use was **small (4 percent overall)**, which did not vary by gender or region. Weekly smoking prevalence was 14 percent at ninth grade, an increase of 8 percent, which did not vary by gender or region. Weekly smokeless tobacco use was 4 percent in both regions but virtually all weekly use was reported by male students (7 percent vs. less than 1 percent).

### Critique

This well-designed and rigorous study demonstrated that **Project TNT** reduced initiation of tobacco use as well as quantities used. Health educators hired and trained by **Project TNT** delivered the **curriculum**. Fidelity to the **curriculum** was not an issue. In a "real world" implementation, results might be less favorable. Previous research has shown that **school-based** interventions implemented in junior high school have little long-term effect unless **reinforced** with additional programming in high school. High school booster materials should apply to social situations of high school students (e.g. jobs, unsupervised recreational time, dating).

### Evaluation Contact

Steve Sussman, Ph.D.  
Institute for Health Promotion  
and Disease Prevention Research  
University of Southern California  
1000 S. Fremont Ave., Suite 641  
Alhambra, CA 91803-1358  
PH: (213) 342-2589

# Project Taking Charge

## Program Description

*Project Taking Charge* is a combined sexuality and vocational education program that promotes abstinence from sexual activity. The American Association of Family and Consumer Sciences (formerly the American Home Economics Association) began the program in 1989 with funding from the Office of Adolescent Pregnancy Prevention. Field-testing of the original program was with middle school students.

A 1993 revision, which includes a Spanish version named *El Futuro Es Mio*, targets low-income **seventh-grade** students in home economic classes and their parents.

Lessons prepare youth to make choices about sexual activity and other issues they will face as **they** mature. Topics such as vocational exploration (which includes a job shadowing exercise) and decision making help participants "take charge" of their psychosexual development and plan for their **future** and the world of work. The program also helps parents "take charge" of communicating sexual information and standards to their adolescent **children**, as well as of helping their children prepare for achieving their occupational goals.

The curriculum consists of five instructional units of **55-60** lessons, each lasting one-class period. The **curriculum** takes six to nine weeks to implement. Each lesson includes objectives, materials needed, bulletin board ideas and suggested daily activities. Materials include student **worksheets and homework** assignments, teacher resources and transparency masters. The program incorporates three parent and youth sessions for use in a **community** setting during evening hours. The parent involvement portion includes communication exercises, value exploration and factual material relating to adolescent sexuality.

## Services Available

The **500-page** curriculum costs **\$75** for American Association of Family and Consumer Sciences members and \$100 for nonmembers. Training manuals cost \$35 for members and \$45 for nonmembers.

## Implications for Practice

**Although** recent statistics indicate birth rates among teenagers are **declining**, teen pregnancy remains a significant problem in this country. The United States continues to have the highest adolescent pregnancy rates' in the industrialized world — over one million each year. Rates of pregnancy and sexually transmitted **disease** among younger teens are increasing. A key national strategy to combat this problem is to support and encourage young adolescents to remain abstinent. *Project Taking Charge* is a comprehensive program that encourages teens to postpone sexual activity and helps youth **and their** parents understand the connections between education, occupational goals, sexual activity and early childbearing.

Audience	P
	K <sup>3</sup>
	4 <sup>6</sup>
	M✓
	H
	K <sup>12</sup>
	S

Locale	R
	U
	S
	M✓

Level	CI✓
	B
	D
	Co

Components	C✓
	St
	Pe
	Pa✓
	M
	P
	Sk
	Se

Emphasis	K✓
	A✓
	N
	B

## Program Contact

Rosemary Bolig  
Project Manager/Cons&ant  
American Association of Family and Consumer Sciences  
1555 King street  
Alexandria, VA 223 14  
PH: (800) 424-8080, (703) 706-4600 / FAX: (703) 706-4663



# Project Taking Charge

	E	Design
✓	Q	
✓	I	Analysis
	G	
	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	
	QI	Data
✓	Qn	
	B	
	F	Type
	S	
✓	O	
	P	

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

A six-month follow-up evaluation of the original version of the project was conducted in 1989. An evaluation of a revised program occurred in 1994 in three sites: Oklahoma City, Dallas and Miami. Both studies involved three program and three control classrooms in **different** cities. In both studies, home economics teachers randomly selected a class as a treatment group and another as a control group. pretest differences between groups were minimal in both studies. Any significant pretest differences became co-variates for ANCOVA analyses of the **posttest** data.

The research design of the first study used a **pre/posttest** and a six-month follow-up questionnaire. The second study did not include the follow-up measure. The variables of interest included the adolescent's self-esteem; knowledge about sexual anatomy, pregnancy and sexually transmitted diseases; attitudes and intentions relating to sexual behavior; sexual values; communication with parents about sexual and vocational issues (frequency and comfort); and educational aspirations. A panel of five social scientists reviewed the instruments for face validity. Reliability of the instrument varied by sub-test, but most were within acceptable limits. Reliability was poor for measures of clarity of sexual values and **knowledge** of the consequences of adolescent **pregnancy**.

In both studies, the **pre/posttest** analysis revealed significant **differences** in knowledge gain between students in the program and control classes. There were no significant changes in self-esteem scores and no improvement in students' understanding of the complications resulting to their educational and employment future caused by teenage pregnancy. There were also no **differences** between students receiving the curriculum and the controls in acceptability of adolescent sexual intercourse or behavioral intentions. The program did not improve communication between parents and their adolescent children.

he six-month follow-up indicated that most of the **knowledge** gain was retained. Those in the program ended to delay initiation of sexual activity more than hose in the control group at the follow-up measure, **ut** the **difference** was not statistically sign&ant.

## Critique

*Project Taking Charge might fit* well with community **norms** in areas that struggle with incorporating birth **control** information in pregnancy prevention **programs**. The program's abstinence focus limits **controversy** over program content. Both evaluation **studies** relied on a small number of self-reports. The **only** significant **differences** between program and **control** classes were modest changes in **knowledge** **scores**. Few parents participated in the parent-child **communication** component and fewer still completed **pre/posttests**.

## Evaluation Contact

Stephen R. Jorgensen  
Dept. of Human Development and Family Studies  
Texas Tech University  
Lubbock, TX 79409

# Rapping with Vince and Larry

## Program Description

*Rapping with Vince and Larry* was developed by the Michigan Department of Public Health in cooperation with the Michigan Department of Highway Planning, the Michigan Academy of Family Physicians and Ottawa County Human Services to increase safety belt use and awareness among children in third to fifth grade. The **45-minute** program uses an eight-minute educational videotape that stresses the importance of safety belt use, demonstrates the proper way to wear a safety belt and reinforces the notion that it is acceptable to ask others to buckle up.

The Michigan State University Theater Department developed a brief skit that expands on the video information using music and a rap song and featuring the National Highway **Traffic** Safety Administration's Vince and Larry crash dummies. These characters, made popular through national public service announcements, were chosen because they are comical, non-threatening role models for safety belt use. After seeing the video and skit, students are asked to sign a pledge to wear safety belts. They have a picture taken with the crash dummies, which is placed in an autographed picture **frame**. The children are encouraged to place this visible reminder to buckle up in the family car as a means to further reinforce this behavior. As students wait to have their picture taken, they work on an activity sheet with a maze and word search puzzle about **seatbelt** use.

## Services Available

*The Game of Your Life* video, Vince and Larry costumes (available for loan), Polaroid cameras (available for loan), Vince and Larry Picture frames, rap music and skit are available.

## Implications for Practice

In the United States, motor vehicle crashes are the most common cause of death for persons aged 1 - 14, outranking all other injuries and diseases as the leading cause of death. The costs resulting from these crashes are high, not only in terms of medical care costs, but because so many of the victims are young – thousands of years of productive life are lost. The consistent and proper use of safety belts can prevent many of these deaths. The *Healthy People 2000* objective 9.3A is to reduce deaths cause by motor vehicle crashes to no more than 5.5 per 100 million vehicle miles traveled. *Rapping with Vince and Larry* is a developmentally-appropriate strategy that encourages children to establish the life-saving habit of using safety belts.

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	✓
	4 <sup>6</sup>	
	M	
	H	
	K <sup>12</sup>	
	S	

Locale	R	
	U	
	S	
	M	✓

Level	CI	✓
	B	
	DI	

Components	C	
	St	
	Pe	
	Pa	
	M	✓
	P	
	Sk	
	Se	

Emphasis	K	✓
	A	✓
	N	
	B	✓

## Program Contact

Heather Festerling, MA  
Michigan Department of Community Health  
P.O. Box 30195  
Lansing, MI 48909  
PH: (517) 335-95 10 /FAX: (517) 335-8397

## Rapping with Vince and Larry

	E	Design
✓	Q	

✓	I	Analysis
	G	
	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	

	QI	Data
✓	Qn	
	B	

	F	Type
	S	
✓	O	
✓	P	

### Evidence of Program Effectiveness

The program evaluation was conducted in 1990 using **pre/posttest** surveys of safety belt use, knowledge and attitudes. Children **from 12** elementary schools completed questionnaires three weeks before the program took place (1,087 cases and 1,017 controls) and again two weeks after the intervention (1,049 controls and 1,014 controls). Comparison students were from the same schools and grades as the program groups but did not receive the program. No information was provided on the selection of students for program or control. Students ranged in age 8-14, although 99 percent of the children were age 12 or younger. All case schools completed a principal and teacher questionnaire regarding the appropriateness of the intervention components (video, tape skit and pictures) and their general reactions to the project.

Researchers looked at **frequency** of **seatbelt** use for **front** and back seats and for short and long trips. They reported no significant **difference** between program and control groups for the preprogram survey. All students had a greater tendency to wear their **seatbelt** when riding in the **front** seat and during longer trips. The post intervention survey revealed significant increases (**p<.01**) of self-reported **seatbelt** use for the front seat (**+8.1** percent), back seat (**+6.7** percent) and short trip use (**+6.9** percent) by the program group.

Changes in attitudes and knowledge about wearing seatbelts were examined with questions such as, "**Kids** should always wear a **seatbelt** when riding in the **front** seat," and "It is OK to ask others to wear a seatbelt." Although the preprogram survey indicated no significant **difference** between program and comparison groups, the **posttest** showed significant increases in the program group's belief that they should always wear a safety belt when riding in the back seat (**+8.7** percent), that it was appropriate to ask others to buckle up (**+5.7** percent) and that seatbelts protect a person by keeping them inside the car during a crash (**+6.4** percent).

The survey of principals and teachers found that they **felt** the program was most appropriate for younger **students, fifth-grade** students were less receptive and **hird-grade** students were most receptive. Additional **each**er comments included concerns that the program **s** too entertaining and therefore students do not take **seriously** the importance of **seatbelt use. The** **photographs** seemed to be a keepsake rather than a **safety** belt reminder, since only 18.6 percent of **students** kept the photos in the family car, as advised. **reachers** noted the importance of parental **nvolvement** in the program.

**There** was no increase in self reported **knowledge** **about** proper **seatbelt** use. However, over 98 percent **of** both program and comparison group recognized **correct** safety belt use **from** a choice of four illustrations on the pre-course survey.

Other findings included the fact that females were more likely than males to use safety belts in all **categories**. For short trips urban students were more likely than rural students to wear seatbelts. Rural students were significantly more likely to believe children should always wear a safety belt in the back seat.

### Critique

*The Rapping with Vince and Larry* program utilizes **community** resources and a multimedia approach to send a safety message that is not always readily accepted. The program appears to capture the attention of younger **children**, as well as change reported **seatbelt** usage for a short while. The lasting impact of a one-time presentation is **unknown**, since posttests were **two** weeks after the program. Children need the opportunity to practice buckling and the inclusion of parents is critical to establishing and reinforcing this important message.

### Evaluation Contact

Health Surveillance Section  
Center for Health Promotion  
Michigan Department of Public Health  
P.O. Box 30195  
Lansing, Michigan 48906  
PH: (517) 335-8657

# Reach Out to Schools (Open Circle)

## Program Description

*The Reach Out to Schools Social Competency Program (SCP)*, commonly referred to as *Open Circle*, is a multi-year program for students in grades K-6, their teachers, principals and parents. SCP began in 1987 as a pilot program funded by the Stone Center for Developmental Services and Studies at Wellesley College. It is based on a theory that **connects** social and academic development. A fundamental assumption is that one role of schools is to help socialize children by teaching them appropriate interactions for developing into healthy, productive adults.

The program seeks to prevent violence and foster social and academic development by emphasizing cooperation, inclusion, boundary definition, clarity of behavioral expectations and positive and consistent reinforcement. It uses the *Quality of School Life curriculum* developed by Ruth Schelkun. The **curriculum** has three themes: creating a cooperative classroom, building self-esteem and positive feelings, and solving interpersonal problems. For example, children learn that it is appropriate to report to an adult when another's behavior is "dangerous or destructive - the Double D guideline". Otherwise they are taught strategies that enable them to handle situations themselves. During **15-minute, twice-a-week** "open circle" meetings, students use role-plays, discussions, practice exercises, hypothetical problem solving, team building exercises and homework to **learn** social skills and discuss issues of personal and group importance. The 40 lessons of the curriculum require a sustained, **yearlong** commitment. Lessons have been developed for both the primary and intermediate grade levels and for both experienced students, who have had the program, and new students. The curriculum teaches a common language that includes both verbal and nonverbal signals for communication and a vocabulary for labeling feelings. It also includes a series of workshops to familiarize parents with the program.

## Services Available

SCP costs \$650 per teacher and includes four days of training, consultation and curriculum materials. Schools are encouraged to send a leadership team of three to four teachers for the four-day training. A one-day principal training is included in the teacher fee. On-site consultation is available to schools in eastern Massachusetts.

Schools can receive other services for additional fees including parent, teacher and principal workshops. Wellesley College offers Professional Development Points and three hours of graduate credit at an extra cost.

## Implications for Practice

According to the *Healthy People 2000 Midcourse Review and 1995 Revisions*, few issues pose a greater challenge to public health and American society than violence. The United States ranks first among industrialized nations in violent death rates and youth are increasingly involved as both perpetrators and victims of violence. According to the 1995 *Youth Risk Behavior Survey*, 3 8.7 percent of high school students had been in a physical fight during the preceding year and 20 percent of students had carried a weapon within 30 days prior to being surveyed. Based on the belief that childhood problems are **often** the result of deficient social skills which are associated with adjustment problems in adolescence and adulthood the National Institute of Mental Health, in 1986, recommended that all school curricula include social competency building instruction as a way to prevent social and behavioral problems in children. The SCP addresses this issue and **thus** might help decrease social and behavioral problems at the primary school level and perhaps reduce student adjustment problems, including violence, at the secondary school level.

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	✓
	4 <sup>6</sup>	✓
	M	
	H	
	K <sup>12</sup>	
	S	

Locale	R	
	U	
	S	
	M	✓

Level	CI	✓
	B	
	D	
	C	

Components	C	✓
	St	
	Pe	✓
	Pa	
	M	
	P	
	Sk	✓
	Se	

Emphasis	K	
	A	✓
	N	
	B	✓

## Program Contact

Pam Seigle  
 Program Director  
 Reach Out to Schools Social Competency Program  
 The Stone Center for Developmental Services and Studies  
 Wellesley College  
 Wellesley, MA 02181  
 PH: (617) 283-2847 /FAX: (617) 283-3646

## Reach Out to Schools (Open Circle)

	E	Design
✓	Q	
	I	
✓	G	
	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	
✓	QI	Data
	Qr	
	B	
	F	Type
	S	
✓	O	
✓	P	

### Evidence of Program Effectiveness

*SCP* was evaluated in several studies between 1990 and 1995 using both qualitative and quantitative methods. The latest evaluation, in 1994-95, used a qualitative design to examine the impact of the program on teaching practices and interactions among and between students and teachers. At the time, 48 1 grade K-6 classrooms in approximately 70 schools used the program. The evaluation involved 179 teachers, 19 of whom were teacher/leaders and 42 principals, 13 of whom had two to **five** years of previous experience with the program. Data was collected at the beginning of the school year, midyear and at the end of the year using narrative writing and numerical responses to a set of questions. The evaluator and teacher/leaders conducted classroom observations. Teachers new to the program documented how becoming trained in the program affected their teaching and their relations to students. Experienced teachers described the impact of the curriculum on their students and classroom.

In response to the question: "Did you observe significant gains in the social competency skills of your students in their interactions as a result of *Open Circle this year?*," 67 percent of the teachers reported that students gained skills in relating to other group members, with each other individually, and in solving problems. In response to "In what ways, if any, is your classroom different **from** previous years as a result of the program?," 50 percent of the teachers felt that the children criticized, teased and tattled less. Tatting indicated that a child wanted a teacher to **fix** a problem brought on by another child. If children tattle less, they are presumed to be more empowered to solve some of their own problems with each other. Sixty-four percent of teachers believed students were more responsible and more in control of their behavior. For example, teachers noted an increased use of words to solve problems rather than use of dangerous and destructive behavior. In response to a question about **difficulties**, 17 percent of teachers commented about the length of time needed to cover all the topics and 25 percent described resistant behavior among children. Many of the examples cited as "resistant," the evaluators interpreted as unrealistic expectations for the program, for student behavior and for teachers of themselves. Other concerns cited by 11 percent of the respondents revealed the presence of problems that required clinical treatment and intervention beyond the scope of the program.

Eight percent of the teachers noted improvements in be individual behavior of shy students.

In regard to the impact of the program on their own behavior, many teachers felt that the program provided a means of personalizing their relationship with students without compromising their professionalism - 38 percent cited improved listening abilities and conflict resolution skills and 33 percent noted improved relationships with students. **Thirty-three** percent of teachers said the program supports and compliments their style of teaching while another 36 percent changed their teaching practices as a result of the program. The evaluator concluded that **SCP** appears to be successful not only for teachers who have a natural **affinity** for the program but for other teachers as well. In addition, an **unintended** outcome appears to be greater self-reflection among teachers regarding their work. Twenty-five percent of principals reported that the teachers were **calmer** and worked better as a team, **providing** increased social support for each other's teaching. Principals also reported that the program provided a consistent and unified approach using a common language to develop social competency in students. Many noted that the benefits generalized beyond the classroom, citing recess play as being more civilized with fewer disciplinary problems.

### Critique

The findings of **the SCP** evaluation suggest that it can promote social competencies and foster cooperative classroom and school environments. However, the study lacks comparison data with other schools or with quantitative baseline data regarding **the** number of disciplinary events before and **after** the program within the classroom and school. The data does not include specific health behavior measures or indicators. The study provides no evidence of reliability or validity of measures used.

### Evaluation Contact

Barbara Black  
Evaluation Consultant  
177 Lakeview Avenue  
Cambridge, MA 02138

# Reconnecting Youth

## Program Description

*Reconnecting Youth (RY)* is a peer group approach to building life skills developed by **Leona** Eggert, Liela Nicholas and Linda Owen at the University of Washington's School of Nursing. The program's theoretical frameworks are social network support theory, social learning and control theories. *RY* was field tested for five years with funding from the National Institute on Drug Abuse and the National Institute of Mental Health. A major assumption of the program is that school drop out and drug abuse are co-occurring problems with common precursors. The program targets youth who "skip" school to get "high" and for whom drug use becomes a critical dimension of their culture and interactions with peers. The program's goals are to teach these truant and underachieving youth to manage their anger and decrease drug use, improve school attendance and achievement and reduce depression and suicide risk.

Using a risk/protective factors model, the program identifies protective factors in an individual's personal and social network (peers, family and school).

**Program** components include building positive teacher-to-student and peer group relationships through small group interaction and skills training. For example, participants learn how to identify their own anger "triggers", use control strategies when they first **feel** anger, and express anger constructively. The curriculum contains 80 lessons that teachers or youth leaders can use sequentially, selectively or infused into other curricula. Three days of staff development are recommended.

As of 1996, *RY* was in 1,400 sites throughout the United States. The National Institute on Drug Abuse has recognized *RY* as one of the nation's three most effective substance abuse prevention programs. It is appropriate for seventh to 12th grade.

## Services Available

The leader's guide/curriculum costs \$125 for members of the National Education Service and \$139 for nonmembers. A training guide costs \$21 for members and \$23 for nonmembers.

## Implications for Practice

Although drug use among adolescents has shown declines in recent years, this drop appears to be mostly among casual or experimental users. Rates for frequent users have not dropped significantly and drug use by adolescents remains a major health and social problem in the United States. A number of objectives in *Healthy People* 2000 call for reductions in the use of alcohol and other substances by youth. Objective 4.13 seeks to provide drug education programs for all children and youth, preferably as part of a comprehensive school health program. Objective 8.2 calls for increasing the high school graduation rate to at least 90 percent, thereby reducing risks for multiple problem behaviors and poor mental and physical health.

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	
	4 <sup>6</sup>	
	M	✓
	H	✓
	K <sup>12</sup>	
	S	

Locale	R	
	U	✓
	S	
	M	

Level	Cl	✓
	B	
	D	
	C	

Components	C	✓
	St	✓
	Pe	✓
	Pa	
	M	
	P	
	Sk	✓
	Se	

Emphasis	K	
	A	✓
	N	
	B	✓

## Program Contact

Peter R Brooks  
Communications Director  
The National Education Service  
1252 Loesch Road  
Bloomington, IN 47404  
PH: (812) 336-7700 /FAX: (812) 336-7790

# Reconnecting Youth

✓	E	Design
	Q	
✓	I	Analysis
	G	
	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	
	QI	Data
✓	Qn	
	B	Type
	F	
	S	
✓	O	
	P	

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

An initial pilot study demonstrated significant **differences** between experimental and comparison groups (**N=73** in each group). Program participants decreased their truancy and drug involvement, and increased their school achievement compared to control students.

A 1994 study involved high risk youth at four high schools in the Pacific North West. For the purpose of the study, the definition of high risk students was that they had below the expected number of credits for current grade level, were in the top 25th percentile for days absent per semester, had a grade-point average (GPA) of less than 2.3 ~~has a~~ pattern of declining grades or a precipitous **drop in** GPA greater than .7, prior school dropout status or referral **from** school personnel for being in serious jeopardy of school dropout, failure, or suspension. A total of 542 such students were randomly selected to participate either as a control student (complete a survey) (**N=232**) or as a program participant (enroll in semester-long course) (**N=310**). Refusals from students selected for the program condition were generally for one of three reasons -- class schedule conflicts, lack of interest, or a perceived lack of need for the prevention program. Controls refused due to lack of interest or lack of time. One hundred and one students participated in the program group and 158 participated as controls. There were several significant differences between the groups with program students considered "more distressed". Retention was 79.3% for the program group and 81% for the control. An additional 71 students were enrolled in a two semester program, not reported here.

School faculty taught the *RY curriculum* was as an elective, personal growth class. Students met daily for 55 minutes for a full semester. Students took the class for credit and received grades. The teacher-student ratio was no greater than 1: 12. Teachers had varied backgrounds ranging **from** social studies, math, ESL, health and photography.

Criteria deemed important for selection to teach the course were that the teachers: 1) were skilled in expressing support and establishing therapeutic teacher-student relationships with high-risk youth, 2) had a strong desire to teach the class and work with high-risk youth, and 3) participated in teacher training willingly and regularly; and 4) implemented the course as designed. Teachers received three days of training.

Data collection occurred at baseline, post-test (five months) and follow up (seven months). Sources included students' permanent school records on school achievement and attendance and several questionnaires. One questionnaire measured drug use, progression of drug use and drug control problems and consequences. **Internal** consistency was strong for the **full** scale (Cronbach's alpha = **.89**). Another questionnaire consisted of a modified version of the Rosenberg Self-Esteem scale (Cronbach's alpha = **.77**). A separate measure assessed deviant peer bonding and school bonding.

Trend analysis was used to examine the data and controlled for group differences at baseline. Significant improvements were found in program students in drug control and consequences in **improved GPA** (but not attendance), and in **self-esteem, peer** and school bonding. The program appeared to stem the progression of drug use, but group differences only approached significance. There were no significant gender differences for the program **group**.

## Critique

**This was** a well designed study that yielded promising results for a hard-to-reach population. The findings are particularly encouraging **given** that the program group was significantly disadvantaged going into the study. Long term studies could determine the duration of effects and benefits, if any, of the one semester vs. two semester program.

## Evaluation Contact

Leona Eggert, PhD, RN  
School of Nursing  
University of Washington  
Seattle, WA 98052  
PH: (206) 543-9455

# Resolving Conflict Creatively

## Program Description

The *Resolving Conflict Creatively Program (RCCP)* is a multi-year program that focuses on conflict resolution and intergroup relations. *RCCP* provides youth with nonviolent alternatives for dealing with conflict and teaches them to apply those strategies to situations in their own lives. Increasing their understanding and appreciation of other students' cultures is also an objective, as is making them aware of the role they play in creating a more peaceful world.

Peer mediators are carefully selected students who receive training in resolving disputes that arise among their peers. The curriculum includes units on peace and conflict, communication, dealing appropriately with anger, solving conflicts creatively, cooperation, affirmation, preventing prejudice and celebrating differences, equality, peacemakers and the future – a positive vision.

The program emphasizes professional development. *RCCP* provides 20 hours of training offered in eight after-school sessions, lasting three hours, for teachers new to the program. Training addresses program philosophy, the **curriculum**, creative conflict resolution skills such as active listening and mediation, as well as strategies of imparting these concepts and skills to students. Participating schools are eligible for 10 days of **staff development**, including classroom demonstrations and other support services. Schools also receive parent workshops and leadership training for school administrators.

## Services Available

**Costs** range from \$1,000 to \$40,000, depending on the size of the school, complexity of the program and length of school commitment. The cost covers curriculum materials and training. For additional fees, schools can order videos, posters and books on conflict resolution.

## Implications for Practice

Experts estimate that more than three million crimes occur each year in or near America's public schools. Nationally, more than 400,000 students were victims of violent crime at school in a six-month period and about 270,000 guns are brought into schools daily. Goal 7 of the National Education Goals is to insure that by the year 2000, every school in the United States will be **free** of drugs, violence, and the unauthorized presence of firearms and alcohol, and will offer a disciplined environment conducive to learning. *Healthy People 2000* objective 7.16 calls for increasing to at least 50 percent the proportion of elementary and secondary schools that teach nonviolent conflict resolutions skills, preferably as a part of a comprehensive school health education. *RCCP* is one of the largest and longest running conflict resolution programs in the country and offers schools an effective approach to preventing violence and creating more **peaceful** classrooms.

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	✓
	4 <sup>6</sup>	✓
	M	
	H	
	K <sup>12</sup>	
	S	

Locale	R	
	U	
	S	
	M	✓

Level	CI	✓
	B	
	D	
	C	

Components	C	✓
	St	✓
	Pe	✓
	Pa	
	M	
	P	
	Sk	✓
	Se	

Emphasis	K	✓
	A	✓
	N	✓
	B	✓

## Program Contact

Linda Lautieri  
Director  
Resolving Conflict Creatively Program National Center  
163 Third Ave. # 103  
New York, NY 10003  
PH: (212)387-0225 / FAX:(212)387-0510



# Resolving Conflicts Creatively

	E	Design
✓	Q	

✓	I	Analysis
	G	
	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	

	QI	Data
	Qn	
✓	B	

✓	F	Type
	S	
	O	
✓	P	

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

Evaluators studied the 1988-89 implementation of the program. A formative evaluation examined program implementation, satisfaction with program components, impact of *RCCP* on students and teachers, and classroom and school climate.

Information about the implementation and impact of *RCCP* came from surveys of 200 participating teachers and school-based program personnel and administrators' questionnaires. Sixty-five percent of teachers returned completed surveys. The majority of respondents were elementary school teachers with 40.2 percent coming from kindergarten through third grade and 38.5 percent in fourth to sixth grade. When asked which of the three components of the program was most important, equal proportions of respondents (37.4 percent) cited the introductory training sessions and work with staff developers while 25.2 percent indicated that the curriculum was the most important aspect.

Teachers' assessments of the impact of the program on students included: students demonstrated less physical violence in the classroom (71 percent); less name-calling and fewer verbal put-downs (66.3 percent); more caring behavior (77.8 percent); and increased understanding of other students' viewpoints (71.5 percent).

A representative sample of 176 fourth-, fifth- and sixth-grade program students and a comparison group of 219 matched students who did not participate in the program completed a specially designed student achievement test. This 20-item test measured knowledge of the *RCCP* concepts and conflict resolution behaviors. Students who participated in the program scored higher on the achievement test (a mean of 15.03 vs. 12.38) than did the control group. An analysis of students' responses showed that 80 percent of program participants could correctly define the word "conflict" compared to 65 percent of the controls. Two-thirds of program participants identified a key part of "active listening" as "keeping eye contact with the other person," compared with only 32 percent of control students.

Sixty-seven percent of program students agreed that it is possible for everyone to win when a conflict arises compared to 35 percent of control students.

The evaluation also assessed the student mediation training offered in five schools. Of the teachers, advisors, students and mediators surveyed, 98.2 percent indicated that the mediation component gave children an important tool for dealing with everyday conflicts. Of the 143 student mediators who completed surveys, 83.7 percent responded that being a mediator gave them skills they could use their whole lives.

Suggestions on how to improve the program included more staff development time and more training. In particular, teachers said they would like additional assistance in integrating conflict resolution concepts into other classroom lessons and facilitating monthly school meetings. An overwhelming proportion of respondents proposed parental involvement be a part of the program in order for children to receive consistent reinforcement of the skills they learn in school.

## Critique

Although *Resolving Conflict Creatively Program* is one of the oldest conflict resolution programs in the country, the only available data was formative evaluation data. This very promising program would benefit from a more rigorous evaluation involving a comparison group, outcome measures and pre/post data. Measures might include information from disciplinary reports, school suspensions and students' self-reported behavior changes.

## Evaluation Contact

Metis Associates, Inc.  
26 Broadway  
New York, NY 10004  
PH: (212) 425-8833 /FAX: (212) 480-2176

# School Development Program

## Program Description

The *School Development Program (SDP)* was started in 1968 by James P. Comer, MD, of the Yale Child Study Center. *SDP* is a primary prevention program that uses a systems perspective involving a partnership between universities, school districts and schools to help schools become more responsive to the needs of children, particularly minority low-income students. Over 600 schools in 26 school districts in 23 states have adopted this comprehensive program. The *SDP* focuses on improving the school's social environment by establishing mechanisms and operations that are sensitive to the physical, cognitive, psychological, language, social and ethical development needs of individual students, while maintaining high standards for school performance and expectations for student success. Three principles guiding the program are a no-fault approach to problem solving, consensus decision making and collaboration.

*SDP* requires a total school commitment and expanded resources, including an in-district facilitator dedicated to the program. It uses three school-level teams – School Planning and Management Team, Mental Health Team and Parent Program. The School Planning and Management Team serves as the central organizing body in the school and develops and monitors a comprehensive school plan that includes academic, social and staff development goals. The building principal leads the School **Planning** and Management Team, which also includes teacher and parent representatives and a Mental Health Team member. The Mental Health Team includes staff with child development and mental health knowledge and experience, such as guidance counselors, school psychologists and school nurses. The Mental Health Team meets weekly to address general school concerns, as well as issues regarding individual students and teachers. The Parent Program encourages parental involvement in school activities such as policy and management issues.

*SDP* modifies **dysfunctional** elements of a school's social environment by developing a comprehensive school plan that delineates social and academic goals and activities to achieve the goals, providing **staff** development that addresses the goals and activities and monitoring progress toward achieving the goals on an on-going basis, making modifications as needed. **Staff development** often involves increasing sensitivity and responsiveness to the social context in which students live and the school functions.

In order to support school districts independent of the Yale Child Study Center, the program has developed partnerships with university education programs, state departments of education and other institutions that can provide nearby schools with needed support. As part of a consortium, the developers are working on a professional preparation program to prepare teachers for work in urban schools. Other projects include developing "how to" videotapes and manuals for training and implementation assistance.

## Services Available

*SDP* trains local facilitators who work under their local superintendent and receive a modicum of direct support **from** the Yale Child Study Center or another collaborating institution. Orientation workshops are available for parents, teachers and administrators, as are a variety of products including videos, books and audio tapes.

## Implications for Practice

Systemic, school-wide approaches can help create conditions that improve the likelihood that at-risk students will develop well socially and academically. Programs such as the *SDP*, that are comprehensive, culturally responsive and engage families, are likely to improve academic and behavioral outcomes for minority low-income children. While no program will meet all the needs of every student, this primary prevention program could enable schools to target further preventative efforts, if necessary.

## Program Contact

Edna Negron  
Implementation Coordinator  
School Development Program  
55 College St.  
New Haven, CT 065 10  
PH: (203)737-1075

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	
	4 <sup>6</sup>	
	M	
	H	
	K <sup>12</sup>	✓
	S	

Locale	R	
	U	
	S	
	M	✓

Level	Cl	
	B	
	D	✓

Components	C	
	St	✓
	Pe	
	Pa	✓
	M	
	P	✓
	Sk	
	Se	

Emphasis	K	
	A	
	N	✓
	B	

# School Development Program

	E	Design
✓	Q	
✓	I	Analysis
	G	
✓	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	
	QI	Data
✓	Qn	
	B	
	F	Type
	S	
✓	O	
	P	

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

Two studies have explored the long-term impact of the *SDP* on achievement and mental health adjustment including self-concept and behavior. The first, a retrospective study, involved 44 middle school students who attended the two original program schools and 18 students who attended two **non-program** schools. Because of the retrospective nature of the study, students were not randomly chosen and took no pretests. The research **staff** interviewed randomly selected students who had obtained parental consent during two individual sessions at the child's school. During the first session a Youth Child Study Center **staff** person had the child complete the Tennessee Self Concept Scale and administered the Social Adjustment Scale interview. During a second session on the following day, children completed the Woodcock-Johnson Battery (Brief Scale). Each session took approximately 45 minutes. Parents completed a Child Behavior Checklist for each child and teachers provided additional information. Research **staff** also collected data **from** report cards, test scores and attendance records. Results **found** statistically significant **differences** in **math** and reading achievement, but not on the behavioral or mental **health** scores.

The second study used a quasi-experimental design to follow a randomly selected sample of 253 predominantly black children in grades K-6, their parents and teachers. One hundred **fifty-three** students (60 percent) attended program schools and 100 students (40 percent) attended non-program schools. Data collection replicated procedures used in the first study except participants attended only one session and completed fewer student measures (the Tennessee Self-Concept Scale and a student behavior questionnaire). Other measures included a standardized achievement test (the California Achievement Test), report card grades, parents' assessment of school climate and **child** behavior, teacher questionnaire on student attitude and behavior and teacher assessment of school climate.

**Results** showed significant gains in reading and math **for** students in program schools, as well as **statistically** significant positive **differences** in **students'** classroom behavior, group participation and **attitudes**. Parents felt more involved with the school; **some** even returned to school and obtained their high school diploma or vocational training. Teachers **reported** increased feelings of **efficacy** and job **satisfaction** and rated their school climate as more **positive**, as did students. Teachers rated program **students** more highly than controls on classroom **behaviors**, group participation, and attitude. Parents' and teachers' assessments of children's behavior were more similar than students' assessments of their **behavior**. For **different** grade levels, different combinations of behavioral, attitudinal and achievement data discerned between students in program and control schools.

## Critique

*SDP's* objectives are ambitious, but evaluations have demonstrated effectiveness in schools committed to change. Data is scarce on student outcome measures for health-related risks. Some evaluations of the *SDP* used aggregate student performance data to compare program and non-program schools. There is no evidence of comparability across groups prior to program implementation. Aggregate data found significant gains in reading and math performance, and in some cases language, for *SDP* schools, as well as improved student attendance, classroom behavior, group participation, attitude toward authority and self-concept. Studies of randomly selected students in matched schools found significant **differences** in academic achievement between students in *SDP* and *non-SDP* schools. The data however is dated.

When Were  
Studies Done

## Evaluation Contact

Christine Emmons, PhD  
School Development Program  
53 College St.  
New Haven, CT 065 10  
PH: (203)737-4004 / FAX: (203)737-4001



# Seattle Social Development Project

## Program Description

The goal of the *Seattle Social Development Project (SSDP)* is to prevent risky behavior in youth, particularly delinquency and drug abuse, by improving parent-child communication and changing teachers' classroom management practices. Developed in 1987 by the Social Development Research Group at the University of Washington, *SSDP* addresses the needs of urban students in elementary grades who exhibit characteristics of conduct disorder, rebelliousness and peer rejection. A new version of the project is entitled *Raising Healthy Children*.

The program is based on the social development model which suggests that individuals are less likely to engage in antisocial activities **if they** have strong bonds to family and school. Bonding is a protective factor composed of three elements: a positive emotional feeling toward others, a sense of investment with others and a belief in the general values held by important others.

The program includes activities for students, teachers and parents. Children learn social and cognitive skills in the first through fourth grades. In small, **mixed-**ability cooperative groups, they learn interpersonal communication, decision-making skills, negotiation and conflict resolution techniques. Teachers use proactive classroom management techniques to establish a learning environment where expectations are clear and explicit. This promotes positive student behaviors and minimizes disruptions. In addition, teachers use "interactive teaching" and assume that all students can succeed under appropriate instructional conditions.

*The Catch 'Em Being Good* curriculum contains seven sessions for parents with children in first and second grade. Parents learn how to help their children resist peer pressure, apply consistent family management practices and minimize **conflict** in the family through modeling of skills, role play, feedback and homework practice assignments. *How to Help Your Child Succeed in School* provides four sessions for parents of second and third graders. It seeks to improve communications and academic support in the home.

The teacher **training** component consists of several days of in-services throughout the school year. Topics include **proactive** classroom management (August), interactive **teaching** (October) and cooperative learning methods (February). It focuses on preventing academic **failure**, **early** intervention in cases of inappropriate conduct and increasing classroom involvement.

## Services Available

Contents of the curriculum kit include a leader's guide, companion videos and family activity books. Optional supplemental materials include audio tapes on risk factors and an "ethnic adaptation guide," which helps schools adapt materials to various ethnic groups.

## Implications for Practice

The factors that put adolescents at risk for drug-related problem behaviors include insufficient parental supervision, poor communication and a lack of problem solving and social interaction skills. Poorly communicated expectations for behavior and inconsistent discipline play a part as well. Substandard school performance and inadequate child-school bonding increase the probability of dropping out. The *SSDP* is a "universal" prevention approach that addresses the whole school community, not just particular **students-at-risk** or a particular risk factor. *Healthy People 2000* objective 4.13 calls for providing educational programs on alcohol and other drugs, preferably as part of a comprehensive school health education.

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	✓
	4 <sup>6</sup>	
	M	
	H	
	K <sup>12</sup>	
	S	

Locale	R	
	U	✓
	S	
	M	

Level	Cl	✓
	B	
	D	
	Co	

Components	C	✓
	St	✓
	Pe	
	Pa	✓
	M	
	P	
	Sk	
	Se	

Emphasis	K	
	A	✓
	N	
	B	✓

## Program Contact

Karl Hill, PhD  
Project Director  
Social Development Research Group  
146 North Canal St., Suite 211  
Seattle, WA 98103-8652  
PH: (206) 685-1997 /FAX: (206) 543-5407

# Seattle Social Development Project

✓	E	Design
	Q	

✓	I	Analysis
	G	
	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	

	QI	Data
✓	Qn	
	B	

	F	Type
✓	S	
✓	O	
	P	

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

**The** Seattle Social Development Project followed 1,053 multi-ethnic urban students who entered the first grade in eight Seattle Public Schools. Forty-six percent of the sample were white, 52 percent were male and 93 percent of students were 10 or 11 years of age. Thirty-eight percent of the students qualified for the National School Lunch/School Breakfast Program in the fall of the **fifth** grade.

Children entering first grade in 1981 were assigned to either a program or control classroom on a random basis. In 1985, when the initial students entered the fifth grade, the sample was expanded to include all fifth-grade students in 18 Seattle elementary schools resulting in a control condition, a full treatment condition (all six years) and a late treatment condition (**fifth** and sixth grade only). The project examined the effects of **modified** teaching practices in classrooms and parent training program.

In first to fourth grade, program teachers used instructional methods that included 'proactive classroom management, **interactive teaching** and cooperative learning. First-grade teachers provided students with cognitive problem-solving instruction using a curriculum by Shure and Spivack. Parents of 43 percent of the program students, in first, second and third grade, attended at least one parenting class. Project **staff** provided parenting workshops in collaboration with local school and parent councils. Project staff and school principals observed teachers and provided feedback on the use of the project's teaching techniques. Teacher observations with feedback occurred approximately once every three weeks. Control teachers and parents did not receive any training, however, control teachers were observed over four class periods in the fall and spring each year to document teaching practices.

Nine hundred nineteen students (87 percent) provided data during the 1985 fall, when the initial students entered the fifth grade and 608 (58 percent) completed surveys again at the end of sixth grade. A self-report survey administered in the classroom to all consenting study participants measured perceived opportunities, skills, and rewards in the family and classroom, peer interactions, and problem behavior including substance use and delinquent behavior.

By the end of the second grade, boys in program classrooms were significantly less aggressive than boys in control classrooms. By the beginning of fifth grade, program students were significantly less likely to have initiated delinquent behavior and alcohol use than control students. By the end of sixth grade, boys **from** low income families had significantly greater academic achievement, better teacher-rated behavior and lower rates of delinquency than low income comparison students. Low-income program girls, in sixth grade, were significantly less likely to use tobacco and tended toward less alcohol and marijuana use than low-income control girls. On measures of family interaction, students who received the program reported significantly more proactive family management by their parents ( $p<.02$ ), as well as greater family communication ( $p<.02$ ) and involvement ( $p<.05$ ) than the control students. **In** addition, program students reported greater bonding to family ( $p<.02$ ) than control students. On measures of school bonding, program students perceived school as more rewarding ( $p<.02$ ) than did controls. **In** addition, program students were more attached ( $p<.02$ ) and committed ( $p<.02$ ) to school than control students.

## Critique

**This** is a well designed study that sheds some light on the effectiveness of programs that address common risk factors for both delinquency and drug abuse and seeks to build protective factors in the home and school. Findings suggest that the intervention can be implemented with enough fidelity to achieve significant differences in social bonding to family and school during the early elementary grades. In theory, the *Seattle Social Development Project* should reduce substance abuse and delinquent behaviors in adolescence. Sixth-grade data shows weak tendencies in that direction. Additional longitudinal data could confirm the theory.

## Evaluation Contact

J. David Hawkins, **PhD**  
Principal Investigator  
Seattle Social Development Project  
University of Washington  
School of Social Work  
Seattle, WA 98195  
PH: (206) 685-1997 /FAX: (206) 543-5407

# Social Competence Promotion

## Program Description

The *Social Competence Promotion Program for Young Adolescents (SCP)* was designed by Roger Weissberg and his colleagues at Yale University in collaboration with the New Haven, Conn. public schools over a period of 10 years. *SCP* is one component of a much larger K-12 effort known as the *New Haven Social Development Project*.

*SCP* uses a social-information processing framework to promote social competencies such as self-control, stress management, responsible decision-making, social-problem solving and communication skills. Other objectives include enhancing the quality of communication between school personnel and students and preventing antisocial and aggressive behavior, substance use and high-risk sexual behaviors.

*SCP* is a **45-session**, classroom-based program in three modules. The first module has 27 lessons and teaches students a six-step problem-solving process. The steps are: 1) stop, calm down and think before you act; 2) say the problem and how you feel; 3) set a positive goal; 4) think of lots of solutions; 5) think ahead to the consequences; and 6) **try** the best plan. Activities use the six steps and take place in a **45-minute** class period. Scripted lesson plans include direct instruction, class discussions of real-life problems, role play, cooperative and competitive games, videotapes and other visual aids and materials. The second and third modules consist of **nine** sessions each. They use a similar format and apply the six steps to the prevention of substance use and high-risk sexual behavior.

*SCP* integrates Hawkins's Protective Factor Model that suggests that even effectively providing these skills will fall short unless the people and systems with which young people interact are also addressed. To foster the application and generalization of *SCP* concepts to daily life, teachers use the **problem-solving** model in situations other than formal lessons, guiding and encouraging students to use the strategies beyond the classroom.

several schools have restructured their in-house **suspension** program to include the steps as a central **theme**. Although young adolescence encompasses the **ages** 10-15, *SCP* is most often used in regular, special and bilingual education in sixth grade.

## Services Available

Training manuals for the **three** *SCP* modules cost \$80 if **ordered** together, \$90 if purchased separately. Fax purchase requests to (312) 355-0559 or mail them to the address below. All orders must be prepaid.

## Implications for Practice

**Young** adolescence is a time of predictable **stressors** and dramatic life changes. Many of these involve resolving conflicts with peers, choosing appropriate friends, negotiating increased independence **from** parents and other social pressures. When a youth has **insufficient** coping mechanisms to negotiate these daily challenges, the result is often multiple high-risk behaviors that can interfere with development. *SCP* addresses common risk factors for negative social and health outcomes. This type of coordinated program fits the definition of comprehensive school health education as defined by the National Invitational Conference on Comprehensive School Health: "a planned program of experiences for students in grades K-12 which teaches important information, skills and positive attitudes toward the promotion of health and well-being. The curricula include instruction in the essentials of physical, mental, social and emotional health."

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	
	4 <sup>6</sup>	✓
	M	
	H	
	K <sup>12</sup>	
	S	

Locale	R	
	U	
	S	
	M	✓

Level	Cl	✓
	B	
	D	
	Co	

Components	C	✓
	St	✓
	Pe	
	Pa	
	M	
	P	
	Sk	✓
	Se	

Emphasis	K	✓
	A	✓
	N	
	B	✓

## Program Contact

Roger P. Weissberg, PhD  
University of Illinois at Chicago  
Department of Psychology  
1009 Behavioral Sciences Bldg.  
1007 West Harrison St.  
Chicago, IL 60607-7137  
PH: (312) 996-3036 / FAX: (312) 413-4122

# Social Competence Promotion

✓	E	Design
	Q	

✓	I	Analysis
	G	
	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	

	QI	Data
✓	Qn	
	B	

✓	F	Type
	S	
✓	O	
	P	

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

A 1992 study evaluated an earlier version of *SCP* containing **20 lessons in an** urban and suburban middle school. Classes were stratified within ability groupings and randomly assigned to program or control conditions. The urban sample consisted of 72 program and 134 control students (90 percent **African American**, 8 percent Hispanic, 2 percent mixed ethnic origin). The suburban sample consisted of 37 program and 39 control students (99 percent White, 1 percent was Hispanic). The curriculum was taught by both **master's-degree** level health educators and the classroom teacher during two **50-minute class** periods per week over a 15 week period. They received **12** hours of training prior to implementation and weekly on-site visits **from** program developers. Six units covered stress management, self-esteem, problem solving, substances and health information, assertiveness and social networks.

Students took a battery of confidential surveys at baseline and posttest. Dependent measures were coping skills, social and emotional adjustment, attitudes toward smoking and drinking, intentions and use/abuse of alcohol and drugs. Cronbach's alpha of internal reliability (range **.71-.82**) was acceptable for all measures but one, which was not used in the final analysis. Some of the data was coded by two independent raters with a satisfactory level of agreement for non-redundant responses (**kappa=.89**) and for effectiveness (**r=.81**). After the program, students also completed a program satisfaction questionnaire. Attrition was less than 20 percent in both groups. Teachers rated the students on a **five-**point social and emotional adjustment scale that considered constructive conflict resolution with peers, impulse control, popularity and assertiveness with adults.

Data analysis used repeated measures, multivariate analyses of variance (**MANOVAs** with **p<.05**), followed by univariate **ANOVAs** with the pretest scores as covariates. Findings revealed that the program students, relative to the control group, significantly improved in both the quantity and effectiveness of solutions generated in response to hypothetical peer pressure situations and the quantity and adaptiveness of stress management strategies **used** when anxious or upset. Teachers' ratings of program students also significantly improved on three of the four measures in some but not all classes.

Program students significantly improved in their feelings of problem-solving efficacy compared to the controls and this improvement was greatest among urban students.

Compared to the controls, program students were significantly less likely to intend to use beer and hard liquor despite increasing intentions in both groups pre to post to use cigarettes, marijuana and wine. There were no significant differences in the reported frequency of substance use, however changes in reported excessive use was significant. Control students increased their frequency of having three or more drinks on a single occasion, **frequency** of having too much to drink, and the amount of beer, wine, or liquor they usually consumed on one occasion whereas program students' behaviors did not change. Student satisfaction with the program was extremely high, greater than 90 percent, and students reported that they used the skills in their daily life.

Later evaluations of a 16-session version of the program with 238 adolescents in fifth to eighth grade in urban middle schools found similar results. Relative to the controls, program students improved their problem solving abilities and pro-social attitudes toward conflict resolution. Students showed the greatest improvements in classes where the program was implemented most effectively.

## Critique

**Although these** positive **findings** replicated earlier studies, some limitations include bias from self-report and inability to distinguish particular factors that contributed to positive outcomes in some classes but not others across diverse settings. Use of objective measures such as incidence of disciplinary actions to provide alternative outcome measures could strengthen the study as well as long-term follow-up studies to determine the duration of effects.

## Evaluation Contact

Roger P. Weissberg, PhD  
University of Illinois at Chicago  
Department of Psychology  
1009 Behavioral Sciences Bldg.  
1007 West Harrison St.  
Chicago, IL 60607-7137  
PH: (312) 996-3036 /FAX: (312) 413-4122



# Social Decision Making and Problem Solving

## Program Description

The University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey-Community Mental Health Center at Piscataway and Rutgers University worked jointly with local schools to develop the *Social Decision-Making and Problem Solving (SDMPS) Program* beginning in 1979.

The purpose of *SDMPS* is to develop children's social competence and general decision-making skills to cope with social stress **from** peers, make sound choices and avoid self-destructive behaviors. Classroom teachers implement the program in three phases, usually in one session per week, more frequently for children in special education.

Children in grades 1-3 learn self-control and social awareness skills including listening and concentrating, following directions, remembering, resisting provocations, avoiding provoking others and self-calming in addition to selecting friends and showing caring. Children in grades 4-6 learn the self-control and social awareness skills plus an eight-step, decision-making process. Facilitative questioning techniques help children become independent thinkers able to transfer and generalize skills to real life problems.

In 1988, the National Mental Health Association awarded *SDMPS* a Lela Rowland Prevention Award as an outstanding example of an effective prevention program. The U.S. Department of Education designated it as a validated program of the National **Diffusion** Network in 1989 and revalidated it in 1995 as an exemplary program. *SDMPS* has trained more than 2,500 teachers from 400 public and private sites in 20 states.

## Services Available

**Materials** include an awareness video, a program **newsletter** and a leaders guide for conducting parent meetings. The administrator's manual explains the **program's** theoretical and philosophical rationale and **includes** all the lessons for an elementary school **program**, troubleshooting strategies for dealing with **problems**, a program monitoring and evaluation plan, and reproducible handouts, posters and worksheets. A teacher's guide contains lessons needed to teach a **particular** grade and classroom posters.

**Three** days of training are available for classroom **teachers** and a site leadership committee on site or at a **regional** training center. Technical assistance is **available** for evaluation and program implementation.

## Implications for Practice

**Children** who have problems interacting with others are more likely to drop out of school and engage in delinquent or self-destructive behaviors. How well a **child** gets along with other children is an important **predictor** of adult functioning. Social and emotional **competency** facilitate learning and academic **achievement**. *SDMSP* helps students develop social **competence**, learn effective skills that students can use in many academic and **life** situations and acquire negotiation and stress management skills.

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	✓
	4 <sup>6</sup>	✓
	M	
	H	
	K <sup>12</sup>	
	S	✓

Locale	R	
	U	
	S	
	M	✓

Level	Cl	✓
	B	
	D	
	Co	

Components	C	✓
	St	✓
	Pe	
	Pa	✓
	M	
	P	
	Sk	✓
	Se	

Emphasis	K	✓
	A	✓
	N	
	B	✓

## Program Contact

John Clabby, PhD, Director  
Linda Breune, Senior Consultant  
Social Problem Solving / Decision Making Program  
UMDNJ, University Behavioral Health Care  
240 Stelton Road  
Piscataway, New Jersey 08854-3248  
PH: (908) 235-4939 /FAX: (908) 235-5 115

# Social Decision Making and Problem Solving

	E	Design
✓	Q	
✓	I	Analysis
	G	
	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	
	QI	Data
✓	Qn	
	B	
✓	F	Type
	S	
✓	O	
✓	P	

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

A 1981 study, conducted in a lower-middle to middle-class suburban community with a predominantly white, blue-collar population assessed the effectiveness of teacher training. Eight teachers responded to a series of hypothetical school-based, student interpersonal problem situations. Following training, teachers increased their use of strategies to foster problem-solving skills among student compared to the control group ( $p < .001$ ). A 1993 study used the same instrument with 49 teachers and **confirmed** the findings with even greater magnitude.

To determine whether children exposed to the program improved their social decision-making and problem solving skills, evaluators compared pre and post scores on a criterion-referenced, developmentally-appropriate instrument called "Getting Along with Others." Compared to control students, significantly more program students in the third grade could provide specific strategies for paying attention and could identify specific symptoms of personal stress and specific strategies to gain control of stressful situations. Teachers reported that students in the program made significant gains in **self-control**, sensitivity to others, positive behavior and peer acceptance. They required less teacher time to deal with problems compared to students who did not get the program. A replication study in 1993 conducted with urban, rural, minority and low-income students of varying academic abilities found similar results.

In other studies, **344** fourth-grade students responded to "The Group Social Problem Solving Assessment," (GSPSA) a reliable, criterion-referenced, developmentally-appropriate instrument with three sub-scales that measure interpersonal sensitivity, problem analysis and specificity of planning. The instrument's coefficient alphas were approximately **.75**. Following training, the fourth grade program group compared to the control group demonstrated significantly better knowledge of problem solving concepts ( $p < .01$ ), with particularly significant gains in sensitivity to others' feelings ( $p < .01$ ) and understanding of consequences ( $p < .05$ ).

To determine the persistence of training effect, **evaluators** compared students in middle school who had-received complete training in elementary school to **students** who had received partial training and no training using the GSPSA and "The Survey of Middle School **Stressors**." The **instrument** discriminated between these three groups ( $p < .04$ ). This instrument has an internal consistency coefficient greater than **.90** across different samples and has predicted Piers-Harris **self** concept scale scores. Additional studies confirmed that these effects persist through high school as measured by the National Youth Survey of Antisocial and Delinquent Behaviors and the Youth Self-Report Rating Scale.

## Critique

**Multiple** of this program have demonstrated that training **& proves** teachers' ability to facilitate children's social decision making and problem solving; students' exposure to the program improves their social decision making and problem-solving abilities; and the effects persist as students transition to middle school. Students in high school who received even partial training in elementary school had higher levels of positive pro-social behavior and decreased antisocial and self-destructive behavior than control students.

## Evaluation Contact

June Hampson, PhD  
UMDNJ University Behavioral HealthCare  
240 Stelton Road  
Piscataway, New Jersey 08854-3248  
PH: (908) 235-4939 /FAX: (908) 235-5115

# SPARK PE

## Program Description

Over a five-year period in the late 1980s, a team of researchers and educators from San Diego State University developed *Sports, Play and Active Recreation for Kids (SPARK PE)* with funding from the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute. The goal of SPARK PE is to increase elementary-aged children's physical activity levels both in and out of school. In 1994, the National Diffusion Network of the U.S. Department of Education validated SPARK PE through its program effectiveness panel. Over 150 schools in eight states and territories had adopted the program as of 1996.

SPARK PE seeks to improve students' physical activity, fitness, skills and enjoyment of physical activity as a means to reduce major risk factors for cardiovascular disease. Developmentally appropriate lesson plans designed for easy implementation maximize participation during physical education class time. The curriculum calls for a minimum of three physical education classes a week through the entire school year. Activities promote health-related fitness (i.e., development of muscle strength and endurance, cardiovascular endurance, flexibility, locomotor and non-locomotor skills) and skill-related fitness (i.e., manipulative and sport-related skills). In addition to lesson plans for physical education, the program includes self-management curricula to help upper-elementary students manage their own physical activity. The self-management lessons call for mobilizing parents and peers to create a supportive social environment that helps students maintain physical activity outside of school and after transition to middle school. A key to SPARK PE is training for those who will implement the program, either classroom teachers or physical education specialists.

## Services Available

Individual curricula cost \$59.95 for physical education materials (grade K-2 or grade 3-6) and \$49.95 each for self-management materials (grade 4-5 or grade 5-6). The set of all four costs \$175.95. The cost of training varies, with fifteen hours needed for the physical education curricula and six hours for the self-management curriculum.

## Implications for Practice

School physical education is the primary institution charged with promoting physical activity in children. Although 97 percent of elementary children participate in school physical education, few existing physical education programs provide sufficient levels of moderate to vigorous activity to promote cardiovascular endurance. SPARK PE promotes lifetime fitness using clear, practical, teacher-friendly lessons that progressively improve the major components of physical fitness. The lessons help teachers by reducing planning time, facilitating class management and organization, and improving teaching techniques. SPARK PE achieves the Healthy People 2000 objective 1.9 for moderate to vigorous activity in physical education classes.

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	✓
	4 <sup>6</sup>	✓
	M	
	H	
	K <sup>12</sup>	
	S	

Locale	R	
	U	
	S	
	M	✓

Level	CI	✓
	B	
	D	
	Co	✓

Components	C	✓
	St	
	Pe	✓
	Pa	✓
	M	
	P	
	Sk	✓
	Se	

Emphasis	K	
	A	
	N	
	B	✓

## Program Contact

Paul Rosengard, Educational Services Director  
Thorn McKenzie, PhD, Co-Director  
SPARK PE

6363 Alvarado Court, #250  
San Diego State University  
San Diego, CA 92 120

PH: (619) 594-4815, (800) SPARK-PE /FAX: (619) 594-8707

e-mail: [sparkpe@mail.sdsu.edu](mailto:sparkpe@mail.sdsu.edu)

Internet: <http://www.foundation.sdsu.edu/projects/spark/index.html>

# SPARK PE

✓	E	Design
	Q	
✓	I	Analysis
✓	G	
	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	
	QI	Data
✓	Qn	
	B	
	F	Type
✓	S	
✓	O	
✓	P	

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

Approximately 1,400 fourth-grade students (82 percent White, 12 percent Asian/Pa&c Islander, 4 percent Hispanic, 2 percent African American) in seven elementary schools in one school district, participated in the study. Schools were stratified by percentage of minority and randomly assigned to one of three conditions. Within strata, three schools served as controls using their standard physical education program, two received the program delivered by trained classroom teachers and two received the program led by physical education specialists. All students participated and 98 percent permitted measurement during the two-year study.

Certified assessors unrelated to the program used adaptations of the FITNESSGRAM protocols to obtain fitness measures in the fall and spring of each year for two years. They measured cardiorespiratory endurance, muscular strength and endurance, and flexibility by the sit and reach test. They also estimated body composition using  $\pi$ fold, along with height and weight measures. Inter-observer reliability was .87 for triceps and .93 for calf  $\pi$ fold. Subsequent analyses adjusted for baseline differences in mile-rim times and number of sit-ups by age and gender. For each fitness variable, evaluators used repeated measures modeling to estimate the rate at which a student's measurement changed per month during the **first** year of intervention. To test for differences in treatment effect, the slope of each student's estimated rate of change with "intercepts" of the student's baseline measurements, then added covariance by condition to the analysis of the slopes.

To obtain information on both the quality and quantity of instruction provided during physical education lessons, independent **observers** used the System for Observing Fitness Instruction. Measurements occurred during randomly selected weeks throughout the study. Observers conducted unannounced measurement visits unobtrusively as possible and interacted little with teachers. The observers measured activity level of randomly selected students, lesson context and teacher behavior every 20 seconds. Inter-observer reliability was **93.7, 96.1** and 89 percent, respectively, for student activity, lesson context and teacher behavior. One-way **ANOVA** used mean scores of aggregated lessons with classes/teachers as the unit of analysis.

Students in schools where physical education specialists implemented *SPARK PE* made significant gains in cardiovascular endurance over the other two groups. When classroom teachers implemented the program, students reduced **skinfold** thickness the most. Sit-up measures improved the most in specialist-led groups, but teacher-led groups also showed gains over the controls. Teacher-led groups, however, decreased in flexibility with respect to the other two groups and no groups improved in strength measures. Another analysis of the data revealed no differences in body composition for any group.

Process evaluation revealed that classroom teachers trained to implement *SPARK PE* provided **significantly** more physical education of a higher quality than did control teachers. Differences included frequency and length of classes; minutes children engaged in moderate-to-vigorous activity, fitness activities and skill drills; and minutes and proportion of class time teachers spent being instructionally active. Trained physical education specialists achieved even better outcomes than the trained classroom teachers. Students reported enjoying the activities and teachers evaluated *SPARK PE* **highly**.

## Critique

**This study** involved randomization of treatment by schools, very low attrition and implementation by both physical education specialists and classroom teachers reflecting the reality in elementary schools. Process and impact evaluation demonstrated effectiveness in increasing activity level during physical education classes, students' cardiorespiratory endurance and ability to perform sit-ups. *SPARK PE* did not show convincing evidence of increasing students' arm strength, flexibility or leanness. No longitudinal studies show whether students maintain gains later in life.

## Evaluation Contact

Thorn McKenzie, **PhD**  
 SPARK PE  
 6363 Alvarado Court, **#250**  
 San Diego State University  
 San Diego, CA 92120  
 PH: (619) 594-4815, (800) SPARK-PE  
 FAX: (619) 594-8707

# Straight Talk About Risks

## Program Description

Following two years of research and development, the Center to Prevent Handgun Violence implemented *Straight Talk About Risks (STAR)*, a gun violence prevention program for school-aged youth (**preK-12**) in 1992. *STAR*'s premise is that all U.S. children and teens are at risk for gun injury and death. *STAR* helps youth develop skills for victim prevention and managing problems such as **conflict** and peer pressure. Recently, STIR joined with Converse, Inc. and its athlete spokespersons to create The Converse *STAR Team Program*, which communicates **youth-generated** alternatives to gun violence through public service announcements, prevention brochures and leadership contests.

The *STAR curriculum* includes activities that can fit into health education and violence prevention programs, as well as traditional subject areas such as language arts or science. The prekindergarten to second-grade activities help students with conflict resolution, identification of safe places, and making safe choices. Activities for third through **fifth** grade help them learn decision-making skills and conflict resolution strategies. Activities also address **distinguishing** between real-life violence and those in the media, alternatives to gun violence, and grief and loss. At the sixth- through eighth-grade level, activities help students understand anger and conflict, including trigger actions and methods of de-escalating conflict. The **ninth- to 12th-grade** activities incorporate group work, use of reference materials and making presentations to teach how gun violence can impact lives and change society.

The Center to Prevent Handgun Violence is a national, nonprofit education, research and legal action organization, chaired by Sarah Brady. Over 77 municipalities, including New York, Los Angeles and Miami have used *STAR*.

## Services Available

A review copy of the **200-page** curriculum guide, available in both English and Spanish, costs \$13.90. *STAR* materials include skill-building activities, posters, handouts and awareness - materials that promote parent and community involvement; bibliographies; video presentations; **staff** development training materials and a national guide of complementary violence prevention programs. The Center to Prevent Handgun Violence provides: 1) an initial two-hour orientation session for school administrators, principals and parents; 2) a **six-hour** training session for teachers, guidance counselors, parents and others regarding program implementation; 3) technical support via site visits by Center **staff**, telephone or fax. The program is funded through private and public grants. Costs for schools vary according to need.

## Implications for Practice

Every day, 16 children in the United States die in gun homicides, suicides and unintentional shootings. Many more **suffer** injuries, some of which last a lifetime. Of the youth who live in high crime areas, 35 percent report carrying a gun to school. Several *Healthy People 2000* objectives (**7.3, 7.10, 7.11**) calls for reducing **weapons-related** violence.

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	
	4 <sup>6</sup>	
	M	
	H	
	K <sup>12</sup>	✓
	S	

Locale	R	
	U	
	S	
	M	✓

Level	CI	✓
	B	
	D	
	Co	✓

Components	C	✓
	St	✓
	Pe	
	Pa	
	M	✓
	P	
	Sk	✓
	Se	

Emphasis	K	✓
	A	✓
	N	✓
	B	✓

## Program Contact

**Nancy Gannon**

Director

Education Division

Center to Prevent Handgun Violence

1225 Eye Street, NW, Suite #1100

Washington, DC 20005

PH: (202) 289-7319 / FAX: (202) 408-1851

## Straight Talk About Risks

	E	Design
✓	Q	

	I	Analysis
	G	
✓	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	

✓	QI	Data
	Qn	
	B	

✓	F	Type
	S	
	O	
✓	P	

### Evidence of Program Effectiveness

**The New** Jersey State Department of Education in partnership with the Center to Prevent Handgun Violence conducted a demonstration project featuring the *STAR* program during the 1992-93 school year. Middle schools in 21 municipal districts volunteered to participate in the project and involved 110 educators and more than 7,000 students. Students came **from** rural, suburban and urban communities. The process evaluation of the program's implementation used a survey and a case study approach.

School personnel received orientation and those involved in implementation attended a six-hour training session. Center personnel visited school sites to observe classroom implementation and conducted structured interviews regarding program implementation plans or concerns. The 14 structured interviews revealed that seven schools had incorporated *STAR* activities into existing prevention programs such as *Drug Abuse Resistance Education (DARE)*, or health or life skills programs. **An** additional two schools used ***STAR*** as a stand-alone prevention program. One school planned to offer the program as a stand-alone course for seventh and eighth grade. Another school offered an "Awareness Day" to students using elements of the *STAR curriculum* prior to beginning full program implementation. Two schools reported encountering resistance to ***STAR*** **from** principals, faculty or with members **from** the school board or community. Evaluators concluded that for effective implementation, program advocates needed to spend time and resources publicizing *STAR*'s prevention messages to school and community leaders in order to offset concerns that *STAR* is a politically or socially charged program.

At the end of the school year, 51 respondents (61 percent of the education professionals who participated in the program) completed surveys. Of the five questions that pertained directly to *STAR* all received a "favorable" response with the exception of "The *STAR* program was well received by my school community, including parents."

**Forty-seven percent** (~~47 percent~~) were noncommittal, 10 percent disagreed and 43 percent agreed.

Qualitative data included comments of praise, constructive criticism and innovative ideas. **In** several cases, schools utilized *STAR* activities and resources and then continued with their own "booster" programs in school and the community.

Evaluation materials provided reported on a formative evaluation conducted in Los Angeles by The Education Development Center (EDC). EDC, under contract, found gains in knowledge, attitude and skills development among third- to **fifth-graders**. Among sixth- to eighth-graders, EDC found knowledge, attitude and skills gains in *STAR* schools that were less than those in the control schools; changes for ninth- to **12th-graders** were nonsignificant. The evaluation materials provided no specific information about the research design, instruments, data collection or analysis and no specific data regarding this study.

### Critique

The process evaluation of the *STAR* program was informal and provided limited information due to its small sample size and lack of control groups. The Center plans to conduct in-depth student outcome research as phase three of a multi-year **evaluation** process. The prevention of gun violence among youth is a complicated and challenging issue, especially in large urban public school districts. *STAR* shows promise as a popular program that addresses a critical health issue.

### Evaluation Contact

Alicia Horton, JD, MPH  
Associate Director  
Education Division  
Center to Prevent Handgun Violence  
1225 Eye Street NW, Suite 1100  
Washington, DC 20005  
PH: (202) 289-73 19 /FAX: (202) 408-1851

# Students Taught Awareness and Resistance

## Program Description

*Students Taught Awareness and Resistance (STAR)* is the instructional component of the *Midwestern Prevention Project (MPP)*, a multi-year drug abuse prevention program. Components of the *MPP* include programs for schools, parents, community organizations, and the mass media. By targeting sixth and seventh graders, the program strives to reduce the use of gateway drugs such as tobacco, alcohol and marijuana in early adolescence and, in later years, other illicit substances.

Grants from the National Institute of Drug Abuse supported development and evaluation of the *MPP*. The program derives from social learning theory, theories of behavior change and developmental transition, transactional and systems theories of environmental change and communication theories of program delivery in communities. The entire early adolescent population of the Kansas City, Mo. metropolitan area has participated in the program since September 1984. The school component, called *STAR*, consists of 10 sessions that emphasize resistance skills training and counteractive drug, use influences. *STAR*'s topics include psychosocial consequences of drug use; drug use prevalence; recognizing and countering adult, media and community influences; resistance to peer and environmental pressures; assertiveness; problem solving; and publicly committing to substance avoidance. It also includes five homework activities involving interviews and role plays with family members.

The program can be delivered in health, science or social studies classes by trained teachers. It includes modeling, peer feedback and practice in and out of class. The mass media component of the program includes news clips, commercials, talk shows, press conferences and a student video contest. The parent component focuses on positive communication skills and a review of school policies.

Community support activities include organizing a drug abuse prevention task force, awarding and recognizing program participants and promoting school and community policies aimed at restricting drug use.

## Services Available

The curriculum component *STAR*, is in the process of being revised and will not be available until fall 1998. A social competence/resiliency program for fourth- and Fifth-grade student called *Bright STARTS* is being tested and will be available in the near future.

## Implications for Practice

Alcohol and drug-free schools are a national education goal. Unfortunately, between 1991-95, 24 to 36 percent of 10th graders reported that they had used an illicit drug during the previous year. The attempted sale of drugs at school increased dramatically from 1992-95. By reducing tobacco and marijuana use among adolescents, *STAR* addresses several *Healthy People 2000* objectives (3.5, 3.10, 4.5, 4.9, 4.10, 4.13). Programs such as *MPP* strengthen the role of schools and communities in drug use prevention by providing a community-wide approach.

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	
	4 <sup>6</sup>	
	M	✓ 9/9
	H	
	K <sup>12</sup>	9/1
	S	

Locale	R	
	U	✓
	S	✓
	M	

Level	Cl	
	B	
	D	
	Co	

Components	C	✓
	St	
	Pe	
	Pa	✓
	M	✓
	P	
	Sk	✓
	Se	

Emphasis	K	✓
	A	✓
	N	✓
	B	✓

## Program Contact

Dr. Sadina Rothspan

Project Manager

Institute for Health Promotion and Disease Prevention Research

Department of Preventive Medicine

University of Southern California

1441 Eastlake Ave. MS44

Los Angeles, CA 90033-0800

PH: (213) 764-0325

# Students Taught Awareness and Resistance

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

Researchers from the University of Southern California and the University of Illinois at Chicago began a longitudinal evaluation of **MPP** in the Kansas City Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area in 1984. Sixth- and seventh-grade students from all 50 middle and junior high schools participated (**N=5,378**). The study collected data from a sample of those students. An equal number of male and female students were sampled, most were White and 78.9 percent were in the seventh grade.

The **MPP** consisted of four components, **STAR**, a parent involvement component, community interventions and use of the mass media. Fifteen schools in Kansas City were randomly assigned to program or control conditions. Program schools received all four components of **MPP**; those in the control condition received only community and mass media components. A total of 65 teachers from 27 program schools implemented **STAR**, the school component of **MPP**. The study assessed **MPP's** effectiveness for both high and low risk students in the randomly assigned schools with annual measurement through three years after program completion. In addition to testing the program's effects, the study revealed that the major predictors of alcohol, tobacco or marijuana use three years hence were use of alcohol or tobacco in sixth grade, friends' use of drugs, parents' use of drugs and age.

Measures included a student drug use survey administered one-and-a-half months prior to the start of the program and annually for three years, a teacher questionnaire (administered immediately after the program) and a biochemical measure designed to increase the accuracy of self-reported smoking. In addition, a research staff member made random observations in each school and periodic phone calls and meetings with teachers and principals.

A multiple logistic regression analysis revealed that the program reduced the prevalence of monthly cigarette smoking and marijuana use at the ninth and 10th grade levels three years after program delivery, but had no significant effect on alcohol use. As expected, prevalence rates for all three substances increased over time, but the rate of increase for tobacco and marijuana use was less for adolescents in program schools than for those in control schools. The program was equally effective in reducing drug use prevalence in both high and low risk populations.

## Critique

The design, length of follow-up and statistics used made this a very strong study. Thus the findings that **STAR**, the school-based component, reduces tobacco and marijuana use three years later have a high degree of confidence. Reliability measures of the instruments and the use of a "bogus pipeline" to ensure validity strengthen the findings. A replication study in Indianapolis suggest generalization to other Midwestern U.S. sites. No data indicates whether **STAR** would be equally effective in locations with large numbers of students of Hispanic or Asian American decent. It did not reduce alcohol use and measures did not examine its effectiveness in reducing problem use versus social and experimental use.

What does this refer to?

## Evaluation Contact

Dr. Sadina Rothspan

Project Manager

Institute for Health Promotion Disease Prevention Research

Department of Preventive Medicine

University of Southern California

144 I Eastlake Ave. MS44

Los Angeles, CA 90033-0800

PH: (213) 764-0325

✓	E	Design
	Q	

✓	I	Analysis
	G	
	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	

	QI	Data
	Qn	
✓	B	

	F	Type
	S	
✓	O	
	P	

^



# Success For All

## Program Description

*Success for All (SFA)* was developed by Robert E. **Slavin** and his colleagues at Johns Hopkins University to improve the reading and writing skills of at-risk children aged 4-10. *SFA* was **started** in 1987 at an urban elementary school in Baltimore, Md. By 1996 it was in approximately 300 schools in 70 districts in 24 states, including large urban and small rural districts. Most program sites are low-income, Title I schools. *SFA* is typically funded by reallocation of existing Title I/Chapter I, state compensatory education and special education funds. In order for a school to adopt an *SFA* program, 80 percent or more of all teachers must vote for adoption.

The program's purpose is to bring all children to grade-level standards in basic skills by the third grade. The *SFA* program begins with intensive one-to-one tutoring by certified teachers and paraprofessionals for **first-grade** students having reading problems. The tutoring consists of **20-minute** sessions outside of reading and math teaching periods. The tutors also assist during regular reading class.

The phonically-based reading curriculum emphasizes the development of oral language and uses thematic units. The "Story Telling and Retelling" component involves students listening to, retelling and dramatizing children's literature. When children reach the primer reading level, they spend approximately 90 minutes daily in multi-age groups of students with similar reading skills. In cooperative learning teams students explore story structure, prediction, summarization, vocabulary building, decoding and writing. Every eight weeks students are assessed for progress and needs.

"Family Support Teams," which consists of a Title I parent liaison, principal, counselor, teacher and other support **staff** work with parents and social service agencies to insure children attend school, have access to medical services, get assistance with behavioral problems **and** obtain other needed services.

## Services Available

**Certified** teachers and tutors receive three days of in-service training before the start of the program and several follow-up visits and workshops throughout the school year. Other than personnel, typical costs for full-scale implementation include \$20,000 for materials and \$14,000 for 20 days of training, plus travel, during the **first** year. Reading materials are available in English and Spanish.

## Implications for Practice

Reading is a fundamental academic skill and critical for a student's success in school. Research suggests that early poor performance in reading and retention in the same grade are good predictors of which students will drop out of school. Failure to succeed in school predicts multiple high-risk health behaviors such as substance abuse as well as violent and delinquent behavior. This pattern persists despite students' later enrollment in remedial or special education programs. The *SFA* program is a primary-prevention program that coordinates academic **and human** services to insure that every child will succeed in school.

Audience	P
	K <sup>3</sup> ✓
	4 <sup>6</sup> ✓
	M
	H
	K <sup>12</sup>
	S

Locale	R
	U
	S
	M✓

Level	CI✓
	B
	D
	Go✓

Components	C✓
	St✓
	Pe
	Pa✓
	M
	P
	Sk✓
	Se

Emphasis	K
	A
	N
	B✓

## Program Contact

Barbara Coppersmith  
Success For All Program  
Johns Hopkins University  
3505 North Charles St.  
Baltimore, MD 21218  
PH: (800)548-4998 /FAX: (410) 5 16-8890

# Success For All

	E	Design
✓	Q	
✓	I	Analysis
	G	
✓	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	
	Ql	Data
✓	Qn	
	B	
	F	Type
✓	S	
✓	O	
	P	

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

**Six** schools in Baltimore and Philadelphia, Pa. and one in Charleston, S.C. have participated in a **seven-** year multi-site longitudinal evaluation. As new sites have adopted the program, new cohorts have been added using a common evaluation design and the data pooled. To date, a total of 23 schools in eight states and approximately 4,000 students have participated in the program evaluation. Most students were African American, 75 percent to 96 percent of whom were eligible for subsidized lunches. Program schools were matched by reading performance, socioeconomic status, and **ethnicity** with comparison schools that generally taught standard reading programs.

Beginning in K-1, trained professionals, not linked to the project and who were unaware of school status, assessed children with a high rate of inter-rater reliability. Assessors used the Peabody Picture Vocabulary Tests, the Woodcock **Reading** Mastery Test and Durrell Analysis of Reading **Difficulty**. Older students **in** fourth or **fifth** grade completed the Gray Oral Reading Test. Analyses of covariance (**ANCOVAs**) with pretests as covariants compared raw scores in all evaluations. Tests compared cohort means for experimental and control schools. Separate analyses were conducted for all students and for those in the lower 25 percent of their grades based on the Peabody test.

Findings revealed statistically significant positive effects for program students compared to controls on every measure at every grade level **from** first to **fifth**. The effects were particularly large for students who were in the lowest 25 percent of their classes. In addition, trend analysis revealed that mean reading effect size progressively increased with each year of implementation. Teachers' increased experience with program implementation, ongoing professional development and coaching, or early, lasting effects of the prekindergarten or kindergarten program might account for the increases.

In **one school**, *SFA* was adapted to meet the needs of children who spoke Cambodian or other Southeast Asian languages. The program integrated the ESL programs with that of the reading program. A **cross-** grade tutoring program enabled **fifth-grade** students to help kindergarten children succeed in the English program.

**Compared** to a matched control school, the Asian **students** in *SFA* significantly outperformed on every measure at every grade level (**p<.001**) as did the **non-Asian** students in the program school. Another study looked at the Spanish version of *Success for All*, *Lee Conmigo*. **ANCOVAs** controlling for pretests showed that at the end of second grade, *SFA* students scored **substantially** higher than did control students on every measure (**p.<01** or better).

*SFA* shares many similarities with *Reading Recovery*, **one** of the most extensively researched and widely **used** innovations in elementary education. Each **employs** tutoring by certified teachers and emphasizes 'learning to read by reading' as well as metacognitive skills. However, *SFA* tutoring sessions are shorter (**20 vs. 30 minutes**) and are coordinated with the normal **reading** classes. An evaluation comparing these two **programs** in one rural school district found that the **programs** were comparable for most students but that **special** education students **benefitted** more from *SFA*.

Evaluations of *SFA* in Baltimore have found positive **effects** on attendance. Retention in grade decreased **from an average** of 11 percent in grades K-3 to near **zero**. Avoiding retention is a policy of *SFA* rather than **an** outcome of the program. In 1992-93, a pilot **started** to ease the transition to middle school for **students** graduating **from** *SFA* elementary schools.

## Critique

**Although the** study did not involve random assignment **of** students to program or control conditions, the **quasi-experimental**, longitudinal design is robust. *SFA* **requires** schools to make a serious commitment through policies and funding. This comprehensive program demonstrates that schools and teachers serving disadvantaged youth can, with volunteer tutors and extra resources, provide students with the information and skills they need to succeed in school.

## Evaluation Contact

Robert E. **Slavin**, PhD  
Johns Hopkins University  
3505 North Charles Street  
Baltimore, MD 21218  
PH: (410) **516-8816** / FAX: (410) 516-8890

# Systematic Screening for Behavior Disorders

## Program Description

Dr. Hill M. Walker of the University of Oregon and Dr. Herbert H. **Severson** of the Oregon Research Institute developed the *Systematic Screening for Behavior Disorders (SSBD)* program over a five-year period beginning in 1984. *SSBD* identifies students in grades K-6 who are at elevated risk for developing behavior problems. *SSBD* provides classroom teachers with uniform behavior standards to use in identifying students "at-risk," referring them at a stage when intervention is most cost effective.

Assumptions underlying *SSBD* include: 1) classroom teachers can identify children in need of services; 2) teachers in least restrictive environments are more likely to over refer students with aggressive, antisocial behavior (externalizing) and under refer passive, shy, timid (internalizing) students; 3) classroom teachers' judgements followed by direct observation by mental health professionals is the most efficient way to assess student behavior; 4) screening procedures can be undemanding without **sacrificing** quality; and 5) two of the major developmental tasks of students that can serve as important indicators of age-appropriate norms are participation in academic tasks and relating **to peers**.

*SSBD* employs three increasingly discriminating screening criteria. In Stage I, teachers rank groups of 10 **children on** behavior disorder profiles. The top three students on the lists then move to stage two. Stage II involves rating students according to severity, **frequency** and content of behavior problems using three instruments. Only those students who exceed *SSBD* screening stage II criteria progress to Stage III. Those who do not meet the cutoff become candidates for intervention with teacher assistance teams. In Stage III, counselors, school psychologists or other nonteaching professionals observe students in the classroom and the playground for **fifteen** minutes on two separate occasions on **different** days. Students who exceed normative criteria for their age and gender are then referred to a child study team for **further** evaluation. The developers also have a preschool version for ages 3-5 called the *Early Screening Project* and an intervention system for antisocial kindergarten children entitled, *First Steps*.

Over 20 different sites in Oregon, **Washington**, Utah, Illinois, **Michigan**, **Kentucky**, **Florida**, **Texas** and **Rhode Island** have tested *SSBD*. The U.S. Department of Education's Program Effectiveness Panel has approved it as a validated program for distribution by the National **Diffusion** Network.

## Services Available

Awareness materials are available **free** of charge. A user guide, administrative manual, technical manual, observer training manual, video and materials packet are available. Costs to a district adopting the program involve the purchase of material @ **195** and training \$400 per **day**. Consumable products are minimal and the program **requires** no special staff, equipment or facilities.

## Implications for Practice

Public Law 94-142, the Education for all Handicapped Children Act of 1975 and its reauthorization, Public Law 10 I-476 (IDEA) requires public school systems to identify and provide intervention programs and services to all eligible children with disabilities. Children with behavior disorders are consistently ranked by educators as one of the highest service priorities among all the handicapping conditions currently served by the public school system. *SSBD* is a cost-effective tool to identify children early in their school careers whose future achievement and adjustment might **suffer** without preventive remediation services.

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	✓
	4 <sup>6</sup>	✓
	M	
	H	
	K <sup>12</sup>	
	S	

Locale	R	
	U	
	S	
	M	✓

Level	CI	✓
	B	
	D	
	Co	

Components	C	
	St	✓
	Pe	
	Pa	
	M	
	P	
	Sk	✓
	Se	

Emphasis	K	✓
	A	
	N	
	B	✓

## Program Contact

Rebecca Williamson  
Project Director  
1140 Boston Avenue  
Longmont, CO 80501  
PH:(303)651-1751

# Systematic Screening for Behavior Disorders

	E	Design
✓	Q	
✓	I	Analysis
	G	
	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	
	QI	Data
	Qm	
✓	B	
	F	Type
	S	
	O	
✓	P	

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

Oregon and Washington school districts conducted the initial development and field trials of *SSBD*. Multiple studies assessed the reliability and validity of the *SSBD* process as a screening tool. Inter-rater reliability among trained classroom teachers for stage I screening ranged from  $r = .89$  to  $.94$ . The inter-rater reliabilities of the two *SSBD* stage III observation codes ranged from  $.9$  to  $.99$  for the academic time on task code and  $.80$  to  $.99$  on the peer social behavior/playground code. Test/retest reliability using Spearman rank order coefficients for stage I rankings averaged  $.76$  for externalizing and  $.74$  for internalizing dimensions. Separate correlations for the Stage II rating scales averaged  $.88$  and  $.83$ . Measures correctly classified 89 percent and 84 percent of the subjects, respectively, as externalizers, internalizers or non-ranked controls. Discriminate and concurrent validity compared *SSBD* with other well known measures such as the Achenbach Child Behavior Checklist.

The current study examined whether achieved outcomes matched those in prior research and compared the amount of time spent implementing *SSBD* to existing referral and assessment procedures. Three comparison schools in the same district in Utah were involved. Schools were equivalent by socioeconomic status and student achievement. The entire teaching faculty from the program schools received training in Stages I and II assessment. Eighteen Stage III observers received three hours of training using videotapes. They worked with a calibration observer until they achieved a minimum of 80 percent inter-observer agreement before collecting data on their own.

Fifty-eight program teachers completed Stage I screening for 1,446 first- to fifth-grade students. Those teachers identified 475 (33 percent) students in Stage II and referred 225 (16 percent) for observation. The Stage III assessors observed each referred child twice for at least 12 minutes on the playground and in the classroom during independent seat-work time following structured reading, mathematics, social studies, or language periods. Observers stopped the stopwatch whenever the child's behavior did not meet the criteria of academic engagement and restarted it when the student resumed. Time on task was calculated by dividing the actual engaged time by the total amount of time observed.

Similar procedures were followed for playground observations. Over 900 observations were made with average inter-observer agreement 95 percent. The top two students for both externalizing and internalizing behaviors in each classroom were evaluated for services. To compare *SSBD* with the comparison schools' standard procedures, evaluators reviewed files of 58 students with behavioral disorders, considering all required forms and data used for certification purposes. They estimated the time necessary to accomplish each item or task in the procedure based on an assessment matrix developed by the school following Utah regulations and guidelines for determining special education eligibility. The comparable analysis for the amount of time required for the *SSBD* procedure included the professional time involved in all three *SSBD* stages and the time involved in making assessment and placement decisions based on that information.

The study results confirmed that *SSBD* is a reliable procedure for systematically screening and identifying elementary school students potentially at risk for either internalizing or externalizing behavior disorders. Studies also documented that it is an accurate screening and identification procedure that discriminates students with potential behavior disorders in regular classrooms. *SSBD* required less professional time than traditional referral and assessment processes. Teachers preferred it as an initial screening device and felt that it was more equitable than traditional methods because it considered all children's possible need for special services.

## Critique

The evaluation studies demonstrated the reliability and validity of *SSBD* in identifying young students needing special services. No studies have followed students referred to determine long-term benefits of early referrals and interventions based on this system.

## Evaluation Contact

Dr. Herbert H. Severson  
Oregon Research Institute  
1715 Franklin Blvd.  
Eugene, OR 97403  
PH: (541) 484-212

# Teen Talk

## Program Description

*Teen Talk* is a pregnancy prevention curriculum for multiracial adolescents aged 13-19. Developed by Dr. Marvin Eisen in the early 1980s and based on the Health Belief Model and social learning theory, the program addresses adolescents' awareness of the chance of becoming pregnant or causing a partner to become pregnant; the negative personal consequences of teenage pregnancy; and the personal and interpersonal benefits of delayed or protected intercourse. It also seeks to decrease participants' perceptions of barriers to abstinence and consistent contraceptive use.

Content of the **12- to 15-hour** curriculum covers reproductive physiology, contraceptive methods and their effectiveness. Small group discussions also help teens understand and personalize the risks and consequences of unplanned pregnancy. Other strategies include games, role plays and trigger **films** that show teenage actors involved in sexual **decision-making**, and practice of refusal skills. Participants generate their own scripts for role-playing key sexual and contraceptive-related situations. The authors recommend two days of **staff** development for those who teach the curriculum with an emphasis on **effective** group discussion skills and current content.

Sociometrics, **Inc.** selected *Teen Talk* for its Program Archive on Sexuality, a collection of promising prevention programs. The Texas Department of **Human** Services, the University of Texas at Austin, the Hogg Foundation for Mental Health, the William and Flora Hewlett Foundation and the National Institutes of Health supported the development and evaluation of *Teen Talk*.

## Services Available

The program is available through Sociometrics, Inc. at a **cost** of \$195 and includes all student materials, a training manual and videos for discussion leaders, **pre/posttests**, a directory of local evaluators and technical support by phone for one year.

## Implications for Practice

Unplanned pregnancies among adolescents have concerned public officials since the 1960s. Since the discovery of AIDS in 1982, sexuality education has taken on a new urgency. Few events are as life-changing as pregnancy or a diagnosis of HIV infection. *Healthy People* 2000 objectives 5.1 and 5.2 address the issues of adolescent unintended pregnancies. Objectives **5.4, 5.5, 5.6** and **5.7** are risk reduction objectives that focus on reducing the **frequency** of sexual activity, and increasing the use of effective contraception. Objective 5.8 encourages parents to discuss their sexual values with their children and schools to provide sexuality education.

Numerous programs have attempted to delay sexual activity or increase contraceptive **and condom** use among adolescents. Effective **curriculum** <sup>generally</sup> teach specific skills, are derived **from** a theoretical **framework**, address peer norms and provide opportunities to practice skills in a structured environment. *Teen Talk* meets these criteria **and** seems to be especially effective with sexually-active **males** - a group that is often **difficult** to reach and likely to be resistant to change.

Audience	P
	K <sup>3</sup>
	4 <sup>6</sup>
	M✓
	H✓
	K <sup>12</sup>
	S

Locale	R
	U
	S
	M✓

Level	CI✓
	B
	D
	Co

Components	C✓
	St✓
	Pe
	Pa
	M
	P
	Sk✓
	Se

Emphasis	K✓
	A✓
	N
	B✓

## Program Contact

Dr. Marvin Eisen  
Sociometrics Corporation  
170 State Street, Suite 260  
Los Altos, CA 94022-28 12  
PH: (415)949-3282

# Teen Talk

✓	E	Design
	Q	

✓	I	Analysis
	G	
	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	

	Ql	Data
✓	Qn	
	B	

	F	Type
	S	
✓	O	
	P	

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

*Teen Talk* was developed and field tested in the early 1980s as a small-scale pilot program without a comparison group. Encouraging results led to a larger evaluation in 1986. Seven agencies in Texas and California that offered family planning services and one school district agreed to participate. The agencies ranged from an urban Planned Parenthood **affiliate** to a rural health clinic. Agencies recruited youth by their usual means, then randomly assigned them to either the *Teen Talk* program or a comparison curriculum. The school involved eighth- and ninth-grade students.

The sample consisted of 1,444 youth (52 percent female; 24 percent African American; 15 percent White, 53 percent Hispanic and 8 percent Asian). Researchers used a standardized, pretested instrument during individual interviews to collect baseline and follow-up data. In addition to demographic information, the instrument assessed sexuality-related knowledge, attitudes, beliefs, intentions and behaviors. With a mean age of 15.5 at pretest, 37 percent were sexually-experienced and nearly **half reported** using contraception at their last intercourse (74 percent used a condom). Over half (67 percent ) reported previous sexuality education. There were no significant differences between groups with respect to demographics, background, beliefs, **knowledge** or previous sexual behavior.

Both curricula covered reproductive biology, contraception, **STDs**, and sexual decision-making. In addition, *Teen Talk* focused on the four major conceptual components of the health belief model and used more extensive role plays and active involvement of students. Of those surveyed at baseline, 92 percent received all or part of the programs and completed Time 2 measures, a pencil-and-paper test of knowledge and beliefs. At Time 3, a year later, staff re-interviewed 888 youth representing 62 percent of the original sample and 67 percent of the Time 2 sample. Multivariate analyses revealed that program participants had significantly greater knowledge than the comparison group on the immediate **posttest** but did not **differ** on health beliefs.

Among the 567 youth who were virgins at pretest and who completed all three surveys, 167 (29.5 percent ) reported becoming sexually active by follow-up one year later.

In addition, 39 of the 262 youth (15 percent) who **were** sexually experienced at pretest reported no **sexual** intercourse during the follow-up period. There **were** no differences by program type or age group. **Females** were more likely to remain abstinent than **males** (77 percent vs. 61 percent, **p<.001**). Female **virgins** at pretest who participated in *Teen Talk* and **became** sexually active were less likely to use an **effective** contraceptive method at most recent **intercourse** than were those in the comparison group, who **relied** heavily on condoms (60 percent ). It was **unclear** whether this was due to the program or to **their partner's** choices. Among females in both **conditions**, those who used contraceptives consistently at pretest, those who had sex education before and those who reported fewer perceived barriers to birth control use were more likely to continue contraception at follow-up. Among sexually-experienced males, both groups improved their use of contraception but those exposed to *Teen Talk* improved significantly more, with other variables controlled.

In summary, prior sexual experience and gender mediated outcomes of the curriculum. Sexually-active females in both groups improved their use of contraception. Males participating in *Teen Talk* improved their contraceptive practices more than those in the comparison groups, although both groups improved. The evaluators concluded that prevention programs might need tailoring for specific groups.

## Critique

**This** study revealed few differential effects for the program. In one instance, the participants in the comparison group showed more favorable behaviors than those in the program group. Because the comparison groups also received sexuality education of comparable length, their intervention might have resembled the treatment, reducing any detectable effects of *Teen Talk*.

## Evaluation Contact

Dr. Marvin Eisen  
Sociometrics Corporation  
170 State Street, Suite 260  
Los Altos, CA 94022-28 12  
PH: (415)949-3282

# Wary Walker

## Program Description

Wary Walker is a pedestrian safety program for **elementary-school** children developed by Harborview Injury Prevention and Research Center with support **from** the Maternal and Child Health Bureau and the National Highway **Traffic** Safety Administration. The program has two components – a school-based K-3 curriculum consisting of five **40-minute** classroom sessions and an outdoor field day and a two-part activity workbook to be completed at home with the family. During the outdoor field day, students are videotaped crossing a street, then watch themselves in the classroom to critique their safe crossing behavior.

The K-1 curriculum teaches the basics of crossing the street safely and **obeying** traffic signs and signals. The activity workbook encourages parents to go with their children and identify “**safe**” places to walk, play and cross the street. Given the developmental limitations of young children, the workbook focuses on activities such as identifying the edge of the road when there is no sidewalk or curb, teaching about “go zones” (safe places to walk), and looking the driver in the eye before crossing the street. Classroom activities include songs, dances, games and “real life” practice. The workbook for second to third grade focuses on problem-solving situations such as crossing the street safely at a blind spot and at a corner. Children and their families design “safe maps” to school, the baby-sitter’s home and a friend’s house.

The program teaches specific skills that counter the most common causes of pedestrian crashes for elementary children: stopping at the edge, searching left-right-left for **traffic**, searching while crossing, searching around visual barriers, and communicating with motorists. The course also provides opportunities to deal with some ambiguous circumstances faced by young pedestrians. For example, students learn how to find the safest place to cross when there is no crosswalk, what to do if a car comes down the street after they have already started to cross and how to **find** out if the driver of a stopped car sees them before they begin to cross.

The program involves the community by using a **multi-Faceted** approach to solve the problem of pedestrian injuries and deaths. Materials suggest ways to include the school’s parent organizations, media and community **educators** in a safe pedestrian campaign. The curriculum also encourages teachers to look at public education, **engineering** and enforcement strategies to assure a safe pedestrian **environment**.

## Services Available

**The curriculum**, which costs \$75, contains lessons, posters, parent-child activity books, slides, photocopy masters of activity and coloring books, videotapes and audiotapes of songs. Other materials include a *Wary Walker and the Careful Crossers* coloring book, brochures, flyers, posters, public service announcements, instructions for a pedestrian **safety** rodeo, parent and youth group guide, and community guide.

## Implications for Practice

Unintentional death is the leading cause of death among elementary school-aged children in the United States. Automotive crashes involving children as passengers or pedestrians account for the majority of such injuries. *Healthy People 2000* calls for reducing deaths caused by motor vehicle crashes, including those to pedestrians. The target for pedestrian fatalities is a reduction **from** 3.1 deaths per 1000 vehicle miles traveled to 2.7 deaths per 1000 miles. Currently, cars hit an estimated 500,000 children annually. Street crossing involves a complex series of actions with as many as 25 discrete tasks. Children require training to safely maneuver in **traffic** areas. School-based education programs such as *Wary Walker* can promote a safer pedestrian environment for children.

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	✓
	4 <sup>6</sup>	
	M	
	H	
	K <sup>12</sup>	
	S	

Locale	R	
	U	
	S	
	M	✓

Level	Cl	✓
	B	
	D	
	Co	

Components	C	✓
	St	
	Pe	
	Pa	✓
	M	
	P	
	Sk	✓
	Se	

Emphasis	K	✓
	A	
	N	
	B	✓

## Program Contact

**Luann Ambrosio**  
Harborview Injury Prevention and Research Center  
325 Ninth Ave., 2X-10  
Seattle, WA 98 104-2499  
PH: (206) 521-1534 /FAX: (206) 521-1562

# Wary Walker

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

**Three** public elementary schools in Seattle, Wash. participated in field tests of the program during **two** academic years. Seattle public schools serve approximately 20,600 elementary children: 46 percent white, 25 percent black, 21 percent Asian, 6 percent **Latino** and 3 percent Native American. Approximately 40 percent live with one parent and 40 percent receive free or reduced-price lunches. Selected schools had high pedestrian injury areas, adequate class sizes, substantial numbers of children walking home from school and interest in the program. A pedestrian safety specialist implemented the program. The children received six training sessions, including two outdoor practice sessions, and a follow-up assembly to review procedures.

The evaluation assessed four street crossing behaviors by observing 229 students 10 days before and **10** days after **Wary Walker** training. Observation sites were not protected by a crossing guard or student patrol and were used frequently by ~~children and the~~ students had no **knowledge** of the observations. Observations were made of the same children **pre/post-intervention**. Children's performances were compared with their own pre-training performances, rather than with their peers. This unique approach helped offset the small number of children observed.

Before training nearly all children walked on the sidewalk, fewer than 50 percent stopped at the curb, 25 percent searched and less than 20 percent kept looking. The program had its greatest impact on the proportion of children who continued to look for cars while crossing. At baseline 10 to 18 percent of children looked, whereas post program, three times that number continued to look (**p<.01**). During the second year, the parent component **was added** resulting in significant increases in **all children** who looked before crossing. The program slightly improved **the** proportion of older children who stopped at the curb, but did not improve the proportion of younger children who did so.

**Researchers** concluded that children's pedestrian skills can be improved somewhat, but that education **programs** must be part of a broader effort if **pedestrian** injuries are to decrease. Facilities' design, **public** awareness and enforcement of laws must **accompany** the education effort.

## Critique

The evaluation report provided no information about inter-rater reliability. All observations took place near the school which might not represent a child's behavior elsewhere. Nonetheless, naturalistic **observations** are preferable to paper and pencil tests. **Fidelity** to the curriculum was not an issue as the **teacher** was hired for the study, however, with **classroom** teachers the results may be less positive. A **comparison** group would strengthen the conclusions **attributable** to the program. The **Wary Walker** **evaluation** gives some insight into what skills children **need** and demonstrates that education is not the only **component** of pedestrian safety that schools should **address** to insure the safety of children.

## Evaluation Contact

**Luann Ambrosio**  
Harbor-view Injury Prevention and Research Center  
325 Ninth Ave., 2X-10  
Seattle, WA 98 104-2499  
PH: (206) 521-1534 /FAX: (206) 521-1562

	E	Design
✓	Q	

✓	I	Analysis
	G	
	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	

✓	QI	Data
	Qn	
	B	

	F	Type
	S	
✓	O	
	P	

25% did  
search or  
fewer than  
25% 5 cars?

delete  
"all"?



# Young & Healthy

## Program Description

**Young & Healthy** began in 1990 as a community outreach project of All Saints Episcopal Church in Pasadena, Calif. Young & Healthy provides free medical, dental and mental health services to uninsured, low-income children - 28 percent of the students - in the Pasadena Unified School District. The typical child served is a 10-year-old Latino elementary school boy or girl whose primary language is Spanish, living with both parents, at least one of whom is working, and three siblings.

The project operates through the school nurses' office in all 32 schools in the district and has expanded to include homeless shelters and day care centers. Local pediatricians, other specialists, optometrists, physical therapists and podiatrists volunteer on a rotational basis to provide medical treatment in their offices. Health care providers agree in advance to see a set number of free patients each month and forms travel to the care provider with the child to track referrals, diagnosis and recommendations and follow-up needs. Some physicians have accepted clients on a long-term basis. Volunteer dentists provide acute dental services. A dental prevention education program teaches first-grade students to care for their teeth. Funds have been donated to underwrite a mobile dental clinic to provide comprehensive dental care to 120 students with the greatest need annually.

Mental health services are available in two elementary schools with plans to expand therapeutic services districtwide. A mental health consultant works with school personnel, students and parents. Volunteer licensed mental health professionals and interns provide free counseling to students who need ongoing care. A full-time social worker, with a team of volunteers, provides case management to all referred children seen by a provider. The case manager works with the parents to remove barriers and help obtain additional or on going care for the children.

Local pharmacists provide free prescriptions, and a local laboratory provides free lab tests as recommended by participating physicians. Funds have been donated to cover follow-up medical costs for special procedures such as lab work, x-rays and related services not readily volunteered. Trained community volunteers assist the school nurses with a variety of tasks, work in the Young & Healthy office,

provide translation and transportation services, and participate in the Dental Prevention Education Program. A group of pediatricians and mental health professionals provides educational seminars for parents during various times and on a variety of topics. Child care services and translators also are provided.

The cost of the program implementation in Pasadena, in 1994-95, was \$272,218 with an additional \$421,220 contributed in-kind. The cost includes a full-time executive director supported by a full-time assistant, a case manager, nurses, development director and part-time clerical staff.

## Services Available

Information packets are available for less than \$5 and a video describing the program cost \$13. Training costs are negotiable. Young & Healthy staff can provide consultation by phone. A

## Implications for Practice

For many school districts and communities, the problem of health care services for children is not one of availability, but rather of affordability and accessibility. Healthy People 2000 has two objectives related to these issues: 2.1.3 - "increase to at least 95 percent the proportion of people who have a specific source of ongoing primary care for coordination of their preventive and episodic health care" and 2.1.4 - "improve financing and delivery of clinical preventive services so that virtually no American has a financial barrier to receiving, at a minimum, the screening, counseling and immunization services recommended by the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force." Approximately one-third of the children living in Pasadena have no health insurance, a situation typical of most communities across the country. The program model of developing a cadre of volunteer physicians, dentists, optometrists and other health care professionals represents an invaluable strategy for expanding and enhancing affordable and accessible services for students who otherwise would not receive essential assistance.

## Program Contact

Mary Donnelly-Crocker

Executive Director

Young & Healthy

35 1 S. Hudson Ave.

Pasadena, CA 9 1109

PH: (818) 795-5166 / FAX: (818) 795-8154

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	
	4 <sup>6</sup>	
	M	
	H	
	K <sup>12</sup>	✓
	S	✓

Locale	R	
	U	
	S	✓
	M	

Level	CI	
	B	
	D	
	Co	✓

Components	C	
	St	✓
	Pe	
	Pa	✓
	M	
	P	
	Sk	
	Se	

Emphasis	K	
	A	
	N	
	B	✓

# Young & Healthy

	E	Design
✓	Q	

✓	I	Analysis
	G	
	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	

	QI	Data
	Qn	
✓	B	

✓	F	Type
	S	
✓	O	
	P	

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

To date, the *Young & Healthy Project* has conducted process evaluations every year as the major form of program assessment. In the first year of operation (1990), the *Young & Healthy office arranged 227* appointments for physical health services. By year two it arranged 628 appointments with 113 physicians, 11 dentists, 23 mental health professionals, nine pharmacies, one podiatrist, four optometrists, one eye glass company and one laboratory. By 1994-95, it provided 2,305 referrals for 1,039 students representing 4,794 units of service. Case management services, dental care and mental health services saw similar increases in the number of children served. None of the health care providers who have participated in this program have withdrawn and new providers are added every year. The number of volunteers also continues to increase. Parent participation at educational sessions is another indicator used by the project to measure success. Attendance at these sessions has seen a slow, but steady increase attributed to positive word-of-mouth publicity. In 1994-95, attendance increased by 3 1.5 percent over the previous year.

Because health and learning are so closely interconnected, and children cannot learn when they are absent or distracted due to illness, the project selected absentee patterns as a measure of project impact. Three evaluation questions were examined:

- After referral, does the number of absences from school decrease?
- After referral, does the length of each absence episode decrease?
- Do any initial decreases in the number or length of absences persist over time?

A sample of 640 students was tracked **from** fall 1993 until spring 1995. Findings were mixed. Compared to baseline data from fall 1993 when there were an average of 2.6 absences, the number of absences increased to 4.0 in fall 1994 and 4.3 in spring 1995.

*absences per student or daily in the schools overall?*

The evaluators speculated that there may have been **more** absences due to initial and follow-up **appointments** or diagnostic procedures. Students **referred** in the fall showed a **significant** increase in **absences** during fall semester, but by spring their **absences** decreased significantly. Regardless of grade, **family** structure or **ethnicity**, the number of **absences** decreased in the semester immediately following initial referral to *Young & Healthy*. The **length** of the absences did not appear to be **affected** by **referral**.

Explanations for these findings include the suggestion that as health problems were **identified**, here was a short term need to miss school to address he problems, but that in the long term the child's health was sufficiently improved to decrease absences. Absences remained relatively high due to more and more children being referred into the system **as** the school year progressed. No long term follow-up has been done.

*Bees question bottom previous column*

## Critique

Evaluating the value of medical care to children in a **community** can take many forms. From the perspective of enhancing access to services for **low-income** families, *Young and Healthy* has sufficient evidence of success. They have provided essential services for students who otherwise would not receive assistance by capitalizing on pro bono professional time. This is a pioneering effort that can serve as a model for other communities and school districts to follow.

The complexity of the services offered requires a sophisticated evaluation design. Unfortunately, the evaluation design and data collected to date does not allow for a rigorous examination of program logistics and cost effectiveness. It is not yet possible to determine the program's impact on the health status of the children it serves.

## Evaluation Contact

Vital Research  
83 80 **Melrose** Ave.  
Los Angeles, CA 90069  
PH: (213) 653-7441

# Youth AIDS Prevention Project

## Program Description

Designed as a middle-school curriculum to prevent sexually transmitted diseases (**STDs**) including HIV **infection** and substance abuse among urban youth, the *Youth AIDS Prevention Project (YAPP)* is also appropriate for community-based organizations serving youth aged 12-14. Using the theory of planned behavior, the social influences theory and social cognitive **theory** to predict behavioral change, the program targets teens' knowledge, attitudes, **self-efficacy**, intentions and behaviors regarding high-risk sexual and drug-taking behaviors.

The program consists of ten sessions in seventh grade, usually in a health or science class for two consecutive weeks, plus a five-session (one week) booster in eighth grade. Classes cover transmission and prevention of **STDs** including HIV infection, the importance of using condoms for those who choose to have intercourse and the development of **decision-making**, resistance and negotiation skills. In addition to lectures and class discussions, *YAPP* uses active learning techniques that include small group exercises, role-plays of specific situations, **demonstrations** of condom and foam use, and anonymous questions **from** a question box. Some homework assignments provide opportunities for parental involvement. The developers recommend that *YAPP* teachers hold at least a master's degree in health education, have training in HIV and AIDS prevention education and additional training on the *YAPP* curriculum.

Sociometrics, Inc. selected *YAPP* for inclusion in its Program Archive on Sexuality, a collection of promising prevention programs. The National Institute of Mental Health provided funding for the development and evaluation of *YAPP*.

## Services Available

The *YAPP* program package, available from Sociometrics, costs \$590. Included is a user's guide, curriculum manual, student workbooks, activity cards, two videos, a parent packet, evaluation instruments and supplementary information. In addition, limited technical support by telephone, regarding program implementation and evaluation is available.

## Implications for Practice

Presently, one quarter of new HIV infections in the United States occur in young people aged 13-20. In addition, twenty percent of AIDS cases diagnosed are among those aged 20-29. Given the long incubation period between initial infection and AIDS diagnosis, a large percentage of people diagnosed with AIDS in their 20s probably became infected with HIV as teenagers. In the absence of a vaccine, education programs such as *YAPP* remain the most hopeful strategy to reduce HIV infection and increase protective behaviors among adolescents. *YAPP* addresses numerous Healthy People 2000 objectives including the reduction of both the incidence (18.1, 19.1, 19.2) and prevalence (18.2) of HIV infection and other **STDs**; increasing the proportion of sexually active, unmarried persons who used a condom at last sexual intercourse (18.4, 19.10); the provision of age-appropriate HIV education as part of a quality school health education (18.10, 19.12); and the reduction and disapproval of drug use (4.5, 4.6, 4.13).

Audience	P	
	K <sup>3</sup>	
	4 <sup>6</sup>	
	M	✓
	H	
	K <sup>12</sup>	
	S	

Locale	R	
	U	✓
	S	✓
	M	

Level	Cl	✓
	B	
	D	
	Co	

Components	C	✓
	St	✓
	Pe	
	Pa	✓
	M	
	P	
	Sk	✓
	Se	

Emphasis	K	✓
	A	✓
	N	
	B	✓

## Program Contact

for materials:  
Program Archive on Sexuality  
c/o Sociometrics Corporation  
170 State Street, Suite 260  
Los Altos, CA 94022-28 12  
PH: (415) 949-3282

for information:  
Susan R Levy, PhD  
Prevention Research Center  
850 West Jackson, Suite 400  
Chicago, IL 60607  
PH: (3 12) 996-7222  
FAX: (3 12) 996-2703

# Youth AIDS Prevention Project

✓	E	Design
	Q	

✓	I	Analysis
	G	
	B	
	D	
	C	
	M	

	QI	Data
✓	Qn	
	B	

	F	Type
	S	
✓	O	
	P	

## Evidence of Program Effectiveness

A 1991-1993 study examined **YAPP's** effect on students' self-efficacy and practices related to the prevention of HIV/AIDS and other **STDs**. Fifteen school districts were selected **from** among 45 districts in the greater metropolitan Chicago area considered at greatest risk for HIV infection. Selected districts were randomly assigned to one of three conditions: a parent-interactive group, a parent non-interactive group or a delayed program/control group. Because of **difficulty** obtaining parental involvement researchers eventually combined the two program groups into one **group**.

A total of 2,392 seventh-grade students (1,459 program and 933 controls) completed pretests. At pretest, students in both the program and control groups had consumed alcohol at similar levels (46 percent) and approximately 33 percent had already had sexual intercourse. The **posttest** sample decreased to 1,669 by the end of the eighth grade (32.4 percent attrition for program and 29.6 percent for control). **Although**, those lost to attrition differed **from** those included, in terms of baseline tobacco and alcohol use and sexual activity, those lost in the program and control groups appeared similar in demographic **and** behavioral characteristics.

Trained data collectors administered surveys in the classroom. The survey instrument addressed refusal self-efficacy ("How comfortable or uncomfortable would you feel refusing marijuana offered to you at a party?"), use self-efficacy (assertiveness in obtaining condoms or foam), and practices and behavioral intentions that put students at risk of HIV infection. Skilled health educators who received additional training in delivery of this program conducted all classroom educational sessions. The control classes received the HIV/AIDS prevention education normally offered in their school.

Fifty **percent** of the students remained abstinent by the eighth-grade posttests and approximately 19 percent became sexually active between the seventh and eighth grades. Reported data focused on students who became sexually active during the study period. Students in both the program and control groups changed in self-efficacy and behavioral intention, but the program group changed more.

Four out of 10 program effects significantly exceeded those of the control students. **YAPP** students were more likely to feel comfortable obtaining condoms or foam than those in the control group and to say they "definitely will" or "maybe will" use condoms and foam. Following the booster session in eighth grade, **YAPP** students were more likely than the comparison students to report using condoms with foam.

**YAPP** did not effect self-efficacy for using refusal skills or other practices likely to reduce the risk of HIV infection such as carrying and using condoms. Because researchers could not document what HIV education students in the control schools received, that education might have contributed to the lack of differences between groups. The youth subculture in the study districts accepted alcohol and marijuana use as well as sexual intercourse, creating a norm that could reduce refusal self-efficacy among program students. In order to establish positive social norms and overcome peer **influences**, programs may need to begin at even earlier ages if **they** are to strengthen self-efficacy.

## Critique

**This** well-designed curriculum and robust evaluation design found no positive impact on sexual practices of already sexually active youth. A ceiling effect might have contributed to the lack of differences. At pretest, sexually-active students had a fairly high level of condom use (over 70 percent that increased to over 80 percent at post test in both program and control schools). Students exposed to **YAPP** who subsequently became sexually active did, however, have more positive intentions to practice protective behaviors. Evidence that behavioral intent and future sexual behaviors have any correlation is tenuous. A longer follow-up study might demonstrate a relationship between the program and less risky practices later in adolescence

## Evaluation Contact

**Susan R. Levy, PhD**

Prevention Research Center

850 West Jackson, Suite 400

Chicago, IL 60607

PH: (3 12) 996-7222 / FAX: (3 12) 996-2703

## References

---

### ACHIEVE

**Knoff HM**, Batsche GM. Project ACHIEVE: Analyzing a school reform process for at-risk and underachieving students. *School Psychology Review*. 1995;24(4):579-603.

**Knoff HM**, Batsche GM. *Project ACHIEVE: A collaborative, school-based school reform process to improve the academic and social progress of at-risk and underachieving students*. Information packet, 1995. Tampa, Florida: University of South Florida.  
*Project ACHIEVE: A School Reform Project Serving At-risk and Underachieving Students*: Submission to Program Effectiveness Panel, NDN, December, 1994.

### Athletic Health Care System

**Rice SG**. *The Athletic Care System*: Revalidation submission to the Program Effectiveness Panel, National Diffusion Network (NDN). December, 1994.

### Becoming a Responsible Teen

Fisher JD, Fisher WA. Changing AIDS-risk behavior. *Psychological Bulletin*. 1992;111(3):455-474.

St. Lawrence JS, Brasfield TL, Jefferson KW, Alleyne E, **O'Bannon RE III**, Shirley A. Cognitive-behavioral intervention to reduce African American adolescents' risk for HIV infection. *J Cons & Clin Psych*. 1995;63(2):221-237.

St. Lawrence JS, Jefferson KW, Banks PG, **Cline TR**, Alleyne E, **Brasfield TL**. Cognitive-behavioral group intervention to assist substance-dependent adolescents in lowering HIV infection risk. *AIDS Ed and Prev*. 1994;6(5):425-435.

### Be Proud, Be Responsible

Jemmott JB III, Jemmott LS, and Fong GT. Reductions in HIV Risk-associated sexual behaviors among black male adolescents: Effects of an AIDS prevention intervention. *Amer. J. of Public Health*. 1992;82(3):372-377.

### California's 5 -A-Day Power Play

California Department of Education. Key findings. *California's 5 -A-Day Power Play! Campaign: Evaluation Study of Activities in the School Channel*. 1996.

California Department of Education. *Fact Sheet*.

California Department of Education, Child Nutrition and Food Distribution Division. *Eat Well, Learn Well*. Sacramento: California Department of Education, 1995.

California Department of Education, Child Nutrition and Food Distribution Division. *Better Breakfast, Better Learning*. Sacramento: California Department of Education, 1994

### Child and Adolescent Trial for Cardiovascular Health (CATCH) Eat Smart

**Edmundson EW**, Luton SC, McGraw, **SA**, et al. CATCH: Classroom process evaluation in a multicenter trial. *Health Education Quarterly* 1994;Supplement 2:S27-S50.

Elder JP, McGraw SA, Stone EJ, et al. CATCH: Process evaluation of environmental factors and programs. *Health Education Quarterly* 1994;Supplement 2:S107-S 127.

Johnson, CC, Osganian, SK, **Budman**, et al. CATCH: Family process evaluation in a multicenter trial. *Health Education Quarterly* 1994;Supplement 2:S91-S106.

Luepker, RV, Perry, CL, **McKinlay**, SM, et al. Outcomes of a field trial to improve children's dietary patterns and physical activity: The child and adolescent trial for cardiovascular health (CATCH). *JAMA*. 1996;275(10):768-776.

Lytle LA, **Davidann BZ**, **Bachman K**, et al. CATCH: Challenges of conducting process evaluation in a multicenter trial. *Health Education Quarterly* 1994;Supplement 2:S129-S141.

Nicklaus TA, Stone EJ, Montgomery D, et al. Meeting the dietary goals for school meals by the year 2000: The CATCH Eat Smart school nutrition program. 1994;25(5):299-307.

Nicklaus TA, Reed DB, Rupp J, et al. Reducing total fat, saturated fatty acids, and sodium: The CATCH Eat Smart school nutrition program. *School Food Service Research Review*. 1992;16(2):114-121.

## References

---

Perry CL, Parcel GS, Stone EJ, et al. The child and adolescent trial for cardiovascular health (CATCH): Overview of the intervention program and evaluation methods. *Cardiovascular Risk Factors*. 1992;2(1):37-44.

Raizman DJ, Montgomery, DH Osganian, SK, et al. CATCH: Food service program process evaluation in a multicenter trial. *Health Education Quarterly* 1994;Supplement 2:S51-S71.

### Child and Adolescent Trial for Cardiovascular Health (CATCH) PE

Luepker RV, Perry, CL, M&inlay, SM, et al. Outcomes of a field trial to improve children's dietary patterns and physical activity: The child and adolescent trial for cardiovascular health (CATCH). *JAMA*. 1996;275(10):768-776.

McGraw, Stone EJ, Osganian SK, et al. Design of a process evaluation within the child and adolescent trial for cardiovascular health (CATCH). *Health Education Quarterly* 1994;Supplement 2:S5-S26.

McKenzie TL, Strikmiller PK, Stone, EJ, et al. CATCH: Physical activity process evaluation in a multicenter trial. *Health Education Quarterly* 1994;Supplement 2:S73-S89.

### Child Development Project

Battistich V, Schaps E, Watson M, Solomon D. Prevention effects of the Child Development Project: Early findings from an ongoing multisite demonstration trial. *J. Adolescent Research*. 1996;11(1):12-35.

Battistich V, Solomon D, Kim D, Watson M, Schaps E. Schools as communities, poverty levels of student populations, and students' attitudes, motives, and performance: A multilevel analysis. *Amer Ed Research J*. 1995;32(3):627-658.

Battistich V, Elias MJ, Branden-Muller LR Two School-based approaches to promoting children's social competence. In Albee GW, Bond LA, Monsey TVC. *Improving Children's Lives: Global Perspectives on Prevention*. Pp. 217-235. Newbury Park: Sage Publications, 1992.

Developmental Studies Center, Child Development Project Replication Manual, prepared for the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention. Center for Substance Abuse Prevention, 1995.

*The Child Development Project: Summary of findings in Two Initial Districts and the First Phase of an Expansion to Six Additional Districts Nationally*. Oakland, Calif.: Developmental Studies Center, 1994.

Schaps E, Watson M, Dasho S, et al. Symposium - Why restructuring must focus on thinking and caring: A model for deep, long-term change through staff development. *J. Adolescent Research*. 1996;11(1):12-35.

### Children of Divorce Intervention Program

Pedro-Carroll J. Children of Divorce Intervention Program: a prevention program designed to foster resilience and enhance children's capacity to cope. In McElhaney SJ, The *NMHA Guide to Establishing Community-Based Prevention Programs*. Alexandria, Virginia: National Mental Health Association, 1995, pp.92-100.

Pedro-Carroll J, Cowen EL, Hightower AD, Guare JC. Preventive intervention with latency-aged children of divorce: A replication study. *Amer. J of Comm. Psych*. 1986;14(3):277-290.

Pedro-Carroll J, Cowen EL. The Children of Divorce Intervention Program: An investigation of the efficacy of a school-based prevention program. *J Cons. And Clin Psych*. 1985;53(5):603-611.

### Coca-Cola Valued Youth Program

Cardenas JA, Montecel MR, Supick JD, Harris RJ. The Cocoa-Cola Valued Youth Program: Dropout Prevention Strategies for At-Risk Students. *Texas Researcher*. 1992;3: 11 1-130.

*Coca-Cola Valued Youth Program : Stewardship Report Executive Summary*. Intercultural Development Research Association. July 31, 1995.

*Coca-Cola Valued Youth Program : Quick Facts*. Intercultural Development Research Association, 1995.

### Community of Caring in Schools

Balicki BJ, Godlenberg D, Keel KS, Bumette J, Yates T. An evaluation of the community of caring-in-schools initiative. Draft final report. Columbia, Md: The Center for Health Policy Studies, July 7, 1991.

McCarthy C. Principals see worth in values program. *The Washington Post*. 1995; March 14.

## References

---

Klein NA, Goodson P, Serrins DS, Edmundson E, Evans A. Evaluation of sex education curricula: Measuring up to the SIECUS guidelines. *J Sch Health*. 1994;64(8):328-333.

### Decker Family Development Center

Ahern MF, Baker T, DeGeorge V, et al. *Decker Family Development Center: FY Program Evaluation*. Barber & n, Ohio. Decker Family Development Center, 1995.

### Developmental Approaches in Science and Health (DASH)

Curriculum Research & Development Group, University of Hawaii. *Developmental Approaches in Science and Health (DASH)*: Submission to Program Effectiveness Panel, NDN, 1992.

Curriculum Research & Development Group, University of Hawaii. *Developmental Approaches in Science and Health (DASH): DASH In the Classroom*: Professional development seminar and Action research papers, 1992-93.

Pottenger FM III, Young DB, Brennan CA, Pottenger LM. *Developmental Approaches in Science and Health (DASH): Instructional Guide*. Curriculum Research & Development Group, University of Hawaii.

### Florida Traffic and Bicycle Safety Education Program

Schneider RH, Steiner R, Alperin A. *An Evaluation of the Florida Traffic and Bicycle Safety Education Program*. Gainesville: Florida Department of Transportation, 1996.

### Get Real About AIDS

Maine DS, Iverson DC, et al. Preventing HIV infection among adolescents: Evaluation of a school-based education program. *Preventive Medicine*. 1994;23:409-417.  
AGC Educational Media. *Get Real About AIDS*. Brochure.

### Gimme5

Baranowski T, Dome1 S, Gould R, et al. Increasing fruit and vegetable consumption among 4th and 5th grade students: Results from focus groups using reciprocal determinism. *J. Nutrition Education*. 1993;25(3):114-120.

Contento, et al. Nutrition education for school-aged children. *J. Nutrition Education*. 1995;27(6):298-311, 367-369, 382-392.

Dome1 SB, Baranowski, T, Davis HC, Thompson WO, Leonard SB, Baranowski J. A measure of stages of change in fruit and vegetable consumption among 4th and 5th grade school children: reliability and validity. *J. Amer. College of Nutr.* 1996;15(1):56-64.

Dome1 SB, Baranowski T, Davis HC, Thompson WO, Leonard SB, Baranowski J. A measure of outcome expectations for fruit and vegetable consumption among 4th and 5th grade children: reliability and validity. *Health Education Research: Theory & Practice*. 1995;10(1):65-72.

Dome1 SB, Thompson WO, Davis HC, Baranowski T, Leonard SB, Baranowski J. Psychosocial predictors of fruit and vegetable consumption among elementary school children. *Health Education Research: Theory & Practice*. 1995;December. (Pre-publication copy.)

Dome1 SB, Baranowski T, Leonard SB, Davis HC, Riley P, Baranowski J. Accuracy of 4th and 5th grade students' food records compared with school-lunch observations. *Am J. Clin. Nutr.* 1994;59(suppl):218S-220S.

Dome1 SB, Baranowski T, Davis HC, Leonard SB, Riley P, Baranowski J. Fruit and vegetable food frequencies by 4th and 5th grade students: Validity and reliability. *Amer. College of Nutr.* 1994;13(1):33-39.

Dome1 SB, Baranowski T, Davis HC, et al. Development and evaluation of a school intervention to increase fruit and vegetable consumption among 4th and 5th grade students. *J. Nutrition Education*. 1993;25(6):345-349.

Dome1 SB, Leonard SB, Baranowski T, Baranowski J. "To be or not to be..." Fruits and vegetables. *J. Nutrition Education*. 1993;25(6):352-358.

Dome1 SB, Baranowski T, Leonard SB, et al. Defining the Year 2000 fruit and vegetable goals. *J. Amer. College of Nutr.* 1993;12(6):669-675.

## References

---

Dome1 SB, Baranowski T, Davis HC, Leonard SB, Riley P, Baranowski J. Measuring **fruit** and vegetable preferences among 4th and 5th grade students. *Preventive Medicine*.1993;22:866-879.

### Going for the Goal

Danish SJ. Going for the goal: A life skills program for adolescents. In Albee G, Gullotta T Eds. *Primary Prevention Works, Vol. 6*, Issues in children's and families' lives. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications; 1997.

Meyer AL. The subjective impressions of sixth urban adolescents concerning the impact of a peer-led positive youth development program on their ability to achieve personal goals. (Pre-publication copy.)

O'Hearn TC, Gatz M. The educational pyramid: A model from community intervention. *Applied and Preventive Psychology*. 1996;5:127-134.

### Good Touch, Bad Touch

Church P, Forehand R, Brown C, Holmes T. The prevention of sexual abuse: Examination of the effectiveness of a program with kindergarten-age children. *Behavior Therapy*.1988;19:429-435.

### GRADS (Graduation, Reality, and Dual-Role Skills)

Emight SM. *GRADS Annual Report 1993-94*, Draft.

Hull WL, Em-ight SM. GRADS (*Graduation, Reality, and Dual-Role Skills*) Program for Adolescent Parents, Grades 7-12. Submission to Program Effectiveness Panel, NDN, December 1995.

### Healthy for Life

Piper DL, King MJ, Wildner L. Can we **influence** adolescent health behavior? *Wisconsin Medical Journal*. 1990;September:522-523.

Piper DL, Ring MJ, Moberg DP. Implementing a middle school health promotion research project: Lessons our textbook didn't teach us. *Evaluation and Program Plann*.1993;16:171-180.

Hill H, Piper DL, Ring M. The nature of school-based prevention experiences for middle school students. *J. Health Educ*. 1996 (In press.)

Moberg DP, Piper DL, Wu J, Serlin RC. When total randomization is impossible: Nested randomized assignment. *Evaluation Review*. 1993;17(3):271-291.

Moberg DP, Piper DL. Obtaining active parental consent via telephone in adolescent substance abuse prevention research. *Evaluation Review*. 1990;14(3):3 15-323.

Hill H, Piper D, Moberg DP. '*Us planning prevention for them*':*The social construction of community prevention for youth*. *International Quarterly of Community Health Education*. 1994-5;15(1):65-89.

Piper DL. The healthy for life project: A summary of research findings. Final report to NIDA. Madison: Pacific Institute for Research and Evaluation, 1993.

### High/Scope Perry-Preschool Program

Henderson AT, Berla N. *A New Generation of Evidence: The Family is Critical to Student Achievement*. National Committee for Citizens in Education, 1994.

Schweinhart LJ, Weikart DP. Success by empowerment: The High/Scope Perry pre-school study through age 27. *Young Children*. 1993;November:54-58.

Schweinhart LJ, Barnes I-IV, Weikart DP. *Significant benefits: The High/Scope Perry Preschool Study Through Age 27*. Monographs of the High/Scope Educational Research Foundation, Number Ten. Ypsilanti: High/Scope Foundation, 1993.

### I Can Problem Solve

Shure MB. *Interpersonal Problem Solving and Prevention: Five Year Longitudinal Study*. Prepared for Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Institute of Mental Health, 1993.

Shure MB, Healey KN. *Interpersonal Problem Solving and Prevention in Urban School Children*. Presented at American Psychological Association Annual Convention, Toronto, August 1993.



## References

---

- Shure MB, Spivack G. Interpersonal cognitive problem solving. In Price RH, Cowen EL, Lorion RP, Ramos-McKay J. (Eds.) pp. 69-82. *Fourteen Ounces of Prevention: A Casebook for Practitioners*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association, 1988.
- Shure MB, Spivack G. Interpersonal problem-solving in young children: A cognitive approach to prevention. *Amer. J. Corn. Psychology*. 1982;10(3):341-356.
- Shure MB, Spivack G. Interpersonal problem-solving as a mediator of behavioral adjustment in preschool and kindergarten children. *J. Applied Developmental Psych*. 1980;1:29-44.
- Shure MB, Spivack G. Interpersonal problem-solving thinking and adjustment in the mother-child dyad. In Kent MW, Rolf JE (Eds.), *The Primary Prevention of Psychopathology*. Vol.3: Social competence in children. Hanover, NH: University Press of New England, 1979.
- Shure MB, Spivack G. Interpersonal cognitive problem solving and primary prevention: Programming for pre-school and kindergarten children. *J. Clin. And Child Psychology*. 1979;Summer:89-94.

### Increasing Bicycle Helmet Use in Michigan

- Smith PK. Increasing bicycle helmet use in Michigan: A school-based intervention pilot program. Evaluation Report. Lansing: Michigan Bicycle Helmet Advisory Committee, 1991.

### Jeffco Middle School Life Science

- Jeffco Life Science Project: Submission to Program Effectiveness Panel, NDN, December, 1989. Kendall/Hunt Publishing Company. *Middle School Life Science*. Brochure.

### Kansas LEAN

- Harris KJ, Paine-Andrews A, Richter KP, et al. Evaluating school and community partnerships for reducing risks for cardiovascular diseases among children. *J. Nutr. Ed.* (Pre-publication copy.)
- University of Kansas Work Group on Health Promotion. *Evaluation of the Kansas LEAN School Intervention Project, 1992-1994*. Lawrence, Kansas: University of Kansas Work Group on Health Promotion, Department of Human Development, 1995.

### Mediation in the Schools

- Carter SL. Evaluation report for the New Mexico center for dispute resolution. Mediation in the Schools Program, 1993-1994 school year. Albuquerque: New Mexico Center for Dispute Resolution, 1994.
- Jenkins J, Smith M. Mediation in the Schools 1986-87: Program evaluation. Albuquerque: New Mexico Center for Dispute Resolution, 1987.
- Lam JA. The impact of conflict resolution programs on schools: A review and synthesis of the evidence. Amherst, Mass.: National Association for Mediation in Education, 1988.
- Mandel N. Mediation in the schools program. House Memorial 26. Santa Fe, NM: State of New Mexico Department of Education, 1990.
- Research Summary: Impact of Peer Mediation.

### Multnomah County Health Department School-Based Health Centers

- Daniels JA. 1994-95 School Based Health Centers: Annual Report. Portland, Oregon: Multnomah County Health Department, 1996.
- Glick B, Doyle L, Ni H, Gao D, Pham C. School-Based Health Center Program Evaluation. 1996. (A limited data set, pre-publication copy.)

### Oregon School-Based Health Centers

- Stout JW, White LC, Alexander T. Oregon School-Based Health Centers: A Follow-up Report. Portland, Oregon: Oregon Health Division, Department of Human Resources, 1996.

## References

---

### Parents and Adolescents Can Talk

Kohl JB, Cate RM, **Picton J**. Parents and Adolescents Can Talk. Project final report. Bozeman, Mont.: Montana State University, 1989.

### Positive Adolescent Choices Training (PACT)

Hammond **WR**, Yung BR Preventing violence in at-risk African-American youth. *J. Health Care for the Poor and Underserved* 1991;2(3):359-373.

**Yung BR**, Hammond **WR**. Breaking the cycle: a culturally sensitive violence prevention program for African American children and adolescents. In **Lutzkes J**. (Ed.) *Handbook of Child Abuse Research and Treatment*. New York: Plenum Publishing, (In press, 1996).

Hammond **WR**, Yung **BR** Psychology's role in the public health response to assaultive violence among young African-American men. *American Psychologist*. 1993;48(2):142-154.

Upshaw **W**, Giles-Reynolds **V**, Kawahara **N**, et al. *School Safety: Promising Initiatives for Addressing School Violence*. Report to the ranking minority member, Subcommittee on Children and Families, Committee on Labor and Human Resources, U.S. Senate.

### Primary Mental Health Project

*An Evaluation of the Early Mental Health Initiative's Primary Intervention Program and Enhanced Primary Intervention Program for the 1994-95 Academic Year*. Submitted to the State of California Department of Mental Health. Rochester, NY: Primary Mental Health Project, Inc., November, 1995.

Chandler **CL**, Weissberg **RP**, **Cowen EL**, Guare **J**. Long-term effects of a school-based secondary prevention program for young maladjusting children. *J. Cons. Clin. Psych.* 1984;52(2):165-170.

**Cowen EL**. The Primary Mental Health Project. *Clinician's Research Digest: Supplemental Bulletin*. December, 1991.

**Cowen EL**, Hightower **AD**, Johnson **DB**, Samo **M**, Weissberg **RP**. State-level dissemination of a program for early detection and prevention of school maladjustment. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*. 1989;20(5):309-314.

**Cowen EL**, Spine11 **A**, Wright **S**, Weissberg **RP**. Continuing dissemination of a school-based mental health program. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*. 1983;14(1):118-127.

**Cowen EL**, Weissberg **RP**, Lotyczewski **BS**, et al. Validity generalization of a school-based preventive mental health program. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*. 1983;14(5):613-623.

Pollard **J**, Thomas **C**. *Final Report: Primary Prevention Program Participant Survey*. Submitted to California Department of Mental Health Southwest Regional Educational Laboratory. March 30, 1989.  
*Primary Mental Health Project: Preventing Tomorrow's Problems Today*. A primer for setting up new programs. Rochester, NY: Primary Health Project, 1996.

Weissberg **RP**, **Cowen EL**, Lotyczewski **BS**, Gesten **EL**. The primary mental health project: seven consecutive years of program outcome research.

**Winer-Elkin JI**, Weissberg **RP**, **Cowen EL**. Evaluation of a planned short-term intervention for school children with focal adjustment problems. *J. Clin. Child Psychology*. 1988;17(2): 106-115.

### Project for Attention Related Disorders (PARD)

**Williams RA**, Horn **S**, Daley **SP**, Nader **PR** Evaluation of access to care and medical and behavioral outcomes in a school-based intervention program for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder.

### Project Northland

Perry **CL**, William **CL**, Veblen-Motenson **S**, et al. Outcomes of a community-wide alcohol use prevention program during early adolescence: Project Northland. *American J. Public Health*. (Pre-publication copy.)

### Project TNT

Sussman **S**, Dent **C**, Stacy **AW**, et al. Project Towards No Tobacco use: 1-year behavior outcomes. *Am J. Public Health*. 1993;83(9):1245-1250.

Dent **CW**, Sussman **S**, Stacy **AW**, Craig **S**, Burton **D**, Flay **BR**. Two-year behavior outcomes of Project Toward No Tobacco Use. *J Cons. & Clin. Psych.* 1995;63(4):676-677.

## References

---

Sussman S, Dent CW, Stacy AW, Hodgson CS, Burton D, Flay BR Project Towards No Tobacco Use: **Implementation** process and post-test knowledge evaluation. *Health Education Research*. 1993;8(1): 109-123.

### Project Taking Charge

Jorgensen SR Project taking charge: An evaluation of an adolescent pregnancy prevention program. *Family Relations*. 1991;40:373-380.

Jorgensen SR, Potts V, Camp B. Project taking charge: Six-month follow-up of a pregnancy prevention program for early adolescents. *Family Relations*. 1993;42:401-406.

*Project Taking Charge II: El Futuro Es Mio. Year 1 Evaluation Report, 1993-I 994*. Alexandria, VA. American Association of Family and Consumer Sciences.

### Rapping with Vince and Larry

Ross LM, Thrush JC. Rapping with **Vince** and Larry: A Michigan safety belt intervention pilot project. Final Report. Lansing: Center for Health Promotion, Michigan Dept. of Public Health, 1990.

### Reach Out to Schools

Black B. *Reach Out To Schools: Social Competency Program*. Assessment Summary. Wellesley, Mass: The Stone Center, Wellesley College, 1995.

Krasnow JH, Seigle PJ, Kelly R *Project Report, 1990-93*. The social competency program of the Reach Out to Schools project. Wellesley, Mass.: The Stone Center Developmental Services and Studies, 1994.

*Reach Out to Schools: Social Competency Program Summary* of Evaluation Findings, 1994-95.

### Reconnecting Youth

Eggert LL. Psychosocial approaches in prevention science: Facing the challenge **with** high risk youth. *Communicating Nursing Research*. 1996;29:73-85.

Eggert LL, Herting JR Preventing teenage drug abuse: Exploratory effects of network social support. *Youth and Society* 1991;22(4):482-524.

Eggert LL, Thompson **EA**, Herting JR, Nicholas LJ. Prevention research program: Reconnecting Youth. *Issues in Mental Health Nursing*. 1994;15:107-135.

Eggert LL, Thompson EA, Herting **JR**, Nicholas LJ. Reducing suicide potential among high-risk youth: Tests of a **school-based** prevention program. *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior*. 1995;25(2):276-296.

Eggert LL, Thompson EA, Herting **JR**, Nicholas LJ, Dicker BG. Preventing adolescent drug abuse and high school dropout through an intensive school-based social network development program. *Am J Health Prom*. 1994;8(3):202-215.

Powell-Cope GM, Eggert LL. Psychosocial risk and protective factors: Potential high school dropouts versus typical youth. Chapter 4, pp. 23-51. In Morris RC, Ed. *Using what We Know About At-Risk Youth*. Lancaster, Penn: Technomic Publishing Co., 1994.

Thompson EA, Moody KA, Eggert LL. Discriminating suicide ideation among high-risk youth. *J Sch Health*. 1994;64(9):361-367.

### Resolving Conflicts Creatively

**Metis Associates, Inc.** The Resolving Conflict Creatively program: 1988-1989 summary of significant findings. New York: **Metis Associates, Inc.**, 1990.

### School Development Program

**Cauce** AM, Comer JP, Schwartz, D. Long term effects of a systems-oriented school prevention program. *Amer. J. Orthopsychiat*. 1987;57(1):127-131.

Comer JP. Educating poor minority children. *Scientific American*. 1988;259(5):42-48.

Haynes NM, Comer JP. The Yale school development program: Process, outcomes, and policy implications. *Urban Education*. 1993;28(2):166-199.

## References

Haynes NM. Ed. Selected excerpts **from** *School Development Program Research Monograph*, 1994.

### **Seattle Social Development Project/Raising Healthy Children**

**Harachi TW, Catalano RF, Haggerty KP, Abbott RD.** *Preliminary Results of a School-Based Risk and Protective Factor Focused Prevention Project.* Poster presented at the Society for Prevention Research annual Meeting, San Juan, Puerto Rico. Seattle: Social Development Research Group, 1996.

Hawkins JD, **Catalano RF**, Morrison DM, O'Donnell J, Abbott RD, Day LE. The Seattle Social Development Project: effects of the first four years on protective factors and problem behaviors. In **McCord J, Tremblay R (Eds.)**, 139-161. *The Prevention of Antisocial Behavior in Children.* New York: Guilford, 1992.

### **Social Competence Promotion Program for Young Adolescents (SCPP)**

Caplan M, Weissberg RP, Grober JS, Sivo PJ, Grady **K, Jacoby C.** Social competence promotion with inner-city and suburban young adolescents: Effects on social adjustment and alcohol use. *J Cons. & Clin Psych.* 1992;60(1):56-63.

Gesten EL, Rains MH, **Rapkin BD**, et al. Training children in social problem-solving competencies: A **first** and second look. *Amer J Comm Psych.* 1982;10(1):95-115.

KasproW WJ, Weissberg RP, **Voyce CK**, et al. *New Haven Public Schools Social Development Project: 1991-91 Evaluation Report.*

Weissberg RP, Barton HA, Shriver T. The social competence promotion program for young adolescents. In **Albee GW, Gullotta TP (Eds.)**. *Primary Prevention Exemplars: The Lela Rowland Awards.* Newbury Park, Calif: SAGE, 1997.

Weissberg RP, Caplan M. Promotion social competence and preventing antisocial behavior in young urban adolescents. February 1994. (Incomplete cite).

Weissberg RP, **Elias MJ.** Enhancing young people's social competence and health behavior: An important challenge for educators, scientists, policymakers, and **funders.** *Applied and Preventive Psych.* 1993;2: 179-190.

Weissberg **RP**, Caplan **MZ**, Sivo PJ. A conceptual framework for establishing school-based social competence promotion programs. In Bond LA, Compas BE (Eds.). *Primary Prevention and Promotion in the Schools: Primary prevention of psychopathology, Vol XII.* Newbury Park, Calif: Sage Publications, 1989.

Weissberg RP, Gesten EL, **Rapkin BD**, et al. Evaluation of a social problem-solving training program for suburban and inner-city third-grade children. *J. Cons & Clin Psych.* 1981;1(2):251-261.

Weissberg RP, Gesten EL, Camrke CL, et al. Social problem-solving skills training: A competence-building intervention with second-to fourth-grade children. *Amer J of Comm Psych.* 1981;9(4):411-423.

### **Social Decision Making**

**Clabby JF, Elias MJ.** Your child can learn to make decisions. *PTA Today.* 1987;Dec. 1987-Jan. 1988:14-15.

**Elias MJ**, Gara MA, Schuyler TF, Branden-Muller **LR, Sayette MA.** The promotion of social competence: Longitudinal study of a preventive school-based program. *Amer. J. Orthopsychiat.* 1991;61(3):409-417.

**Elias MJ**, Clabby JF. Teaching social decision making. *Educational Leadership.* 1988;45(6):52-55.

**Elias MJ**, Gara MA, **Ubriaco M**, Rothbaum PA, Clabby JF, Schuyler TF. Impact of a preventive social problem solving intervention on children's coping with middle-school stressors. *Amer. J. Comm. Psych.* 1986;14(3):259-275.

University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey. *Social Decision Making and Problem Solving Program:* Submission to Program Effectiveness Panel, NDN, June, 1995.

### **SPARK PE**

Faucette N, McKenzie TL, Patterson P. Descriptive analysis of nonspecialist elementary physical education teachers' curricular choices and class organization. *J. Teaching in Physical Education.* 1990;9:284-293.

McKenzie TL, Feldman H, Woods SE, et al. Children's activity levels and lesson context during third-grade physical education. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport.* 1995;66(3): 184-193.

McKenzie TL, **Sallis JF.** *Spark Physical Education (Sports, Play, and Active Recreation for Kids):* Submission to Program Effectiveness Panel, NDN, January, 1994.

## References

---

- McKenzie** TL, Alcaraz JE, Sallis JF. Assessing children's liking for activity units in an elementary school physical education curriculum. *J. Teaching in Physical Education*. 1994;13:206-215.
- Sallis JF, McKenzie TL, Alcaraz JE, Kolody B, Hovell MF, Nader PR. Project SPARK: Effects of physical education on adiposity in children. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*. 1993; 669: 127-136.
- Sallis JF, **Condon SA**, Goggin KJ, Roby JJ, Kolody B, Alcaraz JE. The development of self-administered physical activity surveys for 4th grade students. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*. 1993;64(1)25-31.

### Straight Talk About Risks

- The State of New Jersey in partnership with the Center to Prevent Handgun Violence. *Straight Talk About Risks (STAR): A Program Designed to Reduce Gun Deaths and Injuries Among Children and Teens*. New Jersey STAR - 12 Month Report. Washington, DC: Center to Prevent Handgun Violence, 1993.

### Students Taught Awareness and Resistance

- Johnson CA, Pentz, MA, Weber MD, et al. Relative effectiveness of comprehensive community programming for drug abuse prevention with high-risk and low-risk adolescents. *J. Cons. And Clin. Psych.* 1990;58(4):447-456.
- Pentz MA, Trebow EA, Hansen WB, et al. Effects of program implementation on adolescent drug use behavior. *Evaluation Review*. 1990;14(3):264-289.
- Pentz MA, Dwyer JH, **MacKinnon** DP, et al. A multicomunity trial for primary prevention of adolescent drug abuse: Effects on drug use prevalence. *JAMA*. 1989;261(22):3259-3266.

### Success for All

- Slavin** RE, Madden NA, **Dolan** LJ, Wasik BA, Ross SM, Smith LJ. 'Whenever and Wherever We Choose': Replication of 'Success for All'. *Phi Delta Kappan*. 1994;April:639-647.
- Wasik **BA**, Ross **SM**, **Smith** LJ, Dianda M. Success for all: A summary of research. *J. Ed for Students Placed at Risk*. 1996;1(1):47-76.
- Slavin** RE, Madden NA, Karweit NL, Livermon BJ, Dolan LJ. Success for all: First-year outcomes of a comprehensive plan for reforming urban education. *Amer. Ed. Research Journal*. 1990;27(2):255-278.

### Systematic Screening for Behavior Disorders

- Feil EG, Walker H, **Severson HH**. Early screening project for young children with behavior problems. *J. Emotional and Behavioral Disorders*. 1995;3(4): 194-202.
- Feil EG, **Severson** H-H, Walker H. Early screening project (ESP): Identifying preschool children with adjustment problems. The *Oregon Conference Monograph*. 1994;6:177-183.
- Severson** HH, Walker H. *Systematic Screening for Behavior Disorders (SSBD)*: Dissemination proposal, April, 1992.
- Severson** HH, Walker H. *Systematic Screening for Behavior Disorders (SSBD)*: Submission to Program Effectiveness Panel, NDN, June, 1989.
- Walker HM, **Severson HH**, Nicholson F, Kehle T, Jenson **WR**, Clark E. Replication of the systematic screening for behavior disorders (SSBD) Procedure for the identification of at-risk children. *J. of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders*. 1994;2(2):66-77.
- Walker H, **Severson HH**. *Systematic Screening for Behavior Disorders (SSBD): A Multiple Gating Procedure*. Technical Manual. Longmont, Colorado: **Sopris** West, Inc., 1990.

### Teen Talk

- Eisen M, Zellman. A health beliefs field experiment: Teen talk. In Miller BC, Card JJ, Paikoff RL, Peterson JL, (Eds.) *Preventing Adolescent Pregnancy: Model Programs and Evaluations*. Newbury Park, Calif.: Sage Publications, 1992.
- Eisen M, Zellman GL, **McAlister** AL. A health belief model-social learning theory approach to adolescents' fertility control: Findings from a controlled field trial. *Health Educ. Quarterly* 1992;19(2):249-262.

## References

---

- Eisen M, **Zellman** GL, **McAlister**. Evaluating the impact of a theory-based sexuality and contraceptive education program. *Family Plann. Perspectives*. 1990;22(6):26 1-271.
- Eisen M, **Zellman** GL. Changes in incidence of sexual intercourse of unmarried teenagers following a **community-** based sex education program. *J. Sex Research*. 1987;23(4):527-544.
- Sociometrics Corporation. *Data Archive on Adolescent Pregnancy and Pregnancy Prevention (DAA PPP)*. Catalog of products. Los Altos, **Calif.**: Sociometrics Corporation, 1996.

### Wary Walker

- Dunne RG, **Asher** KN, Rivara FP. Behavior and parental expectations of child pedestrians. *Pediatrics*. 1992;89(3):486-490.
- Harborview Injury Prevention & Research Center. *Wary Walker's Pedestrian Safety Rodeo. (Revised Edition)*. Seattle: Harborview Injury Prevention & Research Center, 1995.
- Harborview Injury Prevention & **Research** Center. *Wary Walker Pedestrian Safety Curriculum. (Revised Edition)*. Seattle: Harborview Injury Prevention & Research Center, 1993.
- Seattle: Harborview Injury Prevention & Research Center,
- Harborview **Injury** Prevention & Research Center. *Pedestrian Safety: A Safetyprojectfor PTA 's, Schools and Youth Groups*. Seattle: Harborview Injury Prevention & Research Center, 1991.
- Mueller BA, Rivara FP, Lil SM, Weiss NS. Environmental factors and the risk for childhood pedestrian-motor vehicle collision occurrence. *Amer. J. Epidemiology*. 1990;132(3):550-560.
- Rivara FP, Booth CL, Bergman AB, Rogers LW, Weiss J. Prevention of pedestrian injuries to children: Effectiveness of a school training program. *Pediatrics*. 1991;88(4):770-775.

### Young and Healthy

- Young and Healthy Evaluation Project Report, Year 3 (1992-93)*. Los Angeles: Comp U Stat., January 1994.
- Young **and Healthy** Evaluation Project Report, Year 5 (1994-95)*. Los Angeles: Vital Research (formerly, Comp U Stat), March, 1996.

### Youth AIDS Prevention Project

- Levy SR**, Weeks K, Handler A, et al. A longitudinal comparison of the AIDS-related attitudes and knowledge of parents and their children. *Family Plann. Perspectives*. 1995;27(1):4-10, 17.
- Levy SR, Handler AS, Weeks KA, **Lampman** C, Flay **BR**, **Rashid** J. Adolescent risk for HIV as viewed by youth and their parents. *Fam Community Health*. 1994;17(1):30-41.
- Levy SR, Handler AS, Weeks K, et al. Correlates of HIV risk among young adolescents in a large metropolitan midwestern epicenter. *J. School Health*. 1995;65(1):28-32.
- Levy **SR**, **Lampman** C, Handler A, Flay BR, Weeks K. Young adolescent attitudes toward sex and substance use: Implications for AIDS prevention. *AIDS Education and Prevention*. 1993;5(4):340-35 1.
- Levy **SR**, **Perhats** C, Weeks K, Handler AS, **Zhu** C, Flay BR Impact of a school-based AIDS prevention program on risk and protective behavior for newly sexually active students. *J. School Health*. 1995;65(4):145-151.
- Handler A, **Lampman** C, Levy S, Weeks K, **Rashid** J, Flay B. Attitudes toward people **with** AIDS and implications for school-based youth AIDS education. *AIDS Education and Prevention*. 1994;6(2):175- 183.
- Weeks **K**, Levy **SR**, **Zhu** C, **Perhats** C, Handler A, Flay BR. Impact of a school-based AIDS prevention program on young adolescents' self-efficacy skills. *Health Education Research*. 1995;10(3):329-344.

# Appendix A= Guidelines for Reviewers

---

American School Health Association/Office of Disease Prevention & Health Promotion  
School Health: Findings **from** Evaluated Programs  
Second Edition

## GUIDELINES FOR REVIEWERS

Reviewers **Information** (name, title, institution, address, etc.)

**Instructions:** Please evaluate the extent to which the program under consideration meets the following criteria. The comments and questions following the criterion are meant to be illustrative, not all inclusive. A program must achieve a minimum score of 30 in order to be accepted into the second edition but it does NOT have to have been **successful** in order to be included; we can learn as much **from** failure as success. We are interested in well done studies from which we can learn important lessons. Five on the score means an exemplary component, a one means very poor. If a particular component scores a 3 or less, please indicate your concerns in writing in the space provided directly below the item.

### Criteria of Effectiveness

*Evaluation Design* A good evaluation design assures that the results have been obtained in a manner appropriate for the program and that the effects are clearly produced by the program. The appropriateness of the comparison between “treatment” and “no treatment” is critical.

5 4 3 2 1 **Appropriate Measurement** Instruments and measurement procedures are valid for the program and have adequate technical strength. Qualitative and quantitative data collection and analysis procedures have been appropriate and **carefully** handled. Explanations are offered as to why tools/methods were selected and reliability and validity was determined.

Comments:

5 4 3 2 1 **Attribution** It is critical **that** the link between program elements and observed outcomes is clearly established. Do evaluators consider other explanations for findings? Have they controlled for competing influences, threats to validity and recognized potential weaknesses?

Comments:

5 4 3 2 1 **Comparison Standard** Is the standard for comparison appropriate? A comparison group should be similar to the group involved in the program and appropriately selected to minimize bias. Norm-referenced measures help establish true program effects.

Comments:

### SUBTOTAL:

**Meaningful Results** Do the **findings from** the evaluation contribute to our understanding of what works or doesn't work in attempting to improve the health status of children and youth and those who work in school-based settings? Are the goals of the program worthwhile? Are the issues being addressed substantive?

5 4 3 2 1 **Need** Does the program address one of the six critical issues for adolescents **identified** by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention? Does it address one on the Healthy People 2000 objectives? Does it address one of the national education goals? Does it contribute increased knowledge based on timeliness of results, **efficient** use of resources, or creative use of methods and strategies? Are the **findings** of practical, applied value? Does it provide insights into a hard-to-reach group? Is the program rationale clearly linked to the larger purpose it seeks to serve?

Comments:

## Appendix A= Guidelines for Reviewers

---

5 4 3 2 1 *Distinctiveness* How is this program similar to or **different** from other programs? Are the outcomes what one would expect from a similar program or better? Is there a discussion of similar programs and their results? Is additional supporting evidence or corroboration offered for the claims made?

Comments:

5 4 3 2 1 *Difficulty* The more **difficult** and complex the problem being addressed, the longer it is likely to take to see measurable change. Not all important variables are easily measured and some consideration must be given to the effort made to obtain compelling evidence that the program is on the right track. Changing behavior is clearly more **difficult** than increasing information.

Comments:

SUBTOTAL

*Potentialfor Replication* The program should be appropriate for other, similar sites at a reasonable cost in both time and money - with the expectation of similar results.

5 4 3 2 1 *Generalizability* Has the program been replicated in more than one setting? Does it work with different age groups or racial groups, in different geographic settings, in parochial as well as public settings? A program may be tailored to one age group or racial group but still have been tried at more than one site with the same targeted group. Does it require "special" circumstances to work?

Comments:

5 4 3 2 1 *Efficiency* Are the requirements of the program - time, money, human and material resources - reasonable within the context of the real world? **If the** impact is truly significant, it may be expected to cost more but an effective program strives to use available resources efficiently relative to the expected outcomes.

Comments:

SUBTOTAL

GRAND TOTAL

In your opinion, should this program be included in the Second Edition of *School Health: Findings from Evaluated Programs*? Comments:



## Appendix A= Guidelines for Reviewers

---

### Things to Consider When Writing About the Program

1. Was a theory involved in planning and/or implementation of project? **If yes**, identify and describe application.
2. Which risk factors were addressed?
3. Who was the targeted audience: students (**pre-K**, primary, intermediate, middle or HS, special); **faculty/staff**; **parents/families**; or community/school?
4. Was non-print media; e.g., computer, video, theater, etc. a component of the project?
5. What strategies were used; e.g., skills training, peer instruction, **mentoring/tutoring**, service learning in the community, parents involvement, community agency linkages?
6. How long has the program been operational; how long was the program operational before being evaluated?
7. To what extent were parents/families involved in planning and/or implementation?
8. Where was the program implemented: home, school during school hours, school during after-school hours, **community**?
9. To what extent were the following factors considered: literacy, gender, ethnic diversity, cultural diversity, **English as a second language**?
10. Were program implementors trained prior to implementation? How long?
11. Who implemented the program; e.g., classroom teacher, counselor, peers, parents, combination, graduate student, specially-trained non-school-based professional, other?
12. How is the program funded, what are the principle costs in time and human resources?
13. Were products and/or materials produced for possible distribution to others seeking to replicate? Name contact person and cost if readily available.
14. What were the linkages into community?
15. To what extent were community health professionals involved in program planning and/or delivery?

# Appendix A= Guidelines for Reviewers

---

## Things to Consider When Writing About the Evaluation

1. Describe the demographic characteristics of those involved. How many were involved initially and how many completed?
2. What was the method of selection and or assignment to treatment group?
3. Was the reliability and validity of instrumentation established?
4. Were qualitative measures used; e.g. focus groups interviews?
5. Identify the type of statistical analysis used to determine effectiveness?
6. What was the measure of success; e.g., knowledge gain, attitude shift, or behavior?
7. What was the unit of analysis; e.g. individual, classroom or group, building, district or community?
8. Were any environmental variables considered **influential** in **affecting** outcomes; e.g., mass media, geographic location, etc.